RESEARCH REVIEW JOURNALS
Volume-03
Issue-07
July-2018

ISSN: 2455-3085 (Online)
RESEARCH REVIEW International Journal of Multidisciplinary
www.rrjournals.com [UGC Listed Journal]

Theoretical concept of Fractional Ideals with Unique Factorization

Sukhen Bhattacharyya

Research Scholar, Dept. of Mathematics, Sri Satya Sai University of Technology & Medical Sciences, Sehore, Bhopal-Indore Road, Madhya Pradesh, India

ARTICLE DETAILS

Article History
Published Online: 05 July 2018

Keywords
Fraction, Factorization, Anon zero

ABSTRACT

The fractional ideal theorems in ring hypothesis are assuming a significant part to examine standards in variable based math to create significant ideas in science. Atiyah and Macdonald , presented the goals in subtleties. Anon zero fractional ideal is invertible iff it is projective, and afterward it has rank one. . Likewise, P is head ideal if ∃ x ∈ K with P = Ra. May need to give some of number-crunching ideal I in Z under increase, to clarify the uniqueness. We will zero in on ideal duplication to be commutative, affiliated and character. An area R is known as a key ideal space if each ideal is head and each vital ideal area is a novel factorization area . Leave R alone fulfill all states of space. A fractional ideal I1 is supposed to be invertible if there is some fractional ideal I1−1 and I1I2−1 = R. . In this paper, we present the thought of fractional goals and study the standards in Z. Likewise the vast majority of the outcomes in this paper concern connections between fractional ideal and invertible property.

1. Fractional Ideal

In this part, we present and decide the important conditions to portray a few aftereffects of fractional standards.

Definition 1. [1]. Any ideal I of Z is a limitedly produced Z-module is called fractional ideal and signified by (FI), if for each maximal ideal I, Ii is head ideal over the ring Ri. Comment 1. The accompanying statements are valid: (1) S1, S2, … Sk is a bunch of generators for fractional ideal as Z-set. (2) The arrangement, all things considered, can be composed as xi = γi/βi with the end goal that γi and βi are components in Z where i=1,… , r. (3) Let α1, … , αr ∈ K be a bunch of generators for the fractional ideal as Z-module, we can compose αi= γi/βi ∋ γi and βi ∈ Z for I = 1, … , r.

Definition 2.[3]. The Z-module I of α is called fractional ideal of Z if there exists nonzero ideal J in Z such that βi in Z and J=βi

Remark 2. To explain the Definition 2.3, if I is finitely generated R-submodule such that x1=a1/b1, …, xn=an/bn generate I and b=b1,…,bn. In this case bI subset of R. Note that, if we have I1,…,In are ideals, therefore I1…In is called the product and definite the set ∑ α1iα2i…αni such that α1+I in Ik and k=1,…,n. Hence I1…In is also an ideal contained Ij. We call them the product of I1and I2and the quotient of I1by I2.Let I1and I2be nonzero fractional ideal over domain R. So I1is P-ideal ⟺∃ I1≃R.

Definition 3.[3]. We say that I≤K over Z-submodule is a fractional ideal in Z if there exists 0≠K ∈ Z ∋KI⊂Z, J = KI is an ideal of Z and I = K-1J.

Examples 1. All ideals of Z are cases of fractional ideal. Then these ideals are integral ideals.

Remark 3. (1) If M=FI1× FI2, then FI1×(FI2×FI3)=(FI1× FI2)×FI3 in R. (2) Identity : R is identity. (3) Inverse: Every nonzero fractional ideal has multiplicative inverse if R is a Dedekind domain. (4) The nonzero Ii is a fractional ideals from a group has inverse too.

Proposition 1. Let I1and I2 nonzero ideals such that fractional of I1 and fractional of I2 in the domain R. Then I1 is principal ideal iff I1≃R and there is π: I1⊗I2↠I1I2and ϕ: (I1: I2) ~ →Hom(I2, I1).

Proof. ⟹ If I1≃R, let x correspond to 1; then I1= Rx. ⟸ If M equal Rx, then x not equal the zeroas I1not equal the zero. The map R → I1with a⟼ax is onto and sameI1 equal Rx and one to one same x and not equal the zero. SoI1⊂ F R. ButI1× I2→ I1I2such that (x, y) ⟼xy is bilinear. Hence π: I1⊗I2→ I1 I2. Therefore π is onto. We take ϕ such that z ∈ (I1: I2) and ϕ(z) : I2→ I1 is ϕ(zy) := yz. We have ϕ is linear over R. Soy is not equal the zero, hence yz is equal the zero, z is equal the zero. Hence ϕ is one to one. Also, we take θ : I2→ I1∋ n ∈I2, and z := θ(n)/n. We take y in I2, so y is equal to a/b and n equal to c/d ;a, b, c and d in R. So bcy equal to adn, then bcθ(y) equal to adθ(n). Then θ(y) equal to yz. Thus, ϕ is onto Proposition 2.Let I1and I2 be a fractional standards in the space R. At that point π: I1⊗I2→ I1 I2 is an isomorphism if I1 is locally head.

Proof. We have to show that, for each maximal ideal m, πm: (I1⊗ I2)i→ (I1 I2)i is coordinated and onto. Yet, (I1⊗ I2)i = I1i⊗Ni. Leave M and N alone a R-modules with the end goal that S is a multiplicative subset. By theory, I1i = Rix for some x. Obviously Rix≃Ri. Likewise Ri⊗I2i = Ni by (unitary law), for a ring R, and M, N modules, at that point R⊗RM implies R⊗RM=M. Consequently πi≃1Nm.

Lemma 1. The fractional ideal I1 is invertible over exceptional factorization area iff nonzero I1 is head ideal.

Proof. The meaning of invertible fractional ideal, at that point fractional ideal I1 is invertible head ideal. To demonstrate the another heading, we take I1is invertible. So I1 ≠ 0. State 1 =∑ ijkj; ij∈ I1and kj∈ I1−1. Fix a nonzero I ∈ I1. At that point I =∑ijkji. However, kji∈ R as I ∈ I1and ki∈ I1−1. The set d = gcd{kji} ∈ R and x :=∑(kji/d)ij∈ I1. At that point I = dx. Given i′ ∈ I1, compose i′/I = a/b;a and b in R are prime. Sod′:= gcd{kji′} = gcd{kjia/b} = a gcd{kji}/b = promotion/b. So i′ = (a/b)i = (promotion/b)x = d′x. However, d′ ∈ R. Consequently I1= Rx.

Hypothesis 1. Any ring is an important ideal area iff it's a Dedekind space and an interesting factorization area.

© RRIJM 2015, All Rights Reserved

Proof. ⟹ An essential ideal area is Dedekind and is a remarkable factorization space. ⟸ Let R be a Dedekind special factorization space. At that point each nonzero fractional ideal is invertible, so is head ideal (Lemma 2). Consequently R is a central ideal area. Additionally, a nonzero head ideal is of tallness 1 like element of R is equivalent to one, so is head ideal, since R is an area. On the off chance that R is a UFD, at that point each stature prime is head ideal, the opposite is valid if R is Noetherian. Yet, by (Main Theorem of Classical Ideal Theory [5]), 0 ≠I= Π pi∋ all pi are prime standards. Along these lines, R is an essential ideal space.

Proposition 3. Let M bean invertible module over R. At that point M is limitedly produced with a free module has rank equivalent one over nearby ring R.

Proof. State α: I1⊗I1∼ −→R and 1 = α(∑ij⊗kj) with ij∈ I1and kj∈ I1. Given I ∈ I1, set ai:= α(i ⊗kj). Structure this piece: β: I1= I1⊗R ∼ −→I1⊗ I1⊗I1= I1⊗I1⊗ I1∼ −→R ⊗ I1= I1. At that point β(i) =∑ajij. We have β is an isomorphism. The I1 produced by ij. In the event that R is nearby ring, at that point R−R×is an ideal. In this manner u= α(ij⊗kj) ∈ R for some j. So the set si:= u−1ijand k := kj. At that point α(i⊗k) = 1. We takev : I1→ R by v(i′) := α(i′ ⊗k). Subsequently v(i) = 1; so v is onto. Likewise we take μ:R → I1 ∋μ(x):=xi and thus μv(i′) = v(i′)i = β(i′), or μv=β. Likewise we have β is an isomorphism. So v is coordinated. Consequently v is an isomorphism. Presently we begin to clarify the connection between any space and invertible property. We state that tha fractional ideal of I1 is invertible over space R, if fractional ideal I1−1and I1I1−1 = R. For instance, a nonzero head ideal Rx is invertible, same (Rx)(R·1/x) = R.[6].

Lemma 2. Each non-zero invertible ideal I is limitedly created.

Proof. We guarantee 1= Pijkj, ij ∈ I and kj ∈ I−1 ∋ I be an ideal. Let I ∈I. At that point I = Pijikj. In any case, ikj ∈ R as I ∈ I and ni∈I−1. So the ij create I. So I ≠0.

Conclusion 1. Let I1 be a fractional ideal on area R. At that point I1 is invertible iff I1 is limitedly created and locally head. Proof. ⟹ We guarantee I1I2= R. So a nonzero ideal I1 is limitedly created (Lemma 2.14). On the off chance that S ⊂R, so (S−1 I1)(S−1 I2) = S−1R. Leave I alone a maximal ideal. Along these lines, I1i is an invertible fractional ideal over Ri. So I1i is head ideal (Lemma 2.10). ⟸ The set a := I1 (R : I1) ⊂R. Let I1 be a limitedly created Hence ai = I1i(Ri : I1i). Additionally assume I1i is a nonzero head ideal. So ai = Ri. Subsequently a = R.

2. More Results

Proposition 4. Leave I alone a fractional ideal. At that point I is an invertible fractional ideal iff I is a projective module. Proof. ⟹ Assume IJ=R. So I is an invertible dynamic module on the grounds that any self-assertive ring R. From meaning of invertible module, we get I is locally free has rank equivalent one (Proposition3.1). So I is projective. ⟸ Suppose I is a projective. At that point there exists a module I1 with I ⊕I1≃R⊕L. Let ρ: R⊕L → I be the projection, and set xλ:= ρ(eλ). Characterize ϕλ: I ↪ R⊕L → R on the λth factor. At that point for all x ∈I, x = ∑ ϕλ(x)xλ, λ∈L and ϕλ(x) = 0 for practically all λ. Fix 0≠y ∈I. Let λ ∈λ. Consequently the set qλ:= 1𝑦ϕλ(y) ∈ FR. We have J:= ∑ Rqλ. So0≠x ∈I, we should watch that xqλ= ϕλ(x). Compose x equivalent to the a/b and y equivalent to the c/d with a, b, c, d in R. Hence a, c in I; and, adϕ(y) = ϕ(ac) = bcϕ(x). So xqλ= ϕλ(x) ∈R and henceforth I·J⊂R. We have y = P ϕλ(y)yλ, so 1 = yλqλ. Subsequently I · J= R. Hypothesis 2. For any two fractional standards I1 and I2, there are an and b in K with the end goal that aI1 and bI2 are prime beliefs. Proof. Let I1 = Krr1· · ·Kqnd and I2 = K1g1 · Kqgd, ∋ ni ≥ 0 and gj ≥ 0. There is I an ideal and s in R∋ I1I = (s), and an ideal J, r in R∋ IJ = (r). In the event that I is a ΠTj particular from the Ki and J is a ΠKi and Tj. So (r/s) I1 = (IJ)(I−1 I1−1) I1 = J, as is prime to I2. End product 2. Let I1 and I2 be fractional standards. At that point I1≅I2 iff I1= aI2 for some non-zero a∈ K. Proof. ⟹ Clearly a: I2 → aI2 is an isomorphism with backwards a⟸ I1≅I2 suggests R ≅I2−1I1, and the picture of 1 gives a component a∈I2−1I1 with the end goal that I2−1I1 = aR, thus I1= aI2.

Conclusion 3. For fractional beliefs I1,… , Im and J1,… Jn, I1⊕… ⊕Im≅J1 ⊕… ⊕Jn if and just if m = n and I1… In = xJ1… Jn for some x ∈ K. Proof. The showed isomorphism is identical to an isomorphism Rm−1⊕I1… Im≅ Rn−1⊕J1… Jn, which thusly is comparable to m = n and an isomorphism I1… In≅J1… Jn, which, at long last, is equal to m = n and I1… In = xJ1… Jn.

Conclusion 4. Leave D alone a Dedekind space and 0≠I1 is P-ideal of D. On the off chance that I2={x in k:XI1⊆ R}, at that point I2 is a fractional ideal and I1I2= R. Proof. Assume that d is a nonzero component in I1 and x ∈ I2, henceforth dx in R, so dI2⊆R and I2 is actually fractional ideal. Presently I1I2⊆R by importance of I2, so I1I2 is a basic ideal. So we have I1= I1R ⊆I1I2⊆R, and maximal of I1 yield that I1I2= I1or I1 I2=

3. Conclusion

In this paper, the standards were depicted through the opposite. The paper incorporated a few outcomes about beliefs. One of the significant outcomes says, there is an equal between two fractional goals if I1= aI2 for some non-zero a ∈ K. Likewise, on the off chance that I is a fractional ideal, at that point I is a fractional ideal invertible iff I is a projective module. The connection between invertible module and free module show up in Proposition 3.

References

1. Benhissi, Contributions to Algebra and Geometry 46 (2), 363-376 (2005).
2. D.F. Anderson, Commun. Algebra 16, 805-847 (1988).

3. M. Atiyah and I. Macdonald, Introduction to Commutative Algebra (Addison-Wesley, Boston, 1969).
4. M. Artin, Algebra, Prentice-Hall, (1991).
5. S. Kabbaj and A. Mimouni, Constituent Groups of Cliord Semigroups Arisng From t-Closure, arXiv: math/0611305v3(math.A). (2008)
6. W. T. Judson, Abstract Algebra: theory and Applications, Open source, Electronic Book. (2012).