

Common Fixed Point Theorem in Cone Metric Spaces

Sangeeta

Department of Mathematics, Maharshi Dayanand University, Rohtak, Haryana -124001, INDIA

ARTICLE DETAILS

Article History

Published Online: 10 January 2019

Keywords

Fixed point, Ciric-type contraction mappings, cone metric space, common fixed points.

ABSTRACT

Plant development advancing rhizobacteria (PGPR) are a gathering of free-living microbes that colonize the rhizosphere and advantage the root development. In this exploration we have examined about the plant Growth Promotion rhizobacteria, significance, business approach of the plant development advancing rhizobacteria and the mechanism of plant development promotion.

1. Introduction

In 1922, the Polish mathematician, Stephen Banach, demonstrated a hypothesis which guarantees, under proper conditions, the presence and uniqueness of a fixed point. This outcome is called Banach's fixed point theorem. This hypothesis gives a strategy to taking care of an assortment of applied issues in scientific science and building. From that point onward, numerous creators have expanded, summed up and improved Banach's fixed point theorem in various manners and various spaces.

In 2007, Huang and Zhang[9] presented the thought of Cone metric space and depicted there union in cone metric spaces. They additionally demonstrated some fixed point hypotheses in cone metric spaces. Turkoglu and Abuloha[17] summed up certain definitions and topological ideas of cone metric spaces and demonstrated there that each cone metric space is a topological space. They likewise summed up the idea of oppositely contractive mappings and demonstrated some fixed point hypotheses in cone metric spaces. In[1], the creators demonstrated some fixed point hypotheses in cone metric spaces which summed up those in[9]. In[11], the creators characterized the semi constriction on cone metric spaces and they demonstrated some fixed point hypotheses. For later fixed point hypotheses in cone metric spaces we allude to [see2,3,8,12,13,15,16,20].

Then again, summed up constriction mappings, presented in[4], are critical in fixed point hypothesis. After that and in the most recent decade, in[7], J. Gornicki, B. E. Rhoades utilized summed up compression mappings to acquire basic fixed point hypotheses.

In this paper, we to demonstrate a fixed point hypothesis and a typical fixed point hypothesis for Ciric-type constriction planning in the setting of Cone metric spaces. Our outcomes expands and sums up the consequences of Ciric in [5] and Turkoglu et al.[18]

2. Preliminaries

Let E be a real Banach space and P a subset of E . Then, P is called a cone if and only if P1) P is closed, non-empty and $P \neq \{0\}$,

P2) $a, b \in \mathbb{R}; a, b \geq 0; x, y \in P \Rightarrow ax + by \in P$,

P3) $x \in P$ and $-x \in P \Rightarrow x = 0$.

Given a cone $P \subset E$, we define a partial ordering \leq with respect to P by $x \leq y$ if and only if $-x \in P$. We write $x < y$ to indicate that $x \leq y$ but $x \neq y$, while $x \ll y$ will stand for $-x \in \text{Int}P$. Here $\text{Int}P$ denotes the interior of P .

The cone P is called normal if there is a number K , such that for all $x, y \in E, 0 \leq x \leq y \Rightarrow \|x\| \leq K \|y\|$, where K is called the normal constant of P .

The cone P is called regular if every increasing sequence which is bounded from above is convergent. That is, if $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence such that $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_n \leq y$ for some $y \in E$. Then there is $x \in E$ such that $\|x_n - x\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Equivalently, the cone P is called regular if every decreasing sequence which is bounded below is convergent [14]. P is called a minihedral cone if $\sup\{x, y\}$ exists for all $x, y \in E$, and strongly minihedral if every subset of E which is bounded from above has a supremum and hence any subset of E which is bounded from below has an infimum [6]. Throughout this paper we assume that the cone P is normal with constant K and P is a cone in E with $\text{int}P \neq \emptyset$ and \leq is a partial ordering with respect to P .

Definition 2.1 [9]. A cone metric space is an ordered pair (X, d) , where X is any set and $d : X \times X \rightarrow E$ is a mapping satisfying:

- D1) $0 < d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$,
- D2) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$,
- D3) $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Definition 2.2[9]. Let (X, d) be a cone metric space, $\{x_n\}$ a sequence in X and $x \in X$. If for any $c \in E$ with $c \gg 0$, there is N such that for all $n > N$, $d(x_n, x) \ll c$, then $\{x_n\}$ is said to be convergent and $\{x_n\}$ converges to x . (i.e. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ or $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$).

Definition 2.3 . Let (X, d) be a cone metric space, $\{x_n\}$ a sequence in X , if for any $c \in E$ with $c \gg 0$, there is N such that for all $n, m > N$, $d(x_n, x_m) \ll c$, then $\{x_n\}$ is called a Cauchy sequence in X .

Lemma 2.1[9]. Let (X, d) be a cone metric space, P a normal cone with a normal constant K . Let $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ be two sequences in X and $d(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow d(x, y)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. $y_n \rightarrow y, x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then

Lemma 2.2[9]. Let (X, d) be a cone metric space, P a normal cone with a normal constant K . Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X . Then $\{x_n\}$ converges to x if and only if $d(x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 2.3[9]. Let (X, d) be a cone metric space, P a normal cone with a normal constant K . Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X . Then $\{x_n\}$ Cauchy sequence if and only if $d(x_n, x_m) \rightarrow 0$ as $m, n \rightarrow \infty$.

3. Main Results

For $x_1, x_2 \in X$ the scalar distant $d_c(x_1, x_2)$ between x_1 and x_2 is defined by $d_c(x_1, x_2) = d(x_1, x_2)$.

Theorem 3.1. Let (X, d) be a complete cone metric space with a normal constant $K \geq 1$ and $T : X \rightarrow X$ a self-map on X such that for each $x, y \in X$

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq a(x, y) \max\{d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), [d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)]\} \tag{3.1}$$

$$\{d_c(x, Tx), d_c(y, Ty)\} + c(x, y) [d_c(x, Ty) + d_c(y, Tx)]$$

where a, b, c are functions from $X \times X$ into $[0, 1)$ such that

$$\lambda = \sup\{Ka(x, y) + b(x, y) : K, c, y, x, y \in X\} \tag{3.2}$$

- (i) T has a unique fixed point, say $u \in X$

Proof: Fix $x \in X$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be defined by From (2.1),

$$d_c(x_n, x_{n+1}) = d_c(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n) \quad x_0 = x, x_1 = Tx_0, x_2 = Tx_1, \dots, x_{n+1} = Tx_n, \dots$$

$$a \max\{d_c(x_{n-1}, x_n), d_c(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}), d_c(x_n, Tx_n), d_c(x_{n-1}, Tx_n) + d_c(x_n, Tx_{n-1})\}$$

$$= b \max\{d_c(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}), d_c(x_n, Tx_n)\} + c [d_c(x_{n-1}, Tx_n) + d_c(x_n, Tx_{n-1})]$$

$$a \max\{d_c(x_{n-1}, x_n), d_c(x_{n-1}, x_n), d_c(x_n, x_{n+1}), [d_c(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}) + d_c(x_n, x_n)]\}$$

$$= b \max\{d_c(x_{n-1}, x_n), d_c(x_n, x_{n+1})\} + c [d_c(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}) + d_c(x_n, x_n)] + b \max\{d_c(x_{n-1}, x_n), d_c(x_n, x_{n+1})\} + c d_c(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1})$$

where a, b, c are evaluate at (x_{n-1}, x_n) .

Now by Triangular inequality, we have

$$d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}) \leq d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1})$$

$$\text{Hence, } d_c(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}) \leq K d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq K [d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1})]$$

$$\leq 2K \max\{d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(x_n, x_{n+1})\}$$

By (3.4) equation (3.3) turn to be (3.3)

$$d_c(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq (Ka + b) \max\{d_c(x_{n-1}, x_n), d_c(x_n, x_{n+1})\} + 2Kc \max\{d_c(x_{n-1}, x_n), d_c(x_n, x_{n+1})\}$$

Then, $d_c(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \lambda \max\{d_c(x_{n-1}, x_n), d_c(x_n, x_{n+1})\}$

Since $\lambda < 1$, we have $d_c(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \lambda d_c(x_{n-1}, x_n)$

Proceeding in this way, we obtain $d_c(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \lambda d_c(x_{n-1}, x_n) \leq \lambda^2 d_c(x_0, x_1) \leq \lambda^n d_c(x_0, x_1)$ (3.6) Now we will prove that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

For this using normality of cone, equation (3.6) and that \cdot satisfies the triangular inequality, we obtain

$$d_C(x_n, x_m) \leq K[d_C(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d_C(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \dots + d_C(x_{m-1}, x_m)] \leq K[d_C(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d_C(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \dots + d_C(x_{m-1}, x_m)] \leq K[\lambda^n d_C(x, Tx) + \lambda^{n+1} d_C(x, Tx) + \dots + \lambda^{m-1} d_C(x, Tx)]$$

Letting $n, m \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.7), Lemma 2.2 implies that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since (X, d) is a complete cone metric space, then there exists $u \in X$ such that $\lim x_n = u$.

Next, we will show that u is a fixed point of T . From (3.1) and (3.2)

$$d(Tu, Tx) \leq a \max\{d(u, x), d(u, Tu), d(x, Tx), d(u, Tx) + d(x, Tu)\} \leq b \max\{d_C(u, Tu), d_C(x_n, Tx_n)\} + c[d_C(u, Tx_n) + d_C(x_n, Tu)] \leq c$$

$$\{d_C(u, x_n), d_C(u, Tu), d_C(x_n, x_{n+1}), d_C(u, x_{n+1}), d_C(x_n, Tu)\} \leq \lambda \max\{d_C(u, x_n), d_C(u, Tu), d_C(x_n, x_{n+1}), d_C(u, x_{n+1}), d_C(x_n, Tu)\}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, then by (3.8) and Lemma 2.1, we have

$$d_C(u, Tu) \leq \lambda d_C(u, Tu) \quad (3.9)$$

Since $\lambda < 1$, then $d_C(u, Tu) = 0$. Hence $d(Tu, u) = 0$ implies $Tu = u$.

To prove the uniqueness of fixed point, assume T . Then from (3.1),

$$d_C(x, y) = d_C(Tx, Ty) \quad x, y \in X \text{ and } x \neq y \text{ are two fixed points of } T$$

$$a \max\{d_C(x, y), d_C(x, Tx), d_C(y, Ty), d_C(x, Ty) + d_C(y, Tx)\} + b \max\{d_C(x, Tx), d_C(y, Ty)\} + c[d_C(x, Ty) + d_C(y, Tx)] \leq c d_C(x, y) \leq \lambda d_C(x, y)$$

Since $\lambda < 1$, then $d_C(x, y) = 0$ which implies $x = y$. Since $x \in X$ be arbitrary then from (3.8), we conclude that (ii) holds.

To show (iii), taking the limit in (3.7) as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and making use of Lemma 2.1, we get

$$d(T^n x, u) \leq 1 - \lambda d_C(x, Tx) \text{ for each } n. \text{ This completes the proof of the theorem.}$$

If we put $b = c = 0$ in Theorem 3.1, we get the following corollary as generalization of Theorem 1 of [18] as a special case.

Corollary 3.1. Theorem 3.1. Let (X, d) be a complete cone metric space with a normal constant $K \geq 1$ and $T : X \rightarrow X$ a self-map on X such that for each $x, y \in X$:

- $$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \lambda \max\{d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)\}$$
- where $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ with $\lambda K < 1$, then
- (i) T has a unique fixed point, say $u \in X$,
 - (ii) $T^n x \rightarrow u$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for each $x \in X$,
- $$(Tx, u) \leq 1 - \lambda d_C(x, Tx)$$

Let S be a non-empty set and let $\{T_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in J}$ be a family of self-mappings on S and J an indexing set. A point $u \in S$ is called a common fixed point for a family $\{T_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in J}$ if and only if $u = T_\alpha u$ for each T_α .

Theorem 3.2. Let (X, d) be a complete cone metric space with a normal constant $K \geq 1$ and

$\{T_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in J}$ a family of self-mappings of X . If there exists a fixed $\alpha \in J : \beta \in J$ such that for each $d(Tx, Ty) \leq a \max\{d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)\} + b \max\{d_C(x, T_\alpha x), d_C(y, T_\beta y)\} + c[d_C(x, T_\beta y) + d_C(y, T_\alpha x)]$

where a, b, c are functions from $X \times X$ into $[0, 1)$ such that

Then all T_α have a unique common fixed point which is a unique fixed point of each $T_\alpha, \alpha \in J$.

Proof: Let $\alpha \in J$ and $x \in X$ be arbitrary. Consider a sequence defined by $x_0 = x$,

$$x_{2n+1} = T_\alpha x_{2n}, x_{2n+2} = T_\beta x_{2n+1}, n \geq 0. \text{ From (3.10), we get}$$

$$d_C(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) = d_C(T_\alpha x_{2n}, T_\beta x_{2n+1}) \leq a \max\{d_C(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}), d_C(x_{2n}, T_\alpha x_{2n}), d_C(x_{2n+1}, T_\beta x_{2n+1}), [d_C(x_{2n}, T_\beta x_{2n+1}) + d_C(x_{2n+1}, T_\alpha x_{2n})]\} + b \max\{d_C(x_{2n}, T_\alpha x_{2n}), d_C(x_{2n+1}, T_\beta x_{2n+1})\} + c[d_C(x_{2n}, T_\beta x_{2n+1}) + d_C(x_{2n+1}, T_\alpha x_{2n})]$$

$$a \max\{d_C(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}), d_C(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}), [d_C(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}), d_C(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2}) + d_C(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1})]\} + b \max\{d_C(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}), d_C(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})\} + c[d_C(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2}) + d_C(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1})]$$

Since

$$d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2}) \leq d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \leq K d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \quad (3.12)$$

$$d_C(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2}) \leq K[d_C(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + d_C(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})]$$

So,
 $d(x, x) \leq K d(x, x) + d(x, x) \leq K \max\{d_c(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}), d_c(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})\}$

We have, $d_c(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \leq aK \max\{d_c(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}), d_c(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})\} + b \max\{d_c(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}), d_c(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})\} + cK \max\{d_c(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}), d_c(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})\}$

Now,
 Case I. If $\max\{d_c(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}), d_c(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})\} = d_c(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})$, then $\leq \lambda d_c(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})$

Case II. If $\max\{d_c(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}), d_c(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})\} = d_c(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})$, then $\leq \lambda d_c(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})$. Similarly, we may find that $d_c(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \leq \lambda d_c(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n})$. Thus for any $n \geq 1$, we have

$$d(x, x) \leq \lambda d(x, x) \leq \lambda^2 d(x, x) \leq \dots \leq \lambda^n d(x, x).$$

Now, we show that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X . For $m > n$, we get

$$d_c(x_n, x_m) \leq K [d_c(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d_c(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \dots + d_c(x_{m-1}, x_m)] \leq K [\lambda^n d(x, x) + \lambda^{n+1} d(x, x) + \dots + \lambda^{m-1} d(x_0, x_1)]$$

$$\leq K [\lambda^n + \lambda^{n+1} + \dots + \lambda^{m-1}] d(x, x) \quad (3.13)$$

Letting $\lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty}$ and using Lemma 2.2, we conclude that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since X is complete, there is a $z \in X$ such that

From (3.10), we have

$$d_c(T\beta, x_{2n+1}) = d_c(T\beta, T\alpha x_{2n}) \lim x = z \quad (3.14)$$

$$a \max\{d_c(z, x_{2n}), d_c(z, T\beta), d_c(x_{2n}, T\alpha x_{2n}), [d_c(z, T\alpha x_{2n}) + d_c(x_{2n}, T\beta)] + b \max\{d_c(z, T\beta), d_c(x_{2n}, T\alpha x_{2n})\} + c d_c(z, T\alpha x_{2n}) + d_c(x_{2n}, T\beta)\}$$

Taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and using Lemma 2.1, we get

$d_c(T\beta, z) \leq (a+b+c)d_c(T\beta, z) \Rightarrow d_c(T\beta, z) = 0$ as $a+b+2c < 1$ and so $T\beta = z$. Now we show that z is a fixed point of all $\{T\alpha\}_{\alpha \in J}$, let $\alpha \in J$ be arbitrary. Then from (3.10) with $x = y = z = T\beta$, we have

$$d_c(z, T\alpha z) = d_c(T\beta, T\alpha z) = a \max\{d_c(z, z), d_c(z, T\beta), d_c(z, T\alpha z), d_c(z, T\beta) + d_c(z, T\beta) + b \max\{d_c(z, T\beta), d_c(z, T\alpha z)\} + c d_c(z, T\beta) + d_c(z, T\beta)\}$$

$$\leq (a+b+c)d_c(z, T\alpha z)$$

and hence $T\alpha z = z$. Thus all $T\alpha$ have a common fixed point.

Suppose that w is another fixed point of $T\beta$. Then as proved above, w is a common fixed point of all $\{T\alpha\}_{\alpha \in J}$.

Thus from (3.10), we have

$$d_c(z, w) = d_c(T\beta, T\alpha w) \leq (a+b+c)d_c(z, w) \text{ and so } z = w. \text{ Thus } z \text{ is a unique common fixed point of all } \{T\alpha\}_{\alpha \in J}.$$

REFERENCES

1. M. Abbas, B. E. Rhoades, Fixed and periodic point results in Cone metric spaces, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 9, 1364-1368 (2009).
2. M. Arshad, A. Azam, P. Vetro, Some common fixed point results in cone uniform spaces, *Fixed Point Theory and Appl.*, Article ID 493965 (2009).
3. A. Azam, M. Arshad, Common fixed points of generalized contractive maps in cone metric spaces, *Bull. Iranian Math. Soc.*, 35, 255-264 (2009).
4. L.J. B. Ćirić, Generalized contraction and fixed point theorems, *Publ. Inst. Math.*, 12, 19- 26 (1971).
5. L.J. B. Ćirić, On a family of contractive maps and fixed points, *Publ. Inst. Math.*, 17, 45- 51 (1974).
6. K. Deimling, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis*, Springer-Verlage, Berlin, Heidelberg and New York, (1985).
7. J. Gornicki, B. E. Rhoades, A general fixed point theorem for involution, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 27, 13-23 (1996).
8. R.H. Haghi, S.H. Rezapour, Fixed points of multifunctions on regular cone metric spaces, *Expo. Math.*, 2, 71-77 (2010).
9. L. G. Huang, X. Zhang, Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 332, 1468-1476 (2007).
10. D. Ilic, V. Rekocevic, Common fixed points for maps on cone metric space, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 341, 876-882 (2008).
11. D. Ilic, V. Rekocevic, Quasi-contraction on cone metric space, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 22, 728- 731 (2009).

12. D. Klim, D. Wardowski, Dynamic process and fixed points of set valued nonlinear contractions in cone metric spaces, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 71, 5170-5175(2009).
13. S.Radonevic, Common fixed points under contractive conditions in cone metric spaces, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 58, 1273-1278 (2009).
14. SH. Rezapour, Best approximations in cone metric spaces, *Math. Morav.*, 11, 85-88 (2007).
15. SH. Rezapour, R. H. Haghi, Fixed point of multifunctions on cone metric spaces, *Numr. Funct. Anal. Optim.*, 30, 825-832(2009).
16. SH. Rezapour, R. H. Haghi, Two results about fixed point of multifunctions, *Math. Proc. R. Ir. Azad*, 109, 155-161(2009).
17. D. Turkoglu, M. Abuloha, Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems in Diametrically contractive mappings, *Acta Sinica Math.*, 26, 489-496(2010).
18. D. Turkoglu, M. Abuloha, T. Abdeljawad, Fixed points of generalized contraction mappings in cone metric spaces, *Math. Commun.*, 16, 325-334(2011).
19. P.Vetro, Common fixed points in cone metric spaces, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo*, 56, 464- 468 (2007).
20. D.Wardowski, Endpoint and fixed point of set valued contractions in cone metric spaces, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 71, 2259-2261 (2009).