

# On Product of Hypergeometric Function, General Class of Multivariable Polynomials and a Generalized Hypergeometric Series Associated with Feynman Integrals

Rakesh Ranjan

(Research Scholar) Department of Mathematics, V.K.S. University, Ara , Bihar

## ARTICLE DETAILS

### Article History

Published Online: 10 October 2018

### Keywords

Feynman integrals,  $\bar{H}$ -Function, Riemann Zeta function, Hurwitz  $\zeta$  Zeta function, Multivariable Polynomials.

## ABSTRACT

In this paper, we first establish a finite integral and then obtain three theorems with the help of this integral and the results given by Slater (1966). These results involve the product of hypergeometric functions, two classes of multivariable polynomials and the  $\bar{H}$ -function introduced by Inayat-Hussain (1987) and further studied by Buschman and Srivastava (1990). This  $\bar{H}$ -function contains certain class Feynman integrals, the exact partition function of the Gaussian model in statistical mechanics, the polylogarithm of order  $p$  and several other functions as its particular cases. The results obtained here are quite general in nature due to the presence of two general classes of multivariable polynomials and the  $\bar{H}$ -function, and we can derive from them a number of similar results involving simpler functions and polynomials. For the same of illustration, we have mentioned here three particular cases of our results which are new and of interest by themselves. References of some known results which follow from the main finding of this paper have also been given.

## 1. Introduction

Feynman path integrals are reformulation of quantum mechanics and are more fundamental than the conventional one in terms of operators because in the domain of quantum cosmology, the conventional formulation may fail but Feynman path integrals still apply. Inayat-Hussain (1987a) while demonstrating the usefulness of Feynman integrals in enabling the derivation of new transformation, summation and reduction formulae for multiple hypergeometric series was led to a generalized Fox's H-function which he denoted by  $\bar{H}$  (Inayat-hussain 1987b). This  $\bar{H}$ -function is a generalization of the familiar Fox's H-function and is defined as follows

$$(1) \quad \bar{H}_{P,Q}^{M,N}[z] = \bar{H}_{P,Q}^{M,N} \left[ z \left| \begin{matrix} (a_j, \alpha_j, A_j)_{1,N} & (a_j, \alpha_j)_{N+1,P} \\ (b_j, \beta_j)_{1,M} & (b_j, \beta_j; B_j)_{M+1,Q} \end{matrix} \right. \right]$$

$$(2) \quad = \frac{1}{2\pi\omega} \int_{-\omega}^{\omega} \bar{\phi}(\xi) z^\xi d\xi \quad \omega = \sqrt{-1}$$

$$\bar{\phi}(\xi) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^M \Gamma(b_j \beta_j \xi) \prod_{j=1}^N \{\Gamma(1 - a_j + \alpha_j \xi)\} \Lambda_j}{\prod_{j=M+1}^Q \{(1 - b_j + \beta_j \xi)\}^{B_j} \prod_{j=N+1}^P \Gamma(a_j - \alpha_j \xi)}$$

Here  $a_j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, P$ ) and  $b_j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, Q$ ) are complex parameters,  $a_j \geq 0$  ( $j = 1, \dots, P$ ) and  $\beta_j \geq 0$  ( $j = 1, \dots, q$ ) (not all zero simultaneously) and the exponents  $A_j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, N$ ) and  $B_j$  ( $j = M + 1, \dots, Q$ ) can take on non-integer values. For the absolute convergence of the  $\bar{H}$ -function, the following sufficient conditions are given by Buschman and Srivastava (1990)

$$(3) \quad \Omega = \sum_{j=1}^M \beta_j = \sum_{j=M+1}^Q \beta_j B_j + \sum_{j=1}^N A_j \alpha_j - \sum_{j=N+1}^P \alpha_j > 0$$

$$(4) \quad |\arg(z)| < \frac{1}{2} \pi \Omega$$

and

When all the exponents  $A_j$  and  $B_j$  take on integer values, the  $\bar{H}$ -function reduces to the well known Fox's H-function (Fox 1961).

We given below few particular cases of the  $\bar{H}$ -funciton which are not the spedl cases of foxs H-function.

(i)  $g_1 = (-1)^p g(e, \eta, f, p; z)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{K_{d-1} \Gamma(p+1) \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\right) B\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\right)}{2^{p+2} \pi \Gamma(e) \Gamma\left(c - \frac{1}{2}\right)} \frac{1}{2} \pi \omega \int_{-\omega}^{\omega} \frac{(-z)^\xi \omega(-\xi) \Gamma(e + \xi) \Gamma\left(e - \frac{f}{2} + \xi\right)}{(\eta + \xi)^{1+p} \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{f}{2} + \xi\right)} \\
 (5) \quad &= \frac{\Gamma(p+1) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\right)}{2^{p+d} \pi^{d/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{d-1}{2}\right) \Gamma(e) \Gamma\left(e - \frac{f}{2}\right)} \\
 &\bar{H}_{3,3}^{1,3} \left[ -z \left[ \begin{matrix} (1-e, 1; 1) \left(1 - e + \frac{f}{2}, 1; 1\right), (1-\eta, l; p+1) \\ (0, 1), \left(-\frac{f}{2}, 1; 1\right), (-\eta, 1; p+1) \end{matrix} \right] \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$K_{d-1} = \frac{2^{2-d} \pi^{(1-d)/2}}{\Gamma(d-1) / 2}$$

The above function is connected with a certain class of Feynman integrals (Inayat-Hussain 1987 b, p, 4121, eq.1)

Further if we taken  $e = 1 + \frac{f}{2}$  in (1), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &g\left(1 + \frac{f}{2}, \eta, f, p; z\right) \\
 &= \frac{2^{-p-d} \Gamma(p+1) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{f}{2}\right)}{(-1)^p \pi^{d/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{d-1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{f}{2}\right)} \bar{H}_{2,2}^{1,2} \left[ -z \left[ \begin{matrix} (0, p, 1), (1-\eta, k, p+1) \\ (0, 1), (-\eta, l; p+1) \end{matrix} \right] \right] \\
 (6) \quad &
 \end{aligned}$$

Which can be written as

$$(7) \quad g\left(1 + \frac{f}{2}, \eta, f, p; z\right) = \frac{2^{-p-d} \Gamma(p+1) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{f}{2}\right)}{(-1)^p \pi^{d/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{d-1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{f}{2}\right)} \phi(z, p+1, \eta)$$

where  $\phi(z, p, \eta)$  is a generalized Riemann zeta function (Erdelyi 1953, p. 27, 1.11 (1)) which is a generalization of the well known generalized Hurwitz's zeta function  $\zeta(p, \eta)$  and Riemann zeta function  $\zeta(p)$  (Erdelyi 1953, p. 24, eq. 10(1), p. 32, eq. 12(1)).

(ii)  $\beta' F(d, \varepsilon)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{-(1+\varepsilon)^{-2}}{4\pi^{d/2}} \frac{1}{2\pi\omega} \int_{-\omega\infty}^{\omega\infty} \frac{[-(1+\varepsilon)^{-2}]^\xi \Gamma(-\xi)\{\Gamma(1+\xi)\}^2 \{\Gamma(3/2+\xi)\}^d}{\{\Gamma(2+\xi)\}^{1+d}} d\xi \\
 (8) \quad &= \frac{-(1+\varepsilon)^{-2}}{4\pi^{d/2}} \bar{H}_{3,3}^{1,3} \left[ -(1+\varepsilon)^{-2} \left| \begin{matrix} (0,1;1), (0,1;1), 1-e, 1;1, \left(-\frac{1}{2}, l; d\right) \\ (0,1), (-l; l+d) \end{matrix} \right. \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

The above function is the exact partition function of the the Gaussian model in statistical mechanics (Inayat-Hussain 1987b, p. 4217, eq. 28).

(iii) The Polylogarithm of order p (Erdelyi 1953, p.30, 1.11) (14)

$$(9) \quad F(z, p) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n^p} = \bar{H}_{2,3}^{1,2} \left[ -z \left| \begin{matrix} (1,1;1), (l,l;p) \\ (1,1), (0,l;p) \end{matrix} \right. \right]$$

For p=2, the above function reduces into Euler’s dilogarithm (Erdeli 1953, p. 31, 1.11.1(22)).

We shall also require the following two classes of multivariable polynomials. The first class of multivariable polynomials introduced by Srivastava and Garg [1987] is defined in the following form :

$$\begin{aligned}
 (10) \quad S_{F,p}^r(z_1, \dots, z_r) &= \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_r \geq 0}^{p_1 \lambda_1 + \dots + 1/\lambda, d} (-V)^{U_1 k_1 + \dots + U_r k_r} A(V; k_1, \dots, k_r) \frac{z_1^{k_1}}{k_1!} \dots \frac{z_r^{k_r}}{k_r!}
 \end{aligned}$$

Where  $U_1, \dots, U_r$  are arbitrary positive integers and the coefficient

$$a(V; k_1, \dots, k_r) \quad (V, k_i \geq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, r)$$

being arbitrary constant, real or complex.

The second class of multivariable polynomials given by Srivastava (1972) is defined and represented in the slightly modified form as follows :

$$(11) \quad \sum_{k_1=0}^{P_1 F_1} \dots \sum_{k_r=0}^{P_r F_r} \dots (-F)^{U_1 k_1 + \dots + U_r k_r} (V_1), A'(P_1, k_1, \dots; P, k_r) \frac{z_1^{k_1}}{k_1!} \dots \frac{z_r^{k_r}}{k_r!}$$

Where  $U_1, \dots, U_r$  are arbitrary positive integers, the coefficient  $(\Gamma_1, \lambda; \dots; \Gamma_r, k_r) (\Gamma_r, k_r \geq 0, l = 1 \dots r)$  being arbitrary constant, real or complex.

**2. A useful Integral :** In this section we shall obtain the following finite integral involving the product of the first and second class of multivariable polynomials and the  $\bar{H}$ -function defined by (10), (11) and (2.5.1) respectively.

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_0^b z^{\rho-1} (b-z)^{a-1} S_{F,p}^{U_1, \dots, U_r} \left[ X_1 z^{\mu_1} (b-z)^{\nu_1} \dots X_r z^{\mu_d} (b-z)^{\nu_d} \right] \\
 &\times S_{V,q}^{U_1, \dots, U_r} \left[ X_{r+1} z^{\mu_{r+1}} (b-z)^{\nu_{r+1}} \dots X_s z^{\mu_s} (b-z)^{\nu_s} \right] \\
 &\times \bar{H}_{P,Q}^{M,N} \left[ Y z^{\mu} (b-z)^{\nu} \left| \begin{matrix} (\alpha_j, \alpha_j; A_j)_{l,x}, (\alpha_j, \alpha_j)_x + lP \\ (b_j, \beta_j)_{l,M}, (b_j, \beta_j)_{M+1,Q} \end{matrix} \right. \right] dz
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \mathbf{b}^{p+\sigma-1} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_r} A^i(V_1, k_1, \dots, V_r, k_r) A(V; k_{r+1}, \dots, k_x) \prod_{i=1}^r (-V_i) U_i k_i \\
 &\times (V)_{U_{r+1} k_{r+1} + \dots + U_{2l} k_{2l}} \prod_{l=1}^3 \left\{ \frac{x_l^{h_l}}{k_l^{h_l}} \mathbf{b}^{(\mu+v_l)H_l} \right\} \bar{H}_{1+2(1+1)}^{M, N+2} \\
 &\left[ Y \mathbf{b}^{\mu+v} \left( 1 - \rho - \sum_{l=1}^s \mu_l k_l, \mu; 1 \right) \left( 1 - \sigma - \sum_{l=1}^s V_l k_l, v; 1 \right), (a_j, \alpha_j; A_j)_{l, N}, (a_j, \alpha_j)_{N+1, l} \right] \\
 & (b_j, \beta_j)_{l, M}, (b_j, \beta_j; B_j)_{M+1, Q} \left[ 1 - \rho - \sigma - \sum_{l=1}^s (\mu_l + v_l) k_l, \mu + v; 1 \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

Where  $\sum_{k_1=1}^p s$  stands for  $\sum_{l_1=0}^{[V_1/U_1]} \dots \sum_{k_r=0}^{[V_r/U_r]} \sum_{k_{r+1}, \dots, k_l=0}^{U_{r+1} k_{r+1} + \dots + U_{3l} k_{3l} \leq 1}$

The result hold true under the following conditions :

- (i)  $\text{Re}(\rho, \sigma) > 0$
- (ii)  $\min(\mu, v, \mu_l, v_l) \geq 0, (l = 1, \dots, s)$
- (iii)  $\text{Re}(\rho) + \mu \min_{1 \leq j \leq m} [\text{Re}(b_j / \beta_j)] > 0$
- $\text{Re}(\sigma) + v \min_{1 \leq j \leq m} [\text{Re}(b_j / \beta_j)] > 0$
- (iv) The  $\bar{H}$  - function occurring in (1.1) satisfies the conditions corresponding to those given by (3) and (4).

**Proof :** the above integral can easily be established on using the Bellin-Barnes contour integral for  $\bar{H}$  -function, series form of multivariable polynomials and the well known Eulerian Beta integral.

On taking  $s = r + 1$  and  $r = 1$  in the above integral, we easily arrive at a result obtained recently by Gupta and Soni (2001)

**Main Results**

$$(1 - z)^{\alpha+\beta-\gamma-1/2} {}_2F_1[2\alpha, 2\beta; 2\gamma; z] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \text{ then}$$

**THEOREM 1:** If

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_0^b z^{\rho-1} (b - z)^{\sigma-1} {}_2F_1[\alpha, \beta; \gamma; z] {}_2F_1[\gamma - \alpha + 1/2, \gamma - \beta + 1/2; \gamma + 1; z] \\
 &\times S_{r_1 \dots r_r}^{U_1 \dots U_r} [X_2 z^{\mu_1} (b - z)^{r_1}, \dots, X_r, z^{\mu_r} (b - z)^{r_r}] \\
 &\times S_r^{U_{r+1} \dots U_r} [X_{r+1} z^{\mu_{r+1}} (b - z)^{r+1}, \dots, X_s, z^{\mu_s} (b - z)^{r_r}] \\
 &\times \bar{H}_{P, Q}^{M, N} \left[ z^{\mu} (b - z)^r \left( \begin{matrix} (\alpha_j, \alpha_j; A_j)_{l, N}, (\alpha_j, \alpha_j)_{N-1, P} \\ (b_j, \beta_j)_{l, M}, (b_j, \beta_j; B_j)_{M-1, Q} \end{matrix} \right) \right] dz
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= b^{\rho+\sigma-1} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_r} \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} A'(V_1, k_1; \dots, V_r, k_r) A(V; k_{r+1}, \dots, k_s) \prod_{i=1}^r (-V_i)^{U_i k_i} \\
 &\times (-v)_{L_{r+1}+L_{r+2}+\dots+U_{r+1}} \prod_{i=1}^s \left\{ \frac{x_i^{k_i}}{k_i^i} b^{p_i-r_i} \right\} \frac{(\gamma+1/2)_n}{(\gamma+1)_r} a_n b^n \bar{H}_{P-2Q-1}^{M, N-2} \\
 &\left[ Yb^{\mu+1} \left( \left( 1-\rho-n-\sum_{l=1}^s \mu_l k_l, \mu; 1 \right), \left( 1-\sigma-\sum_{l=1}^s v_l k_l, v; 1 \right) (a_j, \alpha_j; A_j)_{1,N} (a_j, \alpha_j)_{N+1} \right. \right. \\
 &\left. \left. (b_j, \beta_j)_{1,M}, (b_j, \beta_j; B_j)_{M+1,Q} \left( 1-\rho-\sigma-n-\sum_{l=1}^s (\mu_l + v_l) k_l, \mu + v; 1 \right) \right) \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\sum_{k_1, \dots, k_n}$  stands for  $\sum_{k_1=0}^{(V_1/U_1)} \dots \sum_{k_r=0}^{[V_r/U_r] U_r, k_{r+1}+\dots+U_r k_r \leq 1}$  and the following conditions are assumed to be satisfied.

- (i)  $Re(\rho, \sigma) > 0$
- (ii)  $\min(\mu, v, \mu_1, v_1) \geq 0, (l = 1, \dots, s)$  (not all zero simultaneously)
- (iii)  $Re(\rho) + \mu \min_{1 \leq j \leq m} [Re(b_j / \beta_j)] > 0$   
 $Re(\sigma) + v \min_{1 \leq j \leq m} [Re(b_j / \beta_j)] > 0$

The  $\bar{H}$  – function occurring in (2.1) satisfies the conditions corresponding to those given by (3) and (4)

**Reference**

1. Inayat. Hussain A.A. (1987 a) : New Properties of Hyper Geometric Series derivable from Fiyman integrals. I. Transformation & reduction formula. Math Gen. 20, 4109.
2. Inayat – Hussain. A.A. (1987 b) : New Properties of Hyper Geometric Series derivable from Feyomass integrals II, A Generalization of the H-function A, Math Case 20, 4119, 4127, eq. 28.
3. Srivastava, H.M. & Garg M. (1987) – Some integrals involving a general class of Polynomials and the multivariable H-function.
4. Srivastava, H.M. (1972) : A contour integral involving Fox’s H-Function. Indian J. Math.
5. Slater L.J. (1966) : Generalized Hyper Geometric functions Cambridge University Press.