

# Some New Results for Generalised Contraction Involving Fixed Point Properties

Dr. Ram Pravesh Singh

Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, Rastra Kavi Ramdhari Singh Dinkar Engineering College, Begusarai, Bihar

## ARTICLE DETAILS

### Article History

Published Online: 12 June 2019

### Keywords

fixed points, bound sequence, linear space, normed space, contraction.

## ABSTRACT

The present research paper provides some new results on fixed points by Cebysev – Centre method applied to bounded sequence in normed linear space.

## 1. Introduction

In this paper we have some new results on fixed points by Cebysev – Centre method applied to bounded sequence in normed linear space. We have got fixed point theorems under boundary conditions of inwardness type for non-expansive mappings and generalized contractions Kirk [2,3,4] having domains which are not necessarily convex. We have also done a fixed point theorem for a generalized contraction map developed by Altman [1]. This theorem improves a result due to Horris and Sehgal [5].

## 2. Definitions And Results

### Definition 1:

Let  $(E, \|\cdot\|)$  be a n.l.s. for bounded sequence  $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in E^{\mathbb{N}}$ . We define the map  $R((u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}): E \rightarrow R^+$  by

$$R((u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})(x) := \overline{\lim} (\|u_n - x\|)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$$

If  $X$  is a nonvoid subset of  $E$  we call

$$C(x, (u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) := \left\{ x \mid x \in X, R((u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})(x) = \inf_{y \in X} R((u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})(y) \right\}$$

The Cebysev-center of  $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  with respect to  $X$ .

### Definition 2 :

Let  $(E, \|\cdot\|)$  be a n.l.s.,  $\phi \neq X \subset E$  and  $f: E \rightarrow E$ .

$$(i) \quad f \text{ is said to be nonexpansive : } \Leftrightarrow \forall x, y \in X \quad \|f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \|x - y\|.$$

(ii)  $f$  is said to be a generalized contraction :  $\Leftrightarrow$

$$\exists \alpha: X \rightarrow [0,1) \quad \forall x, y \in X \quad \|f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \alpha(x) \|x - y\|$$

### Definition 3 :

Let  $(E, \|\cdot\|)$  be a n.l.s., and  $X \subset E$ .  $X$  is said to be shrinkable :  $\Leftrightarrow [0,1) \overline{X} \subset \text{int}(X)$ . A convex neighborhood of the origin is a shrinkable set (but converse is not true). A non-void shrinkable set is a star shaped neighborhood of the origin (but the converse is not true).

### Definition 4:

Let  $X$  be a complete metric space and  $f: X \rightarrow X$  a generalized contraction, i.e.,

$$d(fx, fy) \leq Q(d(x, y)) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X,$$

where Q satisfies the following :

(a)  $0 < Q(t) < t$ , for all  $t \in (0, t_1]$ ,

(b)  $g(t) = \frac{t}{(t - Q(t))}$  is non-increasing,

(c)  $\int_0^{t_1} g(t) dt < \infty$

and

(d) Q is non-decreasing.

Then f has unique fixed point.

**LEMMA 1 :**

Let  $(E, \| \cdot \|)$  be a n.l.s.,  $\phi \neq X \subset E$ ,  $f : X \rightarrow E$  and  $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in E^{\mathbb{N}}$  be a bounded sequence.

Then

(i) Either  $C(x, (u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) \subset C(E, (u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$  or

$$C(x, (u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) \subset \partial X.$$

(ii) X being boundedly weakly compact (i.e., the intersection of X with every closed ball about the origin is weakly compact) implies  $C(x, (u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) \neq \emptyset$ .

(iii) If  $u_n \in X$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and there is  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$

Such that

$$\lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (d(u_{n+k}, \infty(\{f(u_v) \mid v \in \mathbb{N}, v \geq n\}))) = 0$$

Then for every  $z \in X$  and  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  such that

$$\forall y \in X \quad \|f(y) - f(z)\| \leq \lambda \|y - z\|$$

We have

$$R((u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})(f(z)) \leq R((u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})(z).$$

(iv)  $(E, \| \cdot \|)$  being uniformly convex in every direction (u.c.e.d)

$$\text{i.e. } \forall z \in E \quad \forall M, \Sigma > 0 \quad \exists \delta \in (0, 1) \quad \forall x, y \in E \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R} \quad \|x\|, \|y\| \leq M \wedge \|z\| = 1 \\ \wedge x - y = tz \wedge \|t\| \geq \Sigma \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \|x + y\| \leq (1 - \delta) \max\{\|x\|, \|y\|\},$$

and X being convex implies  $\text{card } C(x, (u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) \leq 1$ .

**LEMMA 2 :**

Let  $(E, \| \cdot \|)$  be a n.l.s.,  $X \subset E$  be weakly compact  $u \in X$ ,  $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in X^{\mathbb{N}}$  and  $f : X \rightarrow E$  be a generalized contraction such that

$$\lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = u \quad (\text{weakly}) \tag{*}$$

$$\lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (u_n - f(u_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = 0 \quad (\text{strongly}) \tag{**}$$

Let furthermore one of the following conditions be satisfied:

$$f[\partial X] \subset X, \tag{1}$$

f is weakly sequentially continuous,  $(E, \|\cdot\|)$  is uniformly convex and  $X$  is convex,  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in E^{\mathbb{N}}$   $\lim(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = x$  (weakly)  $\Rightarrow \lim(\|x_n - x\|)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \leq \lim(\|x_n - y\|)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$   $\forall x, y \in E$   $\exists \lambda \in (0, 1)$   $\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)f(x) \in X$   $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{dom}(f^n) \neq \emptyset$   $\forall z \in E, \lambda \in (0, 1), M, \varepsilon > 0, \delta \in (0, 1), x, y \in E, t \in \mathbb{R}$   $\left[ \|x\|, \|y\| \leq \|z\| = 1 \right]$   $\leq M \wedge \|x - y\| = tz, |t| \geq \varepsilon \Rightarrow$   $\Rightarrow \|\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y\| \leq (1 - \delta) \max\{\|x\|, \|y\|\}$  as is easily seen by the inequality  $\|\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y\| \leq 2\lambda \frac{1}{2} \|x + y\| + (1 - 2\lambda) \max\{\|x\|, \|y\|\}$  where  $x, y \in E$  and  $\lambda \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$  (without loss of generality). Choose  $x \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{dom}(f^n)$  and then fix  $y \in C(x, f^n(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Assume now  $f(y) \neq y$ . By (1.1) there is  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  such that  $v := \lambda y + (1 - \lambda)f(y) \in X$ . If we set  $\varepsilon := \|x - f(y)\|$ ,  $z := \varepsilon^{-1}(y - f(y))$  and  $M := \text{diam}(f[X] \cup X)$ , there is by the remark above a  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  such that for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$   $\|v - f^{n+1}(x)\| = \|\lambda(y - f^{n+1}(x)) + (1 - \lambda)(f(y) - f^{n+1}(x))\| \leq (1 - \delta) \max\{\|y - f^{n+1}(x)\|, \|y - f^n(x)\|\}$  This implies  $R((f^n(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}})(v) \leq (1 - \delta) \inf_{w \in X} R((f^n(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}})(w)$  a contradiction to  $f(y) \neq y$ . **Theorem 2 :** Let  $(E, \|\cdot\|)$  be a n.l.s. which is u.c.e.d. Let  $X$  be a non-void weakly compact subset of  $E$  and  $f : X \rightarrow E$  be non-expansive such that  $f[\partial X] \subset \text{int}(X)$ . Then  $\text{Fix}(f) \neq \emptyset$ . **PROOF:** Let  $u_0 \in X$ . A simple observation yields the existence of a sequence

$(E, \|\cdot\|)$  is uniformly convex and  $X$  is convex,  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in E^{\mathbb{N}}$   $\lim(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = x$  (weakly)  $\Rightarrow \lim(\|x_n - x\|)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \leq \lim(\|x_n - y\|)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$   $\forall x, y \in E$   $\exists \lambda \in (0, 1)$   $\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)f(x) \in X$   $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{dom}(f^n) \neq \emptyset$   $\forall z \in E, \lambda \in (0, 1), M, \varepsilon > 0, \delta \in (0, 1), x, y \in E, t \in \mathbb{R}$   $\left[ \|x\|, \|y\| \leq \|z\| = 1 \right]$   $\leq M \wedge \|x - y\| = tz, |t| \geq \varepsilon \Rightarrow$   $\Rightarrow \|\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y\| \leq (1 - \delta) \max\{\|x\|, \|y\|\}$  as is easily seen by the inequality  $\|\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y\| \leq 2\lambda \frac{1}{2} \|x + y\| + (1 - 2\lambda) \max\{\|x\|, \|y\|\}$  where  $x, y \in E$  and  $\lambda \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$  (without loss of generality). Choose  $x \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{dom}(f^n)$  and then fix  $y \in C(x, f^n(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Assume now  $f(y) \neq y$ . By (1.1) there is  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  such that  $v := \lambda y + (1 - \lambda)f(y) \in X$ . If we set  $\varepsilon := \|x - f(y)\|$ ,  $z := \varepsilon^{-1}(y - f(y))$  and  $M := \text{diam}(f[X] \cup X)$ , there is by the remark above a  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  such that for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$   $\|v - f^{n+1}(x)\| = \|\lambda(y - f^{n+1}(x)) + (1 - \lambda)(f(y) - f^{n+1}(x))\| \leq (1 - \delta) \max\{\|y - f^{n+1}(x)\|, \|y - f^n(x)\|\}$  This implies  $R((f^n(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}})(v) \leq (1 - \delta) \inf_{w \in X} R((f^n(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}})(w)$  a contradiction to  $f(y) \neq y$ .

$$\Rightarrow \lim(\|x_n - x\|)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \leq \lim(\|x_n - y\|)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \tag{4}$$

(If (4) holds,  $(E, \|\cdot\|)$  is said to be satisfy a weak OPIAL – condition). Then  $f(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = u$  strongly.

**Theorem 1 :**

Let  $(E, \|\cdot\|)$  be a n.l.s. which is u.c.e.d. Let  $X \subset E$  be weakly compact and  $f : X \rightarrow E$  be non-expansive such that

$$\forall x \in \partial X, \exists \lambda \in (0, 1) \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)f(x) \in X, \tag{1.1}$$

$$\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{dom}(f^n) \neq \emptyset, \tag{1.2}$$

Then  $\text{Fix}(f) \neq \emptyset$ .

**PROOF:** We remark first that  $(E, \|\cdot\|)$  being u.c.e.d. implies.

$$\forall z \in E, \lambda \in (0, 1), M, \varepsilon > 0, \delta \in (0, 1), x, y \in E, t \in \mathbb{R} \left[ \|x\|, \|y\| \leq \|z\| = 1 \right] \leq M \wedge \|x - y\| = tz, |t| \geq \varepsilon \Rightarrow \Rightarrow \|\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y\| \leq (1 - \delta) \max\{\|x\|, \|y\|\}$$

as is easily seen by the inequality

$$\|\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y\| \leq 2\lambda \frac{1}{2} \|x + y\| + (1 - 2\lambda) \max\{\|x\|, \|y\|\}$$

where  $x, y \in E$  and  $\lambda \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$  (without loss of generality). Choose  $x \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{dom}(f^n)$  and then fix  $y \in C(x, f^n(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ .

Assume now  $f(y) \neq y$ .

By (1.1) there is  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$v := \lambda y + (1 - \lambda)f(y) \in X. \text{ If we set } \varepsilon := \|x - f(y)\|,$$

$z := \varepsilon^{-1}(y - f(y))$  and  $M := \text{diam}(f[X] \cup X)$ , there is by the remark above a  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  such that for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\|v - f^{n+1}(x)\| = \|\lambda(y - f^{n+1}(x)) + (1 - \lambda)(f(y) - f^{n+1}(x))\| \leq (1 - \delta) \max\{\|y - f^{n+1}(x)\|, \|y - f^n(x)\|\}$$

This implies

$$R((f^n(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}})(v) \leq (1 - \delta) \inf_{w \in X} R((f^n(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}})(w)$$

a contradiction to  $f(y) \neq y$ .

**Theorem 2 :**

Let  $(E, \|\cdot\|)$  be a n.l.s. which is u.c.e.d. Let  $X$  be a non-void weakly compact subset of  $E$  and  $f : X \rightarrow E$  be non-expansive such that  $f[\partial X] \subset \text{int}(X)$ . Then  $\text{Fix}(f) \neq \emptyset$ .

**PROOF:** Let  $u_0 \in X$ . A simple observation yields the existence of a sequence

$(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in X^{\mathbb{N}}$  such that

$$\bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left[ (f(u_{n-1}) \in X \Rightarrow u_n = f(u_{n-1})) \wedge (f(u_{n-1}) \notin X \Rightarrow u_n \in \partial X \cap \text{co}(\{u_{n-1}, f(u_{n-1})\})) \right].$$

It is easy to see that we have for

$$n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ : u_{n+2} \in \text{co}(\{f(u_n), f(u_{n+1})\})$$

which yields – by lemma 1 (iii) – for every

$z \in X : R((u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})(f(z)) \leq R((u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})(z)$ . This implies – observing  $f[\partial X] \subset \text{int}(X)$  – That  $(X,$

$C((u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})(z)$ . This implies – observing

$f[\partial X] \subset \text{int}(X)$  – that  $C(X, C((u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}))$  is not a subset of  $\partial X$ . Therefore by lemma 1 (i)

$$C(X, (u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) \subset C(E, (u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}). \text{ Choose now}$$

$$x \in C(X, (u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}). \text{ We have shown that}$$

$$\{x, f(x)\} \subset C(E, (u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) \text{ and hence } f(x) = x$$

(by lemma 1 (iv)).

**Theorem 3 :**

Let  $(E, \| \cdot \|)$  be a n.i.s.,  $X \subset E$  be boundedly weakly compact and  $f : X \rightarrow E$  be a generalized contraction such that

$$\forall x \in \partial X \quad \exists \lambda \in [0,1] \quad \lambda x + (1-\lambda) f(x) \in X, \tag{3.1}$$

$$\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{dom}(f^n) \neq \emptyset, \tag{3.2}$$

Then there is a unique  $x \in X$  with  $f(x) = x$  and for  $y \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{dom}(f^n)$  we have

$$\lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (f^n(y))_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = x \text{ (strongly).}$$

**PROOF:** Let  $y \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{dom}(f^n)$ . Because for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the inequality

$\|f^n(y) - y\| \leq (1 - \alpha(y))^{-1} \|f(y) - y\|$  is satisfied, the sequence  $(f^n(y))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is bounded and therefore – by

lemma 1(ii) -  $C(X, f^n(y)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) \neq \emptyset$ .

Choose  $x \in C(X, f^n(y)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$  and then  $\lambda \in [0,1)$

such that  $\lambda x + (1-\lambda) f(x) \in X$ . We immediately find – using lemma 1 (iii)

$$\begin{aligned} R((f^n(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}})(x) &\leq R((f^n(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}})(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)f(x)) \\ &\leq \lambda R((f^n(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}})(x) \\ &\quad + (1-\lambda) R((f^n(y))_{n \in \mathbb{N}})(f(x)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq [\lambda + (1 - \lambda) \alpha(X)] R((f^n(y))_{n \in \mathbb{N}})(x)$$

Hence  $R((f^n(y))_{n \in \mathbb{N}})(x) = 0$  i.e.,

$$\lim ((f^n(y))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) = x \text{ (strongly) and } f(x) = x. \text{ The uniqueness of the fixed point is trivial.}$$

**Theorem 4 :**

Let  $(E, \|\cdot\|)$  be a n.l.s. which is u.c.e.d.,  $\phi \neq X \subset E$  be boundedly weakly compact and  $f : X \rightarrow E$  be a generalizer contraction such that  $f[\partial X] \subset X$ . Then there is exactly one fixed point of  $f$  and for  $y \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{dom}(f^n)$  the successive approximants  $((f^n(y))_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$  converge strongly to this fixed point.

**PROOF:** Let  $u_0 \in X$  and choose a sequence  $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in X^{\mathbb{N}}$  as in the proof of theorem 2. Using  $u_{n+2} \in \text{co}(\{f(u_n), f(u_{n+1})\})$ , we immediately find the estimation

$$\|u_n - u_0\| \leq (1 - \alpha(u_0))^{-1} \|f(u_0) - u_0\|. \text{ Hence } (u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ is bounded, which yields } C(X, (u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) \neq \phi. \text{ Let } z \in C(X, (u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) \text{ be fixed. By lemma 1 (iii) we get } R((u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})f(z) \leq \alpha(z) R((u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})(z). \text{ This yields - observing lemma 1 (i) and}$$

$$[X] \subset X - R((u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})(z) = 0. \text{ Hence}$$

$$R((u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})(f(z)) = 0, \text{ too, and therefore } f(z) = z. \text{ The remaining part of the assertion is evident.}$$

**Theorem 5:**

Let  $(E, \|\cdot\|)$  be a Banach space which is center - point complete (i.e., for every bounded sequence  $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in E^{\mathbb{N}}$  we have  $C(E, (u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) \neq \phi$  and  $f : E \rightarrow E$  be a generalizer contraction. Then there is a unique  $x \in E$  such that  $f(x) = x$  and for every  $y \in E$  we have

$$\lim ((f^n(y))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) = x \text{ (strongly).}$$

**PROOF:** Let  $y \in E$ . Since  $(f^n(y))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is bounded by theorem 3 we have  $C(E, (f^n(y))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) \neq \phi$ . Fix  $x \in C(E, (f^n(y))_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$ . Using lemma 1 (iii) we get

$$R((f^n(y))_{n \in \mathbb{N}})(x) \leq R((f^n(y))_{n \in \mathbb{N}})(f(x)) \leq \alpha(x) R((f^n(y))_{n \in \mathbb{N}})(x).$$

$$\text{Hence } R((f^n(y))_{n \in \mathbb{N}})(x) = 0$$

$$\text{i.e. } \lim ((f^n(y))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) = x \text{ (strongly)}$$

and  $f(x) = x$ .

**References :**

1. Altman, M. (1974) : Bull. Austr. Math. Soc. 10, 51-58.
2. Kirk, W.A. (1969) : J. Math. Anal. Appl. 27, 409-412.
3. Kirk, W.A. (1970) : J. Math. Anal. Appl. 32, 567-572.
4. Kirk, W.A. (1970) : A.M.S. Nonl. Functional Anal. Vol. 18 162-168.
5. Sehgal, V.M. (1969) : Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 23 : 631-534.