

Social Distance with Reference to College Students

Akash Patel

PhD Research Scholar, N.A& T.V. Patel Arts College, Sardar Patel University (India)

ARTICLE DETAILS

Article History

Published Online: 12 June 2019

Keywords

Social distance, urban areas, college student.

*Corresponding Author

Email: akash9558541737[at]gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Chief purpose of the study is to find out the social distance with reference to college students. Scale was used for data collection for social distance were developed and standardize by Asthana, K.dewedi, Bhatnagar (2012) was used and data were analysis by 't' test. Result show, No considerable difference founded regarding the social distance of boys and girls of college students. No vital difference between the social distance of rural and urban areas college students.

1. Introduction

Social distance describes the distance between diverse group in humanity and is different to locational distance. There is a difference in the notion of social class, caste / ethnicity, caste or sexuality, but the fact is that it merges in different groups than members of similar groups.

Social distance "is the most successful concept in international sociology. Many other types of ethnic, class, caste, status and relationships are widely studied today, social differences are often measured according to bogardus social distance scale or some of its changes.

In the search of Sociological Abstracts, conducted in 1995, more than 300 studies of "social spacing" have been published since 1990 alone. The concepts of social hierarchies have been designed by Amorie Bogdurts and have been a simple yet effective research tool for interdrafting / interdependent education since the 1920's. relationships (Parrillo and Donoghue 2013) and the degrees of understanding/acceptance and intimacy that Characteristic (former) social relations (bogards 1925), however, periodically the social circumstances have changed, and thus have theoretical and theoretical beliefs of sociological research.

2. Aims

1. To study on social distance between boys and girls college students.
2. To study of the social distance among rural and urban areas college students.

3. Hypotheses

- 1 There is no considerable variation between social distance among boys and girls college students.
- 2 There is no significant difference between social distance among rural and urban areas college students.

4. Method

Sample:

For this study 50boys and girls colleges students were taken as sample of the study. Respondents were taken from Anand cities colleges.

5. Tools used

Social distance:

This scale is developed and standardise by Asthana, K.dewedi, Bhatnagar (2012)

Personal Data sheet:

With the help of this personal data sheet, the information about gender (Boy/ Girl) area (Rural and Urban) was collected.

6. Analysis

In this study 't' test was used for statistical analysis.

7. Result and Discussion

Social distance with reference to boys and girls college students, the results are presented in table No.1.

Table No. 1 (Gender Wise)

Gender	N	Mean	SD	't' value
Male	23	39.69	3.94	0.27 (NS)
Female	27	40.04	4.71	

To know statistical important of mean variation t test was calculated, for observing the considerable variance among boys and girls college students. 't' value is 0.27. Table No. 1 which is not important and also table no.1 showing that score of mean regard to social distance of boys and girls were 39.69 and 40.04 respectively and with regards. The difference between two is very negligible. Hence, the null hypothesis No. 1 was keep on and concluded to, there were not important differentiations relating the social distance of boys and girls of college students.

Table No. 2 (Area Wise)

Area	N	Mean	SD	't' value
Rural	30	40.07	4.52	0.42 (NS)
Urban	20	39.50	3.97	

To know statistical important of mean variation t test was calculated, for observing the considerable variance among rural and urban areas college students. 't' value is 0.42. Table No. 2 which is statistically insignificant, also table no.2 performance that score of mean observe to social distance of rural and urban were 40.07 and 39.50 respectively and with regards. Therefore, the null hypothesis No. 2 was keep on and concluded to, there were not important

differentiations relating the social distance of rural and urban areas college students.

8. Conclusion

- 1 No considerable difference founded regarding the social distance of boys and girls of college students.
- 2 No vital difference between the social distance of rural and urban areas college students.

References

1. Bogardus, E. J., (1947). Changes in racial distance: Inter j. opinion and attitude research, 1, pp. 55-62.
2. Bogardus, E., (1933). Measuring social distance, Journal of applied sociology.
3. Ethington, P, J., (2019) The thinkercreation of "Social Distance": Toward a revival of Georg Simmel's communal Geometry », *Cybergeo : European periodical of Geography* [En ligne], Epistemologie, Histoire de la Geographic, Didactique, document 30, mis en ligne le 16 septembre 1997, consulté le 05 juin 2019. URL : <http://journals.openedition.org/cybergeo/227> ; DOI : 10.4000/cybergeo.227
4. Parrilo, P, N., Donoghue, C., (2013)The national social distance study, research gate, DOI:10.1111/sccf.12039.
5. <http://shodhganga.inflibnet.ac.in/>