

An Analysis of the Role of Various Models of Democracy in the Development of Indian Democratic Systems

Dr. Usha Dahiya

Assistant Professor, C.R.A. College Sonapat, Haryana (India)

ARTICLE DETAILS

Article History

Published Online: 15 April 2019

Keywords

Democracy, Participation,
Government, People, Political System,
Power, Representation

ABSTRACT

This article looks at the different viable models of democracy and its vital role for the development of Indian democracy. The democratic sort of government is an institutional setup which empowers surely understood support through the electoral procedure. The possibility of democracy isn't a spic and span one rather it's a long convention. The word 'democracy' is hard to clarify as it's uncertain like other political terms as freedom, power and so forth, balance. Along these lines, people have both antagonistic and constructive comprehension of democracy and consequently, contend in like manner. This exploration work demonstrates the qualifications between democratic regimes that rely upon agent government, the institutional equalization of power and the electoral procedure. We can discover numerous nuances of democracy, each with its focal points and hindrances. In this article clarify the concept of democracy with various purpose of perspectives with their standards, different hypotheses behind democracy, Indian democracy and role of ideological groups, residents, role of democracy in development under the different frameworks of democracy. At long last, it is important that every one of the natives of the nation stay joined together and assume their individual role s for the advancement of the country. It is essential on the off chance that we both pursues the standard and guideline; successful coordination improve future, or we can say that the development of India as dynamic way.

1. Introduction

India is the biggest democracy in the world. It was announced common and democratic when its Constitution came into power on 26 January 1950. The democratic India trusts in the principles of equality, freedom, equity and fraternity. The general population from any rank, statement of faith, sex, religion, and locale have an equivalent appropriate to cast a ballot and pick their delegates. The parliamentary type of government in India depends on the example of the British. In India, there is an administrative type of government which implies there is a government at the middle and at the state. The government at the inside is mindful to the parliament, and the state governments are capable towards their individual authoritative congregations. The government at the inside and the state are democratically elected and pursue the examples of the two places of the Parliament like Lok Sabha and Rajya Sabha. The government at the inside and the state together choose the President of the country who is additionally the Head of the State.

2. The concept of democracy

In a democratic country people themselves select and choose a representative as their pioneer. Democracy can be characterized as the government of the people, by the people and for the people. It is a political framework where people are incomparable, and opportunity of decision is its centre. The term 'democracy' started from the Greek expression 'dēmokratía' which signifies "rule of the people". There are two kinds of democracies in the world. One is immediate democracy, in which the qualified citizens straightforwardly and effectively take an interest in the political basic leadership. Second is roundabout democracy, in which the sovereign

power stays with the qualified citizens and the elected representatives practice the political power; this kind of democracy is otherwise called democratic republic or the representative democracy.

Requirements for a Democracy: A general public and a framework can be considered democratic just when the political and financial goals of people can be satisfied. This is characterized into two general classes - (I) political conditions, accomplishment of which could prompt political democracy and (ii) social and monetary conditions which could prompt social democracy. To accomplish the political conditions, it is important to embrace a Constitution which vests powers in the people. The Constitution ought to secure the essential and human rights, and all-inclusive grown-up establishment ought to be pursued to choose a representative. A democratic framework additionally needs to ensure that the social development alongside democratic qualities ought to mirror the government disability, welfare and status of the people. Monetary development ought to be made for the advancement of the denied and the poor segments of the general public.

The world's different democracies, certain principles and practices separate democratic government from different types of government. These are as under:

- Democracy is a government in which all citizens practice legally or by the city's power and responsibility through their elected representatives without interruption.
- Democracy is a large number of theories and practices that guarantee human opportunities; This is the organization of opportunity.

- Democracy is mostly based on the principles of government, which is linked with the rights of individuals and minorities. Considering the desire of the majority, all democracies enthusiastically ensure the central privilege of the people and the meetings of the minorities.
- Prepare for democratic all-powerful central governments and decentralize the government at the regional and neighborhood level, feeling that neighboring government should be open and receptive to people, as these conditions can be expected.
- Democrats understand that their main work is to guarantee basic human rights such as the right to speak independently and to speak religion; Privilege to break safely according to law; And the opportunity to fully classify and participate in political, financial and social life of the society.
- Democrats conduct open and appropriate traditional careers for all citizens. Decisions in democracies can not be badges that hide behind a disappointment or a secluded meeting, however, they are a real rivalry to help people.
- The law of government and the guarantee of democracy is that all citizens receive equal protection according to the law and their rights are guaranteed by legal rights.
- Democrats are different, reflecting the specific political, social and social life of each country. Democrats are based on key principles, not on similar practices.
- In the democracy, citizens do not have the authority only, they have the responsibility to participate in the political structure, which guarantees their rights and opportunities in this way.
- Democratic social order focus on estimates of flexibility, involvement and negotiation. Democrats believe that negotiation is needed to reach an agreement, and in general, this is not possible. In Mahatma Gandhi's thoughts, "narrow mindset is a type of violence in itself and is an obstacle to the development of an authentic democratic soul."

3. Theories of democracy

There was a radiation of democracy developed from ancient Greece. However, various societies have become widely involved in the development of democracy, for example, ancient Rome, Europe and North and South America. The idea of representative democracy came largely from those ideas and institutions which were born in the European Middle Ages and in the era of Enlightenment and in American and French Revolutions. Democracy is known as the "last type of government" and has spread widely worldwide. The privilege of casting votes has been extended to many thin areas, which, after the duration of minor groups (for example, men with low income from a specific ethnic group), with New Zealand, provide all the inclusive voting rights for each. Is the country. In 1893 its citizens

Before that, in the Greek city-state, in the city-state of ancient Greece, in Italy and in medieval Europe, a free political

entity, which included a city and an area in which democracy adopted it, was a democracy. The main city-state was in Sumer; However, they reached their peak in Greece

1. **Classical democracy:** The traditional democracy was immediate democracy and Athens was the habitation such a democracy. There were, other than Athens, other Greek city states yet among all the city states Athens was most unmistakable and powerful. Direct democracy in Athens created in the middle 800-500 BCE (Before Christ Era).

Following are the fundamental principles:

1. Officials of the city state can get through all citizens and the races are fit the bill for every one of the workplaces or posts.
2. A run of the mill rule will work all through this rule and the state is rule over every single by turn over all.
3. All the citizens are fit the bill for those posts with the exception of the articles that need explicit experience or qualifications.
4. No residency of office affected by the ownership of property capability.
5. The same male to not convey a similar office twice. A man will be allowed to hold office just for one time in the lifetime of his. All things considered, in the region of fighting this rule won't hold.
6. Aristotle recommended brief residency of office.
7. Jury courts are picked from every one of the people and can mediate on all.
8. The Assembly (in Greek it was named Ecclesia) has the sovereign power over anything aside from little issues.
9. Payment arrangements in gathering, in law courts and in the workplaces will be customary.
10. Good birth, culture and wealth will be the imprints on the standard of the few. The opposite will be the rule of the many.
11. Perpetual residency of office isn't supported by democracy.

2. Protective Democracy:

The primary subject of classical democracy was the interest of all peoples in the procedures of state and the Athenians where the classical democracy prospered generally conspicuously. It is trusted that they could accomplish equality. Classical democracy was equality in regard of rights and benefits.

However, the protective democracy underscored diverse viewpoint. As indicated by Heywood "democracy was seen less as an instrument through which open could take an interest in political life, and more as a gadget through which citizens could shield themselves from the infringements of government, consequently protective democracy".

The following are the basic features of protective democracy:

1. Protective democracy trusts in mainstream sway. Be that as it may, since people can't legitimately participate in the procedures of state, they do it through their representatives.

2. Both the well-known sway and representative type of government are real.
3. It is the essential obligation of the state to secure the rights and freedoms of citizens and whether this is appropriately performed or not people keep a solid carefulness over the functions of state.
4. The specialist is responsible to the People and so as to set up it races are hung on normal premise. There are additionally different methods for building up responsibility.
5. Significant method for securing the rights, freedoms and conveyance of benefits is the division of powers among lawmaking body, official and judiciary. This is done on the whole
6. Liberal democracies.
7. There is predominance of constitutionalism. Both the ruler and the ruled are constrained by the principles set down in constitution.
8. Constitution is the wellspring of power for all and is the underwriter of rights and freedoms. There are additionally measures to keep the infringement of rights and freedoms.
9. Organizations affiliations groups have enough opportunity and they generally go about as companions of citizens and battle against any infringement of rights or infringement on freedom.
10. Competition in all spheres is an element of protective democracy.
11. A clear qualification among state and common society is carefully saved.

3. Modern democracy:

Western governments are called democracy. If voters are dynamic and educated, then democracy probably works. At present, modern democracy has discovered a complete change in complete and behavioral change. It has a wide assortment of components. Modern democracy has been drafted to fit the modern political existence of mankind

Major features of modern democracy:

To safeguard modern democracy, a country needs to satisfy some essential requirements and they need not exclusively be recorded in its constitution however should be kept up in regular day to day existence by government officials and experts:

- Guarantee of essential Human Rights to each distinctive individual opposite the state and its experts just as versus any social groups (particularly religious organizations) and opposite different people.
 - Separation of power between state establishments:
 - Government (executive power), parliament (legislative power) and court of law (judicial power)
 - Freedom of speech, discourse, press and comprehensive communication
 - Religious Freedom
 - Normal and equally suitable for putting ballots (one person, one vote)
 - good governance (lack of center around open intrigue and lack of profanity)

Democracy consists of four basic elements:

1. Political framework for choosing and pressing the government through a free and fair race.
2. Dynamic cooperation of people in the form of legislative issues and citizens in metro life.
3. Conservation of human privileges has been considered.
4. A rule of law in which laws and methods apply equally to all citizens.

4. Indian Democracy and Role of Political Parties, Citizens

These groups are enthusiastic about verification of food price policies that guarantee low food costs; They are enthusiastic about the development techniques that improve urban services (transportation, sanitation); And there is enthusiasm for those compensation policies that support them. Apart from this, these groups are well positioned to support their demands with successful political activities: A meeting around political parties, organizations and government officials with the risks of individual policies and urban crisis. In general, to know that PMA policies show an urban bias: food price policies, framework provisions and payment policies that support urban workers and government employees. These benefits have politically influenced the effect of improving the content of these groups, but for the decline of rural poor. The result of these policies is to discourage the benefits set by the market of the farmers, reducing the size and nature of manners in order to accelerate the salary gap between the rural and urban areas, besides, rural and urban areas. The result of a line of research at this point is to increase the likelihood that more democracy actually reduces the measure of thought that in the legislative problems of development strategy, the poor (and especially the rural poor) are poor. These disputes have not been designed to discredit the criticism of democratic institutions by promoting the first economic removal process. Undoubtedly, this kind of process is unlikely to be generated by the rural poor through a powerful and politically qualified interest, supported by a solid and officially equipped meeting, unequally dedicated to their interests. . However, democratization is not the main element of an effective dismissal approach and before the passage, the disputes are planned only to show that it is very practical that democratic electoral instruments promote results that neglect the poor or who are definitely opposed to opposing them.

1) Democracy as a political system of competition for power:

Democracy is a method by which people choose their pioneers and consider them responsible for their policies and their direct position. People choose who will speak to them in Parliament and who will lead the government at national and neighborhood levels. They do this by choosing between parties participating in such a simple, independent and fair race.

Government relies on the consent of representation. In democracy, people are sovereign I am the most notable type of political expert. The power of government pioneers flows for people who have power for a short time.

Laws and policies require more support from the parliament, but minority privileges are guaranteed in different ways. People can oppose their pioneers and elected

representatives and see how they deal with the government issue.

Elected representatives at national and neighbourhood levels must be in tune with people and react to their needs and proposals.

Decisions should be taken in the interim standard, recommended by law. People with a great impact cannot expand their conditions in the office again without the consent of the people in the career. For decisions to be free and fair, they should be regulated by a fair, fair and competent body which handles all political meetings and candidates in the same way.

All parties and candidates must reserve the option of fighting without inhibitions, of showing their proposal to the voters directly and through general communications.

II) Participation: Role of resident in democracy:

The real role of citizens in democracy is to participate in open life.

It is the responsibility of citizens that they are educated about open questions, see carefully how their leaders and political representatives use their powers and express their conclusions and interests.

The fact of voting in decisions is another necessary obligation in which all are equal. However, to issue a strong vote, every resident must assess tunes of distinctive and candidate parts, and after that he decides under his own choice on whom to support.

Support can also include a cross for political or encouraging meetings, there remains a possibility for political office, discussing open questions, attending network meetings, asking the government and, despite dissent.

A significant investment is obtained through dynamic free registration with non-governmental associations, which we call "common society."

III) Right to citizens in democracy:

In a moderate, each resident has some fundamental rights which cannot rule the state.

These laws are guaranteed by universal law.

Citizens reserve the privilege of speaking their own faith and speaking and composing what they think.

There is opportunity for religion. Everyone is allowed to choose their religion and love and practice their religion because they are fit.

Everyone gets an opportunity to follow their culture, if their meeting is with different persons, then the meeting is minority.

There are opportunities and pluralism in broad communication.

This line of thinking suggests, at that point, that the powerful political activity in support of the dynamics of economic development is on track to reach the site within a livable electoral democracy, in view of an officially qualified meeting for the poor.

5. Effective Models Of Democracy

Models of democracy include basically a changing harmony between illustrative, regulating and informative articulations. Coming up next are the 7 variants of democracy:

1. Representative Democracy

A representative democracy is portrayed as an arrangement of government in which every single qualified native vote on representatives to pass laws for them.

People choose their representatives to run the government for the government. Representative democracy works in keeping with a certain goal in mind. People bind themselves in political parties as a sign of their views and objectives. These parties choose their expectations. Between the battle before a decision, they announce their final projects and policies to the people. This is known as 'Party Announcement'.

Representative democracy is a democracy established at the level of democracy that speaks of a group of people chosen rather than direct democracy. In the modern democratic states, voters are voted in favor of the representatives, and are ultimately responsible for voters.

Representative democracies depend on various interconnected principles:

1. The presence of standard, free, reasonable races dependent on all-inclusive suffrage and mystery votes.
2. The presence of contending political parties offering electoral decision.
3. The presence of electoral laws regulated by an autonomous judiciary.
4. Freedom of discourse and affiliation.
5. Freedom to remain as a race competitor.
6. "Reasonable" connections between votes cast and representatives elected.
7. Availability of precise fair political information.

2. Participatory Democracy

Participatory democracy is a process that exposes the involvement of constituents towards the paths and work of political structures. Democracy will in general support more included types of resident participation than conventional representative democracy. Popular political scientists Macpherson and Pateman gave wide course to the concept participatory democracy.

Major characteristics of participatory democracy:

1. The imperative quality of participatory democracy is people will have the chance to legitimately partake in the functions and basic leadership procedures of state and there will be no arrangement for assigning power to another body or organ.

2. At all phases of state organization (even neighborhood levels) people are the deciding factors and that can't be tested or "discarded to encourage representative system.
3. Though Rousseau was the supporter of participatory democracy, he didn't support party system. In modern occasions, it is suggested that party system is fundamental for the smooth working of participatory democracy. Just political gathering can sort out such democracy and lead it to the phase of accomplishment.
4. A system which has acknowledged participatory democratic system remodels and remolds the social and political structure with the goal that democracy can work easily.
5. Creation of institutions and association isn't sufficient; in such a system there is an ever-watchfulness to keep up these in reasonable way.
6. Though unanimity is underlined, to make this type of democracy serviceable, there will be a possibility for lion's share choice system.
7. In participatory democracy, equality is constantly focused. Especially political equality is the sine qua non of such democratic system.
8. Rights, liberties are likewise equally featured.

To sum things up, participatory methodology addresses the "quantitative" measurement of mass democracy by featuring the political role of common society. It means to discover "what number of people participate in what number of political settings to settle on what number of choices" (Citroni 2010). As needs be, participatory hypothesis holds and advances the political incorporation of all people going for the amplification and radicalization of democratic nationality.

3. Deliberative Democracy:

Deliberative democracy strengthens native voices in administration by including people everything being equal, classes, ages and topographies in thoughts that legitimately influence open choices. As an outcome, citizens' impact and can see the consequence of their effect on the strategy and asset choices that sway their day by day lives and their future.

Deliberative democracy presents an alternate sort of resident voice into open undertakings than that related with crude popular supposition, straightforward casting a ballot, slender backing, or dissent all things considered. It guarantees to sustain a capable native voice equipped for acknowledging intricacy, perceiving the real interests of different groups (counting customary foes), producing a feeling of normal proprietorship and activity, and valuing the requirement for troublesome exchange offs. Significant contention of deliberative democratic hypothesis is that the procedure of consideration itself is a key wellspring of authenticity, and subsequently an imperative asset for reacting to our emergency of administration.

7. Developmental Democracy:

In the last segments we've concentrated of our own on 2 parts of democracy - protective democracy and classical democracy. Although these 2 models are basic, we will

discover different models and we will today adapt to developmental plan, or possibly we may call it developmental democracy.

Before investigating points of interest on the circumstance we wish to cite a long entry from Held's book *Models of Democracy*:

Features of Developmental Democracy:

1. In a developmental democracy native's participation is as often as possible found in addition to its practiced from the casting a ballot component that is held regularly.
2. There is a decentralization of vitality. The majority of the powers are stretched out as much as the grass root sum and this likewise enables people to get engaged with the distinctive issues.
3. Legislature just as organization is isolated from each other and furthermore the last has no control with the lawmakers. By and by, as specialists the officials acknowledge explicit dimension of opportunity.
4. There are legitimate and established arrangements that direct equally the pioneer just as the ruled and most of the parts of state organization and judiciary.
5. Special plans are out there for the advancement of legitimately alongside freedom. Some social monetary rights are given need.
6. A system of checks in addition to balances wins in developmental democratic system.
7. Representative type of government will be the essential sort of developmental democracy. Not one other sort of government is perfect for developmental democracy.
8. In developmental democracy, it's been seen; well-known sway is vested in people.
9. Powers of the government are normally separated from each other which remain as the mastery of one division.
10. The rule of law (which suggests equality under the watchful eye of equivalent insurance and law of law) might be the basic component.

6. The Role Of Democracy In Development

There is a precise presence of democracy and development topics; Since World War II, more than 100 countries have experienced various types of political and economic development processes, so it should be possible that between 50 and 100 years for a measurable and causal relationship between intricate factors, this experience of the country should be considered. Is there a certified relationship between the characteristics of democracy and the qualities of powerful economic development? In the last 30 years, a large number of experimental tests have been done to examine this question. However, the case of observation is suggestive but uncertain. Data supports a certain idealism in support of the "equality" hypothesis: There is a net profit for the economic development of democratic institutions. Even so, the membership is delicate from the point of view of observation, and there are several models to follow in two classes: dictatorship rule in which there is a good development record and democratic rule with weak development record. In its

effective verification of accessible democracies and research on development in all countries, Sirowi and Inkeles inspire

(1) There is little support for a strong positive cause between democracy and development, and

(2) "Controversy" is a minimal experimental reason to choose between speculation and invalid theory (Sirowy and Inkeles, 1990).

In general, these creators believe that there are not many concrete objectives that can be supported on the basis of accurate multi-reference analysis of these factors. Sirowy and Inkeles believe that methodological defects in research are an important part of the problem, which increases the likelihood that faster refined testing will reveal more exceptional perspective. Przeworski and Limongi play the base in a comparative final. They examine 18 research all over the country and this is why these tests do not provide an inequality for decisions on properties due to democratic institutions in terms of development (Przeworski and Limongi 1993: p.60). Both audit documents indicate methodological problems, which inhibit the concrete mediocre testing of the principles. In any case, it shows that to eliminate this suspicion it is understood that democratic institutions are useful for positive economic development.

7. Systems Of Democracy

Common classes of the types of democracy can progressively be different in democracy gadgets. We will search for 2 types of energy types: Parliamentary programs in the form of Presidential system. Is a process which is a subset of the prompt style, which is involved in the regimes. Angle who recognize the solitary process from others are the electoral process, the use of life force between Parliament as an officer only, and after that the activity of political parties All the strategies are fully working assemblies that work with the center: controlling the property, creating popular pressures, talking to everyone in general, working with the government.

1. The Parliamentary System

We will discover more parliamentary systems than the President's democratic countries. As the title suggests, parliamentary techniques are extraordinary because they are in the hands of the administrative branch. Examples of this type of typical system are Germany and, moreover, the United Kingdom. It is made up of specific institutional establishment voters who make election of parliamentary representatives. In this way, the meeting winning most seats in the Congress chooses the highest point of the government, which is also known as Prime Minister, Vice-Chancellor or perhaps Prime Minister.

Election process in parliamentary democracies is based on this representation. Relative representation indicates that according to the circumstances of the President's system, the parties do not get seats with most votes, but the number of votes is indicated. There are about thirty-five percent seats in the Congress. This agreement ensures that the parties speaking in more restricted areas of body voters have an opportunity to influence the approach. What the parliamentary system gets in the representation will be lost in equality and profitability. The heavy number of parties sometimes makes it unimaginable that the Prime Minister's choice is most

necessary. Therefore, it is generally necessary that parties should combine with each other.

2. The Presidential System

We will find fewer presidential positions in the world and, apart from this, find ourselves in the center of America. Academicians argue that while parliamentary democracy is generally progressive, they are not even less relentless for most of the reasons mentioned above. On the other hand, President Technique, which focuses power within the official branch, is less representative and is much more solid. We will use the United States plan to illustrate and understand this strategy for democracy.

The combination of extraordinary ambiguous vital power in parliamentary techniques is not contained in the President's democracies. Under the split of extraordinary unique powers, the Presidential system functions. The body takes care of properties, offers proposals and approves the strategy, supports meetings at office positions and also verifies the agreements with the foreign. Of the extraordinary powers to be given to the official, President Veto will also be. For one law all must be together, it should be approved by a ruling party in both the houses. The disappointment of the Senate's approval presents the bill before the House of Representatives. A cycle of disagreement will continue till the two houses find themselves in a situation which changes the account in such a way that is usually suitable. The President's veto is undoubtedly the subject of discussion among scholars, who are responsible for preparing politics and for an open vote. In this way, while the equation of life force in two houses is half of half, a part of more than 2/3 is important to cancel a veto. I'm not capturing this meaning. It shows that in order to sell their votes in a personal meeting, to convince the people of a single encounter and besides, there should be friends for the opponent. In this way, it is difficult to get investment in President Veto's Senate.

In parliamentary techniques, the fact that the appointment is based on relative successes, parties allow their political e-mail to be consumed and thus focus on a very clear part of the population. Throughout Europe, we will search for socialist parties, communist parties, ecologists or ecologists, social democracy etc. In the presidential strategies, at which point a ruling party is required, it means that parties should be attractive to the larger band of the general population. Fifty percent of more openly +1 votes As a result, we will find less amortization between the two sides, apart from two or three major questions related to wealth and society.

3. Mixed Systems

In the end, we will find some expressions that apply a mixed kind of democracy, which do not fit either parliamentary model or presidential model, in which there are elements of both. In these strategies there is a place in the "United" system. For example, in some semi-presidential democracies, the President is elected according to the rules of direct democracy. In this technique, the official activities of a wide range of powers. As a matter of fact, in the parliamentary telephone system, he or she may be removed from the post. In Switzerland, the President has had great success, and in

addition, the council cannot dismiss him. Apart from this, the President cannot break the Parliament. There is a wide range of examples of joint governance in which people have taken the best qualities of each program and have tried to integrate these characteristics into one type of government.

8. Conclusion

India has practiced democracy since it accomplished autonomy. In spite of the fact that it faces a great deal of difficulties, still the country prevails with regards to working and running a smooth democracy. As it is the biggest democracy in the world it is probably going to confront difficulties later on too. There is a risk from the monstrous powers which support divisions on the lines of religion, position, area, and so on to the democracy. The present political agitation in certain pieces of the country is probably going to place democracy into danger. It is vital on the pieces of the political pioneers of the country to stand joined against the counter national powers and keep the democracy of the country kept up. Bestowing

training to the majority and making the citizens of the country mindful of their rights and obligations is fundamental for accomplishing this reason. For democracy to make do in a tremendous country like India, it is important that every one of the citizens of the country should stand joined together and assume their separate role s towards the advancement of the country.

It can be said very well that democracy is a political system that depends on representative government, the participation of residents in the political process, the timeliness and simplicity of political acts and the procedure as a norm. Democracies have been where people's rights are worried, guaranteed and guaranteed. Democracy empowers people with a decision. People decide even if they embrace a democratic methodology in their states. The various countries have distinctive points that would have been reached through various political scenarios.

References

1. Bhadhuri, Amit: On Improving the Quality of Democracy. The Hindu, Chennai, 22nd March, 2005.
2. Basu, Moushumi: Secrecy and Governance. The Hindu, Chennai, 30th March, 2005. Jaitley, Arun: Right to Information: First Principle and Sound Practice. The Hindu, New Delhi, 24th August, 2006.
3. Kejriwal, Arvind: RTI Law Mutilated. Times of India, Ahmadabad, 24th July, 2006.
4. Pandey, Suchi, Dey, Nikil and Roy, Aruna: Judiciary should go for Transparency and not Secrecy. The Hindu, 7th May, 2008.
5. Venkateswarlu, K.: Right to Information Act comes into effect. The Hindu, Chennai, 13th October, 2005.
6. Johari JC. Principles of modern political science, sterling publishers New Delhi, 2012, 10020.
7. Vebhuti Agarwal Wall Street Journal, 2015, 5.
8. Fabrini, S. 2010. *Compound Democracies*, Oxford: Oxford University Press.
9. Cooper, I. (2012) 'A "virtual third chamber" for the European Union? National parliaments after the Treaty of Lisbon', *West European Politics* 35(3): 441–65.
10. Johari JC. principles of modern political science, sterling publishers New Delhi, 2012, 10020
11. Miguel Angel and Lara Otaola: democracy in India, to Avoid A million mutinies www.razonypalabra.org.mx
12. JeamDreze, Dr Ambedkar. The Future of Indian Democracy: Indian Journal of Human Right, 2005.
13. Ram Prakash Sharana: democracy and public opinion in India: The India Journal of political science.
14. Pro. Jagannath Mohanty Education and success of democracy, Orissa Review, 2006.
15. Vebhuti Agarwal Wall Street Journal, 2015, 5. 7. The Times of India, 2015, 13. 8. Ram Sajjan V state of Uttar Pradesh AIR 2010 SC 1738, sew 2145, 2010.
16. Sirowy, Larry, and Alex Inkeles. 1990. The Effects of Democracy on Economic Growth and Inequality: A Review. *Comparative International Development* 25 (1):126-157.
17. Przeworski, Adam, and Fernando Limongi. 1993. Political Regimes and Economic Growth. *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 7 (3):51-70.