

A Study of English Language Teaching in India

Rakesh kumar

Assistant Professor in English R. C khara, B. P. S. M. V, kharipurkalan, sonipat (India)

ARTICLE DETAILS

Article History

Published Online: 13 March 2019

Keywords

English Language, Teaching, India, opportunity, communication.

*Corresponding Author

Email: sainirk562@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

In students of English as a second language are understudies named "restricted English capable" (LEP) in light of the fact that they originate from a home where a language other than English is spoken and score at underneath "Capability" on the LAB-R or score toward the Beginning, Intermediate, or Advanced dimension of English as estimated by the NYSESLAT. Various understudy profiles exist inside the general arrangement of LEP. There are LEP/ELLs who might be skilled and there are numerous with an abnormal state of capability in their local language. Others will be unable to peruse or write in their local language since they have had a restricted or intruded on formal training in their very own nation. At long last, there is a populace of LEP understudies who have been recognized as having extraordinary needs and have been alluded for a custom curriculum administrations. For ELL/LEP understudies, it is basic to make instructing and adapting socially applicable and to empower access to earlier information whereupon new abilities and ideas can be constructed. It is basic for teachers to comprehend the manners by which understudies' social and phonetic foundations significantly impact their encounters in the homeroom. The visual expressions improve language advancement by offering non-verbal techniques for correspondence and understanding and by giving a stage to understudies to make mental pictures. Incorporating expressions of the human experience into language expressions and the substance territories for ELLs can offer understudies the chance to take part in new and shifted approaches while increasing positive enthusiastic reactions to picking up, getting others and imparting their very own thoughts.

1. Introduction

The historical backdrop of Language instructing in India, as we probably am aware, has a long custom. Retention of vocabulary and interpretation of sentences regularly framed the significant piece of such learning procedure before. Old dialects, for example, Sanskrit and Pali were aced in India through the procedure of retention of writings and vocabulary records. "Learning vocabulary records to be sure framed the center of language adapting." But this convention of language educating has been exposed to an enormous change, particularly, all through the twentieth century. In the event of English language instructing in India, there are a few achievements in the advancement of this convention. As per D. Kanta Rao and J.M.KanthiThilakha: "On the off chance that language instructors educate as they showed before, at that point one may not accomplish the required objectives of showing English in the present worldwide situation." So, there could be the same number of methods for learning dialects as there are individuals learning them. The way one educated English isn't actually the manner in which one is instructing it. Once more, the manner in which one obtained one's first language might be very unique in relation to the way one educated English. The accompanying perception by V. Saraswathi is vital to cite in this association. She says: "There is no best technique. The historical backdrop of language showing presents an intriguing assortment of techniques. On the off chance that there are such assortments of strategies, which one would we say we are to pick? There is no unmistakable response to this inquiry, what works with one student may not work with another. One might be a wizard in sentence structure yet another may simply despise it. Others

may appreciate retaining sentences." She further includes: "Diverse techniques might be suitable to various settings. In the event that we begin hunting down the ideal strategy or the perfect single answer for the issue of language learning, we bound to fail"³ Like V. Saraswathi, Diane-Larsen-Freeman's comment on language encouraging procedure wholes up a noteworthy pattern far from solidarity to assorted variety in the accompanying words. They remark: "There is no single satisfactory approach to showing language today." The announcements cited above clarify that no single methodology or technique is proper for all learning styles. A decent exercise will, along these lines, be one in which the instructors utilize a buffet of exercises taken from an assortment of sources. By fluctuating our methods, we will allow understudies of all styles to sparkle a portion of the time. In English language showing instructional method the three key terms viz-Method, Approach and strategy are utilized every now and again and reciprocally. This tripartite game plan is various leveled all together. The term 'Technique' is questionable, and alludes to the general arrangement for the organized introductions of language material, no piece of which negates and which is all founded on chosen approach and strategy.

2. English Language Teaching Methods:

The punctuation - interpretation technique, the Direct strategy, Audio-lingual strategy, Bilingual technique, Dr. Michael West's the new technique, and Suggestopedia and so forth., are among the strategies utilized generally by the educators of English in India. An endeavor, accordingly, has been made to audit these techniques as underneath:

The Grammar - Translation Method: This strategy, otherwise called the established technique, is one of the most seasoned or customary strategies for educating English. In Europe it was utilized in the instructing of Latin and Greek for a few centuries, and got presented in India with the landing of the British. This strategy as Tickoo stated: "came to English Language Teaching in a large portion of Asia by and large and India specifically with help in the since quite a while ago settled convention of showing established dialects in the United Kingdom. The arrangement of instruction in the nation filled in as a model for schools in a large portion of its states. The mental convictions that won at that point were (a) that established dialects with their multifaceted frameworks of sentence structure were equipped for preparing human resources including memory, and (b) that learning these dialects was a piece of a really liberal training. Educating and adapting essentially went for the capacity to peruse full messages instead of to impart orally in ordinary circumstances".

"It is one which regards all dialects as though they were dead, as though each comprised basically of an accumulation of old reports to be deciphered and broke down... It is the one which completely overlooks all contemplations of phonetics, articulations and acoustic picture, and strikingly puts language on an establishment of letters in order, spellings and composing frameworks... It is the one which expect interpretation to be the fundamental or strategy for the learning of vocabulary... It is the one which accept that word and sentence structure is to be achieved essentially or exclusively through the remembering of the purported standards of language structure."

Regardless of the shortcomings recorded by Palmer, the technique could best be placed being used by disposing of the overemphasis on the syntactic principles and by making a space for granting preparing in the verbally expressed parts of English. The sentence structure interpretation strategy in its altered structure keeps on being broadly utilized in certain pieces of the world even today. In the mid-and late nineteenth century restriction to it step by step created, and because of this it lost its hold in the area of educating of English.

3. Approaches to English Language Teaching:

This methodology as Kripa K. Gautam states "depends on the conviction that language comprises of 'structures' and that the dominance of these structures could really compare to the procurement of vocabulary. Since structure is what is vital and special about a language, early practice should concentrate on dominance of phonological and linguistic structures as opposed to on authority of vocabulary". This methodology, as indicated by Kulkarni 'underlines the educating and learning of the essential things or materials that establish the system of a language'.

The reason for the rise of this methodology was the broad research led on English language instructing as an unknown dialect at the University of London establishment of Education. This methodology as, Dr. Bhat states 'is unquestionably an improvement upon the Direct Method, however the strategies and standards are not generally different'.⁸⁰ the types of this

methodology think about that language comprises of 'structures' and structures could really compare to the vocabulary. These structures are painstakingly evaluated regarding both significance and structure. The term 'basic' as indicated by Kripa K. Gautam "is alluded to the accompanying attributes:

- a. Elements in a language are straightly delivered in a standard represented manner.
- b. Language tests can be thoroughly portrayed at any basic dimension of depiction (phonemic, morphological and syntactic).
- c. Linguistic dimensions are thought of as a framework inside frameworks. These sub-frameworks are pyramid partner organized phonemic frameworks prompting morphemic frameworks, and those thus lead to be larger amount frameworks of expressions, conditions and sentences".

The two basic highlights of this methodology are cautious reviewing of structures, and vocabulary control. J.B. Bruton in a working paper displayed at the Nagpur Seminar in 1958 outlines the fundamental suppositions with respect to the idea of language and the strategies most appropriate for the introduction of phonetic things. He says: "a) language is basically a verbally expressed thing and... in this way, our way to deal with an unknown dialect ought to in a first example be through its expressed structures, b) ...dominance over the flagging arrangement of a language could really compare to nitty gritty information of the types of the language; c) ...this authority is best obtained by reiteration of the different segments of the framework in changed structures; d) ... since language emerges from circumstance, the educator's undertaking is to make significant circumstances from which language will emerge effectively and normally; e)... dominance over a given scope of structures and trust in their utilization are best conferred by focusing on the educating of one thing at any given moment; f)...each thing must be immovably settled orally before understudies experience it in their course readings". Yardi characterizes the term 'structures' as an "inside requesting of semantic things", and further includes that structures might be characterized as "gadgets that we use to make signals, to pass on implications, and show relationship."⁸³ Yardi further calls attention to that in spite of the fact that the terms 'structure' and 'example' are commonly utilized conversely by certain educators, they are not indistinguishable, as the previous has an etymological introduction, while the last has an instructive introduction.

4. The Communicative Approach:

Dr. Sharada Bhat opines that the informative way to deal with educating of English is composed based on certain open capacities, for example, saying 'sorry', portraying, welcoming, promising and so on that the ESL students need to know. She further, includes that this methodology, as connected to English clarifies the language as far as the capacities it performs. This methodology, as indicated by Dr. Bhat, does not overlook the job of punctuation during the time spent language adapting, however it demands that the syntactic standards are futile except if they are connected to genuine circumstances. Open methodology centers around the centrality of language

capacities on the grounds that the student needs learning of both importance and capacities. It lays accentuation on useful, open and social intelligent exercises. It likewise lays accentuation on the semantic part of the language. So as to improve the capacity of perusing perception it is fundamental that the student knows the semantic part of the language. Dr. N.S. Prabhu draws a qualification between etymological fitness and informative ability and says that there is a coherent connection between the two. Prabhu says that a language structure is best obtained when the students' consideration is on significance, when the student is distracted with understanding, working out, relating or passing on messages and adapts to the language. This 'adapting' or 'sending' is fundamental to the procedure of language learning. Prabhu further expresses that there is no schedule as far as structure, no pre-determination of language things for some random exercise. The premise of every exercise is an issue or an errand and the direct of the exercises comprises of setting the undertaking, showing methods for handling it and, all the while, allowing a few understudies to endeavor it, at that point getting every one of the students to endeavor and, at last giving every understudy a harsh sign of the proportion of his prosperity. The capacity of the assignment, as Prabhu opines, is to realize a confident exertion by the students. Undertakings, as indicated by him, comprise of: deciphering a calendar, giving a lot of headings, choosing which activity is correct and why. Regard for language is completely coincidental. Wrong sentences are amended by the instructor. William Little wood has appropriated informative fitness into pre-open and informative learning exercises. In the philosophy of the pre-informative exercises the educator confines explicit components of learning or aptitude which create open movement and furnishes the student with chances to rehearse them independently. The students are in this manner prepared in the part-aptitudes of correspondence instead of rehearsing the absolute abilities to be obtained. This went for giving direction of the semantic structures, without completing it for open purposes. Along these lines, the students' fundamental reason for existing is to deliver language which is adequate and suitable. Pre-open exercises are sorted into auxiliary exercises and quasicommunicative exercises, the last assess informative just as basic certainties about language.

A noteworthy part of the ethnography of this methodology, as per Patrik T. Kameen, is the investigation of the occasions as far as their valuable segments. These are: members (speaker, listener, sender, and recipient), setting (for example a mental or social setting), the genuine type of a message (for example a semantic depiction of the message), subject (for example what is the message about), Purpose (for example objective, expectation), key (for example genuine, mock), channel (for example oral, composed), code (for example language or assortment inside a language), standards of understanding (for example how extraordinary standards of connection or infringement of them translated), type (for example easygoing velocity, ballad, supplication, structure, letter). Allen Widows brings up: 'articulations can take on a hugely wide scope of implications in various settings'. He further includes that the student must know about the social implications of the language shapes in various sorts of social settings which he may need to look in his life. The study hall

educating should safeguard the open character of the language and it should empower the student to confront the genuine circumstances.

Keith Morrow is additionally of a similar feeling. He states: "any utilization of language will happen in a unique situation, and the language shapes which are suitable will fluctuate as per setting".

Specialists in the field of CLT see that making and translating ordinary circumstances in the language class ought to be the imperative methodologies of showing English as a second language. This is obvious from what Little wood needs to state:

"Unknown dialect students need chances to build up the aptitudes; by being presented to circumstances... the students need to get collection of semantic things, however collection of systems for utilizing them in solid circumstances".

Little wood further composes intricately on the criticalness of significance or semantic alternatives, as language is basically an arrangement of importance potential for example it comprises of sets of semantic choices accessible to the language clients that relate what the client can do regarding social conduct, what the client can say as far as sentence structure. Little wood composes:

"Understudies should likewise figure out how to relate language to the social implying that it conveys and to utilize it as a vehicle for social cooperation. To this end, it is important to expand their feeling of performing in a significant social setting, instead of essentially reacting to advance... with the fundamental semantic structure and the important connections among structure and significance".

Howatt recognizes a 'solid' and 'feeble' rendition of this methodology as: "There is it might be said, a 'solid' and 'frail' adaptation of the open methodology. The frail form which has turned out to be pretty much standard practice over the most recent ten years, focuses on the significance of furnishing students with chances to utilize their English for open purposes and naturally, endeavors to incorporate such exercises into a more extensive program of language teaching...The 'solid' variant of informative instructing, then again, progresses the case that language is gained through correspondence, so it isn't only an issue of actuating a current yet dormant learning of the language, yet of animating the improvement of the language framework itself. On the off chance that the previous could be portrayed as 'figuring out how to utilize' English, the last involves 'utilizing English to learn it'".

Abridging the primary qualities of the open methodology Richards and Rodgers affirms:

- i. Language is a framework for the declaration of importance.
- ii. ii) The essential capacity of language is for cooperation and correspondence.
- iii. iii) The structure of language mirrors its practical and open employments.

- iv. iv) The essential units of language are not simply its linguistic and auxiliary highlights, yet classes of utilitarian and informative significance as exemplified in talk".

An essential part of open methodology which has pulled in consideration of the connected language specialists is the schedule display. Wilkins' notional-prospectus was one of the absolute first schedule models, which determined the semantic-linguistic classes and the classifications of open capacity that students need to express. Yet, it was before long reprimanded by language specialists as just supplanting capacities. As indicated by Richards and Rodgers "it determined items as opposed to open procedures".

5. Conclusion:

In students of English as a second language are understudies named "constrained English capable" (LEP) in light of the fact that they originate from a home where a language other than English is spoken and score at underneath "Capability" on the LAB-R or score toward the Beginning, Intermediate, or Advanced dimension of English as estimated by the NYSESLAT. Different understudy profiles exist inside the general arrangement of LEP. There are LEP/ELLs who

might be talented and there are numerous with an abnormal state of capability in their local language. Others will most likely be unable to peruse or write in their local language since they have had a constrained or intruded on formal instruction in their very own nation. At long last, there is a populace of LEP understudies who have been recognized as having uncommon needs and have been eluded for a custom curriculum administration. For ELL/LEP understudies, it is basic to make educating and adapting socially significant and to empower access to earlier information whereupon new abilities and ideas can be manufactured. It is basic for instructors to comprehend the manners by which understudies' social and semantic foundations significantly impact their encounters in the homeroom. The visual expressions improve language advancement by offering non-verbal techniques for correspondence and understanding and by giving a stage to understudies to make mental pictures. Incorporating human expressions into language expressions and the substance territories for ELLs can offer understudies the chance to participate in new and differed approaches while increasing positive passionate reactions to getting the hang of, getting others and conveying their very own thoughts.

References

1. National Research Council. (2011). A framework for K-12 science education: Practices, crosscutting concepts, and core ideas. Washington, DC: National Research Council.
2. Migration Policy Institute. (2011). Limited English Proficient Individuals in the United States: Number, Share, Growth, and Linguistic Diversity. Washington, DC: Pandya, C., Batalova, J., & McHugh, M.
3. Moje, E. B., Collazo, T., Carrillo, R., & Marx, R. W. (2001). "Maestro, what is quality?": Language, literacy, and discourse in project based science. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 38(4), 469-495.
4. Moschkovich, J. (December, 2011). Math, Common Core, and ELs. Unpublished paper outline presented at the Understanding Language Initiative Steering Committee Meeting, Stanford, CA.
5. Pimentel, S. & Coleman, D. (2010). Common Core State Standards for English Language Arts & Literacy in History/Social Studies, Science, and Technical Subjects. Retrieved (December 29, 2011) from <http://www.corestandards.org/the-standards/english-language-arts-standards>.
6. Pimentel, S. (October, 2011). Underlying Learning and Instructional Assumptions of the CCSS for ELA/Literacy. Unpublished paper presented at the Understanding Language Initiative Steering Committee Meeting, Stanford, CA.
7. Schleppegrell, M. (2004). The language of schooling: A functional linguistics perspective. Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
8. SMARTER Balanced Assessment Consortium. (2011). Mathematics Draft Content Specifications. Washington State.
9. SMARTER Balanced Assessment Consortium. (2011). English Language Arts & Literacy Draft Content Specifications. Washington State.
10. Alexander, D., Heaviside, S., & Farris, E. (1999). Status of education reform in public elementary and secondary schools: Teachers' perspectives. Washington, DC: National Center for Education Statistics.
11. Bartolome, L. I. (1994). Beyond the methods fetish: Toward a humanizing pedagogy. *Harvard Educational Review*, 64(2), 173-194.
12. Darling-Hammond, L., & McLaughlin, M. (1995). Policies that support professional development in an era of reform. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 76(8), 597-604.