

From A Golden Sparrow to A Caged Bird

Ramandeep Sandhu

Research Scholar, J.S. University, Shikohabad, UP. (India)

ARTICLE DETAILS

Article History

Published Online: 10 February 2019

Keywords

Political, colonialism, European

ABSTRACT

Colonialism is not merely a political domination over the native majority. It also refers to the cultural subjugation of the natives to the dominant minority. Colonialism paints a vivid picture of the never-ending desires of the colonizer and the misery of the colonized whose lives are at the mercy of the dominant few. Colonialism describes the process of European settlement and political control over the rest of the world, including the Americas, Australia, and parts of Africa and Asia. It discusses the distinction between colonialism and imperialism and states that "given the difficulty of consistently distinguishing between the two terms, this entry will use colonialism as a broad concept that refers to the project of European political domination from the sixteenth to the twentieth century that ended with the national liberation movements of the 1960s. This article is an insight into the social and economic subjugation of the Indian masses during the colonial rule.

English dominion was more businesslike than that of other provincial forces. Its inspiration was financial, not zealous. Consequently they westernized India just partly. English interests were of a few sorts. At first the primary design was to accomplish a monopolistic exchanging position. Later it was felt that a routine of organized commerce would make India a noteworthy showcase for British merchandise and a wellspring of crude materials, however British industrialists who put resources into India, or on the other hand who sold managing an account or delivering administration there, proceeded with adequately to appreciate monopolistic benefits. India additionally gave intriguing and rewarding work to a sizeable part of the English upper working class, and the settlements they sent home made a calculable commitment to England's equalization of installments and ability to spare. At long last, control of India was a key component in the force to be reckoned with structure, as far as geography, logistics and military manpower.

The British were not opposed to Indian financial improvement on the off chance that it expanded their business sectors. However they declined to help in regions where they felt there was strife with their own financial advantages or political security. Henceforth, they declined to offer assurance to the Indian material industry until its principle rival moved toward becoming Japan instead of Manchester, and they did nothing to assist specialized instruction. They presented some British ideas of property, yet did not push them too far when they met personal stakes. The principle changes which the British made in Indian culture were at the best. They supplanted the inefficient warlord nobility by a bureaucratic-military foundation, cautiously planned by utilitarian technocrats, which was extremely proficient in keeping up lawfulness. The more noteworthy productivity of government allowed a significant decrease in the monetary weight, and a greater offer of the national item was accessible for landowners, business people and the new expert classes. Some of this privileged salary was redirected to the UK, yet the mass was spent in India. Be that as it may, the example of

utilization changed as the new high society never again kept arrays of mistresses and castles, nor did they wear fine muslins and damascened swords. This caused some excruciating corrections in the customary handiwork segment. It appears to be likely that there was some expansion in profitable speculation which more likely than not been close to focus in Moghul India: government itself completed gainful interest in railroads and water system and thus there was a development in both farming and modern yield.

The new world class built up a Western way of life utilizing the English dialect and English schools. New towns and urban pleasantries were made with isolated rural areas and lodging for them. Their propensities were replicated by the new expert world class of legal counselors, specialists, educators, columnists and agents. Inside this gathering, old position hindrances were facilitated and social portability expanded. To the extent the mass of the populace were concerned, pioneer rule brought couple of huge changes. The British instructive exertion was exceptionally restricted. There were no significant changes in town society, in the station framework, the situation of untouchables, the joint family framework, or underway methods in horticulture. English effect on financial and social improvement was, hence, restricted. All out yield also, populace expanded considerably however the gain in per capita yield was little or immaterial.

The greatest change the British made in the social structure was to supplant the warlord privileged by an effective organization and armed force. The customary arrangement of the East India Company had been to pay its workers genuinely unassuming pay rates, and to give them a chance to expand their pay from private exchanges. This course of action worked sensibly a long time before the success of Bengal, yet was wasteful as a method for compensating the authorities of a significant regional Empire in light of the fact that a lot of the benefit went into private hands instead of the Company's coffers, and (b) an over-rapacious transient approach was harming to the profitable limit of the economy and liable to

drive the neighborhood populace to revolt, the two of which were against the Company's more drawn out term interests. Clive had worked a 'double' framework, for example Organization control and a manikin Nawab. Warren Hastings uprooted the Nawab and assumed control coordinate organization, however held Indian authorities. At long last, in 1785, Cornwallis made an expert unit of Company workers who had liberal compensations, had no private exchanging or creation interests in India, appreciated the possibility of customary advancement and were qualified for annuities. All abnormal state posts were saved for the British, and Indians were prohibited. Cornwallis selected British judges, and built up British authorities as income gatherers and magistrated in each region of Bengal.

A standout amongst the most noteworthy things the British did to Westernize India was to present a changed rendition of English instruction. Macaulay's 1835 Minute on Education had an unequivocal effect on British instructive arrangement and is an exemplary case of a Western pragmatist way to deal with Indian human advancement. Before the British assumed control over, the Court dialect of the Moghuls was Persian and the Muslim populace utilized Urdu, a blend of Persian, Arabic and Sanskrit. Advanced education was generally religious and focused on information of Arabic and Sanskrit. The Company had given a few monetary help to a Calcutta Madrasa (1781), and a Sanskrit school at Benares (1792), Warren Hastings, as senator general from 1782 to 1795 had himself learned Sanskrit and Persian, and a few other Company authorities were oriental researchers. One of them, Sir William Jones, had deciphered an incredible mass of Sanskrit writing and had established the Asiatic Society of Bengal in 1785. Be that as it may, Macaulay was emphatically restricted to this orientalism: "I believe that the present system tends, not to accelerate the progress of truth, but to delay the natural death of expiring errors. We are a Board for wasting public money, for printing books which are less value than the paper on which they are printed was while it was blank; for giving artificial encouragement to absurd history, absurd metaphysics, absurd physics, absurd theology ... I have no knowledge of either Sanskrit or Arabic ... But I have done what I could to form a correct estimate of their value ... Who could deny that a single shelf of a good European library was worth the whole native literature of India and Arabia ... all the historical information which has been collected from all the books written in the Sanskrit language is less valuable than what may be found in the most paltry abridgements used at preparatory schools in England."

Until the point when 1857 it was conceivable to engage the view (as Marx did) that the British may in the long run obliterate customary Indian culture and Westernize the nation. However, dissident Westernizing strategies and the endeavor to broaden British guideline by assuming control local expresses whose rulers had left no beneficiaries incited segments of both the Hindu and Muslim people group into insubordination in the Mutiny of 1857. In spite of the fact that the Mutiny was effectively put down with significant assistance from steadfast Indian troops including the as of late vanquished Sikhs, British strategy towards Indian establishments and society turned out to be substantially more moderate. The Crown assumed control coordinate obligation

what's more, the East India Company was disbanded. The Indian common administration pulled in less individuals with improving thoughts than had the East India Company and was all the more firmly controlled from London. The British manufactured collusion with the staying local rulers and quit assuming control new region. Until the end of their rule, about a fourth of the Indian populace stayed in quasi-autonomous local states. These had authorized British inhabitants yet were genuinely free in interior strategy, what's more, the exertion of Westernization halted.

There has been a decent arrangement of debate among analysts about the rate of development of salary in India in the pioneer time frame. The contention is politically shaded and the insights are poor. Throughout the previous fifty years of British standard there is sufficient measurable data to make harsh evaluations of the development of national salary. There are no evaluations for the development in salary from Clive's success to the Mutiny, however there couldn't have been much net advancement in genuine salary per head before the improvement of railroads, present day industry, water system and the enormous extension in global exchange, and there are purposes behind suspecting that there was some decline.⁶⁴ From the start of British success in 1757 to autonomy, it appears to be improbable that per capita pay could have expanded by in excess of a third also, it likely did not increment by any means. In the UK itself there was a ten times increment in per capita pay over these two centuries.⁶⁵ The most recognizable change in the economy was the ascent in populace from around 170 million to 420 million from 1757 to 1947.

Be that as it may, there were some huge changes in social structure and in the example of yield. The social pyramid was truncated on the grounds that the British trimmed off the majority of the main three layers of the Moghul chain of importance, for example the Moghul court, the Moghul gentry and semi self-ruling costs (a fourth of these endure), and the nearby chieftainry (zamindars who made due in around 40 percent of India). Instead of these individuals the British introduced a cutting edge organization which took a littler offer of national salary. The newcomers had a more humble way of life than the Moghuls, be that as it may, siphoned an extensive piece of their investment funds out of the nation and gave no market to India's extravagance crafted works. The cutting edge production line segment which they made delivered just 7.5 percent of national pay toward the finish of British principle and in this manner did minimal more than supplant the old extravagance painstaking work and part of the town material creation.

The British decreased the assessment press on farming and transformed warlords into landowners, be that as it may, the new request had little dynamism. A decent arrangement of the old fluffiness about property rights remained, and proprietors were still to a great extent parasitic. The greater zamindars duplicated the Moghul way of life by keeping up crowds of retainers and enormous houses, the littler landowner's aspiration was to quit working and upgrade his custom virtue by setting up a shabby refinement. Next to no motivator was accommodated speculation and nothing was done to advance specialized change in horticulture. At the

base of society the situation of landless workers remained wretched. In urban territories another Westernized 'white collar class' of Indians developed and turned into the significant test to the British raj.

Conclusion

A country rich in culture, values, civilization, art and wealth was reduced to a land of slaves surviving at the mercy of the

colonizer who took it upon themselves to civilize the uncivilized. India took a great economic and social beating during the colonial rule. But India, after independence, has found solid ground and has been rebuilding herself gradually. The memories of colonial rule will forever be engraved in the minds of the Indian masses but the country has changed the way the world looks at her.

References

1. Maddison, "The Historical Origins of Indian Poverty", Banca Nazionale del Lavoro Quarterly Review, March 1970,
2. Report of the Pay and Services Commission, 1959-62, Government of Pakistan, 1969, p. 23
3. P. Woodruff, The Men who Ruled India, The Guardians, Cape, London, 1963, p. 363
4. H. Tinker, India and Pakistan, Pall Mall, London, 1967, p. 172. 7)
5. E. Stokes, The English Utilitarians and India, Oxford, 1959
6. V. Anstey, The Economic Development of India, Longmans Green, London, 1952, p. 540
7. L. Beveridge, India Called Them, Allen and Unwin, London, 1957