

# Spectral decomposition of compact self adjoint operators in infinite dimensional spaces

Indu Ratti

Assistant Professor, Sikh National College, Banga, Punjab (India)

## ARTICLE DETAILS

### Article History

Published Online: 10 October 2018

### Keywords

Eigen, Matrix, adjoint

## ABSTRACT

Eigen decomposition or spectral decomposition is the factorization of a matrix into a canonical form, where the matrix is the form of eigen values and eigen vectors. Here we are talking about diagonalizable matrices. Spectral Theorem provides spectral decomposition, Eigen value decomposition of the underlying vector space on which the operator acts. Here, we have tried to work on the formulation of an operator explicitly, operator being self adjoint and compact defined on Hilbert space.

## 1. Introduction

Decomposition of a self adjoint operator is quite complicated. But it can be done in a better, easier way if we are working with a compact operator. If  $H$  be a Hilbert space and  $T$  is defined from  $H$  to  $H$ . The complete spectral decomposition of  $T$  can be stated in a quite elementary fashion. Spectral Theorem is a generalization of the familiar theorem from Linear algebra asserting that a self adjoint  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  can be diagonalized

In particular a compact self adjoint operator can be unitarily diagonalized. Actually, spectral theory is an inclusive term for theories extending the Eigen vector and Eigen value theory of single matrix to a much broader theory of operators in a variety of mathematical spaces.

This paper is concerned with studying the "spectral representation of compact self adjoint operators. Here, we have tried to concentrate on infinite dimensional spaces. Although, the proofs for both the finite and infinite dimensional cases have been discussed in standard books, but we have tried to prove it with slightly different approach. The concept is relatively straight forward for operators on finite dimensional spaces but will require some modifications for operators on infinite dimensional spaces.

For infinite dimensional spaces, we started with family of projections. We represented  $T$  in terms of Riemann Steiltzes Integral. Considering the fact that Eigen values of  $T$  can both be positive as well as negative. We discussed both the cases and concluded the result. But before going further, we shall require a few facts concerning the terms and definitions used in the Theorem, which are as follows

## 2. Important Definitions

### 1. Eigen Values :

If  $A : X \rightarrow Y$  be a linear operator then scalar  $\lambda \in K$

( $R$  or  $C$ ) is called Eigen value of  $A$  if  $\exists x \in X (x \neq 0)$  s.t  $Ax = \lambda x$  i.e  $x$  is called Eigen vector of  $A$  corresponding to Eigen value  $\lambda$

### 2. Self Adjoint Operator :

A bounded operator  $A$  on a Hilbert space  $H$  is said to be self adjoint if  $A^* = A$ , where  $A^*$  is adjoint of  $A$ .

### 3. Kernel of an Operator :

If  $A : X \rightarrow Y$  be a Linear operator then  $N(A) = \{x \in X : Ax = 0\}$  is called kernel of  $A$  or null space of  $A$ .

### 4. Spectral Family :

A real spectral family (or real decomposition of unity) is a one parameter family  $\xi = (E_\lambda)_{\lambda \in R}$  of projections  $E_\lambda$  defined on a Hilbert space  $H$  which depends on real parameter  $\lambda$  and is s.t.

- $\lambda < \mu$  and  $E_\lambda \leq E_\mu$  implies  $E_\lambda E_\mu = E_\mu E_\lambda = E_\lambda$
- $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow -\infty} E_\lambda x = 0$
- $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} E_\lambda x = x$

### 5. Cauchy's Sequence

A sequence  $\langle x_n \rangle$  in  $X$  is said to be a Cauchy's sequence if for every  $\epsilon > 0 \exists p \in N$  such that  $\|x_n - x_m\| < \epsilon \forall n, m \geq p$

## 3. Statement of Theorem

Let  $T : H \rightarrow H$  be a compact and self adjoint operator on a Hilbert space  $H$ . Then there is a finite or infinite sequence  $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^N$  ( $n \in Z^+$  Or  $N = \infty$ ) of real eigen values  $\lambda_n \neq 0$  and a corresponding orthonormal sequence  $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^N$  in  $H$  such that

- $Te_n = \lambda_n e_n \forall n$  with  $1 \leq n \leq N$
- $N(T) = \text{span}(\{e_n\}_{n=1}^N)$
- if  $N = \infty$  then  $\lambda_n = 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$

Before going further, we will prove some very important results for the theorem which are given in the form of lemma:

**Lemma1** : Let  $T : H \rightarrow H$  be a compact and self adjoint operator on a Hilbert space  $H$ . Let  $S_1 = S(0,1)$  be unit

sphere in H. Then there is a vector  $x_0 \in S_1$  such that  $\|Tx_0\| = \|T\|$

**Proof :** We know that  $T = \sup \|Tx\|$  for all  $x \in S_1$

$\therefore \exists$  Sequence  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  s.t.  $\|Tx_n\| = \|T\|$

Let  $Lt Tx_n = y_0$  (because T is compact)

Let  $Y = \text{cl}(\text{span} \{x_1, x_2, \dots\})$ , which is closed subspace of H, and a closed subspace of a Hilbert space is Hilbert space in itself.

$\Rightarrow Y$  is reflexive (We know that every Hilbert space is reflexive)

By construction, Y is separable

there is a subsequence of sequence  $\langle x_n \rangle$  which converges weakly in Y. Let us name the subsequence as  $\langle x_{j_k} \rangle$  i.e.  $(x_{j_1}, x_{j_2}, x_{j_3}, \dots)$  with  $1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < j_3, \dots$  and this subsequence converges to  $x_0 \in Y$

Now, if  $z \in Y$

$$\langle Tx_0, z \rangle = \langle x_0, Tz \rangle = \langle x_0, Tz \rangle = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle x_{j_k}, Tz \rangle$$

$$= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle Tx_{j_k}, z \rangle = \langle y_0, z \rangle$$

Here third inequality holds because  $\langle x_{j_k} \rangle$  cgs weakly to  $x_0$  and last inequality holds because  $Lt Tx_{j_k} = y_0$  and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is continuous. Concluding  $\langle Tx_0, z \rangle = \langle y_0, z \rangle$

$$Tx_0 = y_0$$

Hence  $\|Tx_0\| = \|y_0\| = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|Tx_{j_k}\| = \|T\|$  (from \*)

i.e.  $\|Tx_0\| = \|T\|$  which we had to prove.

But the aim of the above Lemma is also to prove that  $x_0 \in S_1$ ,

Where  $S_1 = S(0,1)$

$$\text{Now, } |\langle x_{j_k}, x_0 \rangle| \leq \|x_{j_k}\| \|x_0\| = 1 \quad \forall k$$

By Cauchy Schwarz inequality

Here, we can't take  $\|x_0\| < 1$  because then

$\|Tx_0\| \leq \|T\| \|x_0\| < \|T\|$  which will be contrary to above proved. Hence  $\|x_0\| = 1$  i.e.  $x_0 \in S_1$

**Lemma 2:** Let X be a finite dimensional inner product space and T is a self adjoint operator on X, Then T can be represented as  $T = \int_A^B \lambda dE_\lambda$

**Proof :** We know that if T is a self adjoint operator, then it can be presented as  $T = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i P_i$

When  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k$  are distinct Eigen values of T and for each

$i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ ,  $P_i$  is the orthogonal projection onto  $N(A - \lambda_i I)$

without loss of generality, Let us assume

$$\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \dots < \lambda_k$$

and  $E_0 = 0, E_1 = P_1, E_2 = P_1 + P_2, \dots, E_i = P_1 + P_2 + \dots + P_i,$

$$i=1, 2, \dots, k, \text{ We can write } T = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i (E_i - E_{i-1})$$

$$\text{and } 0 = E_0 \leq E_1 \leq E_2 \leq \dots \leq E_k = I$$

The representation of T given above can be viewed as a Riemann – Stieltzes integral with respect to a projection value function as follows. For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , define

$$E(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \lambda < \lambda_1 \\ P_1 + P_2 + \dots + P_i & \text{if } \lambda_i \leq \lambda < \lambda_{i+1}, i=1, \dots, k-1 \\ I & \text{if } \lambda \geq \lambda_k \end{cases}$$

Taking,  $t_1^{(n)}, t_2^{(n)}, \dots, t_n^{(n)}$  Set  $A < \lambda_1 < t_1^{(n)} < \dots < t_n^{(n)} = \lambda_k = B$

$$\text{s.t. } \max \{ t_j^{(n)} - t_{j-1}^{(n)} = 1, \dots, n \} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

$$\text{We can write } T = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i [E(\lambda_i) - E(\lambda_{i-1})]$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j^{(n)} [E(t_j^{(n)}) - E(t_{j-1}^{(n)})]$$

Where  $\beta_1^{(n)}, \dots, \beta_n^{(n)}$  satisfy  $t_{j-1}^{(n)} < \beta_j^{(n)} \leq t_j^{(n)}, j=1, \dots, n$

Then T can be written as **Riemann Stieltzes** Integral in the form  $T = \int_A^B \lambda dE(\lambda)$

The proof can be modeled on one of the standard methods of proofs in the finite dimensional case, although the details are of course more difficult in infinite dimension.

**Proof of the Theorem:**

Let  $T : H \rightarrow H$  be compact self adjoint operator defined on Hilbert space H. By using Lemma2, We can represent T in terms of Riemann Integral as

$$T = \int_m^M \lambda dE_\lambda \text{ Where } m = \text{Inf } \langle Tx, x \rangle \text{ for } \|x\| = 1$$

$$M = \text{Sup } \langle Tx, x \rangle \text{ for } \|x\| = 1$$

For taking value  $\lambda = m$  into consideration, we take

$$T = \int_{m-0}^M \lambda dE_\lambda$$

If we consider two real members  $A < 0 < B$  s.t.  $A < m$  and

$$B > M \text{ then } T = \int_A^B \lambda dE_\lambda \dots \dots \dots (1)$$

Let  $Y_\lambda = E_\lambda(H)$  which is closed subspace of H

and  $E_\lambda$  is projection of H onto  $Y_\lambda$

Now, we will try to prove that  $\dim Y_{\lambda_0}^\perp$  is finite for any  $\lambda_0 > 0$

Let us suppose the contrary i.e. Let  $\dim Y_{\lambda_0}^\perp = \infty$

Now, we can have two options : Either  $\lambda \leq \lambda_0$  or  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$

Firstly if  $\lambda \leq \lambda_0$  then  $E_\lambda E_{\lambda_0} = E_\lambda$

and if  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$  then  $E_\lambda E_{\lambda_0} = E_{\lambda_0}$  (Definition of spectral family) Multiplying both sides of (1) by  $E_{\lambda_0}$

$$\text{i.e. } T E_{\lambda_0} = T E_{\lambda_0} = \left( \int_A^B \lambda dE_\lambda \right) E_{\lambda_0} = \int_A^{\lambda_0} \lambda dE_\lambda \dots \dots \dots (2)$$

( $\therefore$  Here  $\lambda \leq \lambda_0 \Rightarrow E_\lambda E_{\lambda_0} = E_\lambda$ )

Subtract (2) from (1)

$$T - T E_{\lambda_0} = \int_{\lambda_0}^B \lambda dE_\lambda$$

$$T(I - E_{\lambda_0}) = \int_{\lambda_0}^B \lambda dE_\lambda \geq \int_{\lambda_0}^B \lambda_0 dE_\lambda = \lambda_0 [E_B - E_{\lambda_0}] = \lambda_0 (I - E_{\lambda_0})$$

$$(\therefore \text{ Here range is } \lambda_0 \text{ to } B) \therefore T(I - E_{\lambda_0}) \geq \lambda_0 (I - E_{\lambda_0}) \dots \dots (3)$$

Here  $E_{\lambda_0}$  is projection of H onto  $Y_{\lambda_0}$

$\Rightarrow I - E_{\lambda_0}$  is projection of H onto  $Y_{\lambda_0}^\perp$

$\Rightarrow$  If  $y \in Y_{\lambda_0}^\perp \Rightarrow (I - E_{\lambda_0})y = y$

By using (3) and partial order relation

$$\langle Ty, y \rangle \geq \langle \lambda_0 y, y \rangle = \lambda_0 \|y\|^2$$

$$\text{Rewriting, } \lambda_0 \|y\|^2 = \langle Ty, y \rangle \leq \|Ty\| \|y\|$$

By Cauchy's Schwarz Inequality,  $\Rightarrow \lambda_0 \|y\| \leq \|Ty\|$

$$\text{i.e. } \|Ty\| \geq \lambda_0 \|y\| \quad \forall y \in Y_{\lambda_0}^\perp$$

But we have supposed that  $\dim Y_{\lambda_0}^\perp = \infty$

$\therefore$  There is an infinite orthonormal sequence  $e_1, e_2, \dots$  in  $Y_{\lambda_0}^\perp$

$$\text{s.t. } \forall j \neq i, \|Te_j - Te_i\| \geq \lambda_0 \|e_j - e_i\| = \sqrt{2} \lambda_0$$

This shows that there can't exist any subsequence  $j_1 < j_2 < \dots$

s.t.  $\langle Te_j \rangle$  is Cauchy's sequence .

But  $\langle e_j \rangle$  is bounded sequence in H and T is given to be compact.  $\therefore$  Every bounded sequence should have a convergent subsequence. But corresponding to  $\langle e_j \rangle$ ,  $\langle Te_j \rangle$  is not convergent subsequence. This is contradiction to the fact that T is compact. Hence, our supposition is wrong.

$\therefore \dim Y_{\lambda_0}^\perp$  is finite for each  $\lambda_0 > 0$  and Let  $\dim Y_{\lambda_0}^\perp = d(\lambda)$   
By def. of spectral family,  $d(\lambda)$  is decreasing sequence.

$\therefore$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , the set  $\{\lambda > 0 : d(\lambda) = n\}$  is either empty or an interval of the form  $\mathbb{R}^+ \cap (\mu_j, \mu_{j+1})$

It means that there is an infinite sequence  $B = \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \dots$  of positive members s.t  $d(\lambda)$  is constant on each interval  $[\mu_j, \mu_{j+1})$  and  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \mu_j = 0$

By using definition of Riemann steiltzes integral, we claim that  $\int_0^B \lambda dE_\lambda = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \mu_j (E_{\mu_j} - E_{\mu_{j+1}})$

**Proof for claim :** Let  $P_n = \{0, \mu_n, \mu_{n-1}, \dots, \mu_1 = B\}$  be partition of  $[0, B]$  Here  $0 < \mu_n < \mu_{n-1} < \dots < \mu_2 < \mu_1 = B$

Again splitting subinterval  $(\mu_j, \mu_{j+1})$ ,  $2 \leq j \leq n$  into  $n$  equal parts

By construction,  $\|P_n\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$

By definition  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(P_n) = \int_0^B \lambda dE_\lambda$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$

..... \*

Now  $S(P_n) = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \mu_j (E_{\mu_j} - E_{\mu_{j+1}})$

$= \mu_n (E_{\mu_n} - E_{\mu_{n+1}}) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \mu_j (E_{\mu_j} - E_{\mu_{j+1}})$

$= \mu_n (E_{\mu_n} - E_0) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \mu_j (E_{\mu_j} - E_{\mu_{j+1}})$

Hence,  $\|S(P_n) - \sum_{j=1}^\infty \mu_j (E_{\mu_j} - E_{\mu_{j+1}})\| = \|\mu_n (E_{\mu_n} - E_0)\|$

$\leq \mu_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  ( $\therefore E_{\mu_n} - E_0$  is projection and its norm  $\leq 1$ )

$\therefore$  By def. of Limit  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(P_n) = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \mu_j (E_{\mu_j} - E_{\mu_{j+1}})$ .....

\*\*

i.e.  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(P_n) = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \mu_j (E_{\mu_j} - E_{\mu_{j+1}})$

combining \* and \*\*

$\int_0^B \lambda dE_\lambda = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \mu_j (E_{\mu_j} - E_{\mu_{j+1}})$

..... (4)

i.e our claim is established

Now, in the next section, we will try to represent the above integral in terms of members of some orthonormal sequence. Consider the projection  $E_{\mu_j} - E_{\mu_{j+1}}$ , which is projection onto  $Y_{\mu_{j+1}}^\perp \cap Y_{\mu_j}$

Let us name as  $Z_j = Y_{\mu_{j+1}}^\perp \cap Y_{\mu_j}$

Now, we will prove that for  $j \geq 1$ , all  $Z_1, Z_2, \dots$  are mutually orthogonal. Now for  $\forall 1 \leq j < i$

$\Rightarrow \mu_{j+1} \geq \mu_i$  and  $\mu_1 > \mu_2 > \mu_3 > \dots$  is a decreasing sequence

$\Rightarrow Y_{\mu_i} \subset Y_{\mu_{j+1}}$

..... \*

and  $Z_j = Y_{\mu_{j+1}}^\perp \cap Y_{\mu_j} \Rightarrow Z_j \perp Y_{\mu_{j+1}}$

For  $j=i$ ,  $Z_i = Y_{\mu_{i+1}}^\perp \cap Y_{\mu_i} \Rightarrow Z_i \subset Y_{\mu_i}$  .....

\*\*

Again \* and \*\*  $Z_i \subset Y_{\mu_i} \subset Y_{\mu_{j+1}}$  and  $Z_j \perp Y_{\mu_{j+1}}$

$\therefore Z_i \subset Y_{\mu_{j+1}}$  and  $Z_j \perp Y_{\mu_{j+1}}$

$\Rightarrow Z_i \perp Z_j \forall i, j$

$\therefore Z_1, Z_2, \dots$  are mutually orthogonal. We can choose an ON basis  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{d_1}$  in  $Z_1$ , then similarly choose an ON basis in  $Z_2$  and so on, we obtain an orthonormal sequence  $e_1, e_2, \dots$  in H, which may be finite or infinite.

$\therefore$  we can write  $(E_{\mu_j} - E_{\mu_{j+1}})x = x = \sum_{e_k \in Z_j} \langle x, e_k \rangle e_k$  for each j

From (4),  $\int_0^B \lambda dE_\lambda = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \mu_j (E_{\mu_j} - E_{\mu_{j+1}})$

$(\int_0^B \lambda dE_\lambda) = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \mu_j (E_{\mu_j} - E_{\mu_{j+1}})x$

$= \sum_k \lambda_k \langle x, e_k \rangle \forall x \in H$  (here  $\lambda_k = \mu_j$ )

i.e  $(\int_0^B \lambda dE_\lambda) = \sum_k \lambda_k \langle x, e_k \rangle e_k$

Here, by construction  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots$  ie it is a decreasing sequence of positive members and if this sequence is infinite  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_k = 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  Because  $E_{\mu_j} - E_{\mu_{j+1}}$  is projection onto  $Z_j$  and  $e_1, e_2, \dots$  is ON in H.

Here  $Z_j = Y_{\mu_{j+1}}^\perp \cap Y_{\mu_j}$

$\Rightarrow Z_j \subset Y_{\mu_{j+1}}^\perp$  and  $Y_0 \subset Y_{\mu_{j+1}}$  ie  $Y_0^\perp \supset Y_{\mu_{j+1}}^\perp$

combining  $Z_j \subset Y_{\mu_{j+1}}^\perp \subset Y_0^\perp$

$Z_j \subset Y_0^\perp \forall j$

ie All  $e_1, e_2, \dots \in Z_j \subset Y_0^\perp$

Now, we will take the case when  $\lambda_0 < 0$ . Firstly, we will prove  $\dim Y_{\lambda_0} < \infty$

Let us start with  $T = \int_A^B \lambda dE_\lambda$

$TE_{\lambda_0} = \int_A^B \lambda dE_\lambda E_{\lambda_0}$

$= \int_A^{\lambda_0} \lambda dE_\lambda$  [Because  $\lambda \leq \lambda_0 \Rightarrow E_\lambda E_{\lambda_0} = E_\lambda$ ]

$\leq \int_A^{\lambda_0} \lambda dE_\lambda = \lambda_0 [E_{\lambda_0} - E_A] = \lambda_0 E_{\lambda_0}$

That is  $TE_{\lambda_0} \leq \lambda_0 E_{\lambda_0}$

Let  $y \in Y_{\lambda_0}$

Now  $\langle Ty, y \rangle \leq \lambda_0 \langle y, y \rangle = \lambda_0 \|y\|^2 < 0$  ( $\therefore \lambda_0 < 0$ ) ..(5)

Again  $|\langle Ty, y \rangle| \leq \|Ty\| \|y\|$

.....(6)

(5) and (6)  $\lambda_0 \|y\|^2 \leq \|Ty\| \|y\|$

ie  $\lambda_0 \|y\| \leq \|Ty\|$

ie  $\|Ty\| \geq \lambda_0 \|y\| \forall y \in Y_{\lambda_0}$ , but we suppose

$\dim Y_{\lambda_0} = \infty \therefore$  There is an infinite orthonormal sequence  $f_1, f_2, \dots$  in  $Y_{\lambda_0}$  such that

$\|Tf_j - Tf_i\| \geq \lambda_0 \|f_j - f_i\| = \sqrt{2} \lambda_0$

This shows that there can't exist any subsequence such that  $\langle Tf_j \rangle$  is cauchy's sequence. But  $\langle f_j \rangle$  in bounded sequence in H and T is given to be compact.

$\therefore$  Every bounded sequence should have a convergent subsequence. But corresponding to  $\langle f_j \rangle$ ,  $\langle Tf_j \rangle$  is not convergent subsequence. This is contradiction to the fact that T is compact. Hence our supposition is wrong.

$\therefore \dim Y_{\lambda_0}$  is finite for  $\lambda_0 < 0$ . and the set of all these  $\lambda$ 's is either empty or an interval of the form  $[v, v')$ . It follows that there is an infinite sequence  $A = v_1 < v_2 < \dots$  of negative numbers such that  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} v_j = 0$

Now, by using definition of Riemann Steiltzes Integral, we claim that  $\int_A^0 \lambda dE_\lambda = \sum_{j=2}^\infty v_j [E_{v_j} - E_{v_{j-1}}]$

Let  $P_n = \{A = v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n = 0\}$  be partition of  $[A, 0]$

By the construction as before,  $\|P_n\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$

By definition  $Lt_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(P_n) = \int_A^0 \lambda dE_\lambda$  .....  
 (7)

Now  $S(P_n) = \sum_{j=2}^\infty v_j [E_{v_j} - E_{v_{j-1}}]$   
 $S(P_n) = v_n [E_{v_n} - E_{v_{n-1}}] + \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} v_j [E_{v_j} - E_{v_{j-1}}]$   
 $S(P_n) = v_n [E_0 - E_{v_{n-1}}] + \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} v_j [E_{v_j} - E_{v_{j-1}}]$   
 $\|S(P_n) - \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} v_j [E_{v_j} - E_{v_{j-1}}]\| = \|v_n [E_0 - E_{v_n}]\| \leq v_n \rightarrow 0$   
 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  (since  $E_0 - E_{v_n}$  is projection)

$\therefore$  By def. of limit,  $Lt_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(P_n) = \sum_{j=2}^\infty v_j [E_{v_j} - E_{v_{j-1}}]$   
 ie.  $Lt_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(P_n) = \sum_{j=2}^\infty v_j [E_{v_j} - E_{v_{j-1}}]$  .....  
 (8)

Combining (7) and (8)

$$\int_A^0 \lambda dE_\lambda = \sum_{j=2}^\infty v_j [E_{v_j} - E_{v_{j-1}}]$$

Now, in the next section, we will try to represent the above integral in terms of members of some orthonormal sequence.

Now,  $E_{v_j} - E_{v_{j-1}}$  is projection of  $H$  onto  $Y_{v_{j+1}}^\perp \cap Y_{v_j}$

let us name  $Q_j = Y_{v_{j+1}}^\perp \cap Y_{v_j}$

Now, we will prove that  $j \geq 2$ , All  $Q_1, Q_2 \dots$  are mutually orthogonal.

For  $2 \leq j < i$ ,

$$\Rightarrow Y_{v_i} \subset Y_{v_{j+1}}$$

Now  $Q_i = Y_{v_{i+1}}^\perp \cap Y_{v_i}$ , It means  $Q_i \subset Y_{v_i} \subset Y_{v_{j+1}}$

ie  $Q_i \subset Y_{v_{j+1}}$  and because  $Q_j \perp Y_{v_{j+1}}$

combining  $Q_i \perp Q_j \forall i, j$

$\therefore Q_1, Q_2 \dots$  are mutually orthogonal.

$\therefore$  We can choose an orthonormal basis  $f_1, f_2 \dots$  in  $H$  (by combining all ON basis in  $Q_1, Q_2 \dots$ ) which may be finite or infinite.

we can write  $[E_{v_j} - E_{v_{j-1}}]x = x = \sum_{k \in H} \langle x, f_k \rangle f_k$  for each  $k$ .

Now, considering  $\int_A^0 \lambda dE_\lambda = \sum_{j=2}^\infty v_j [E_{v_j} - E_{v_{j-1}}]x$   
 $(\int_A^0 \lambda dE_\lambda)x = \sum_{j=2}^\infty v_j [E_{v_j} - E_{v_{j-1}}]x$   
 $(\int_A^0 \lambda dE_\lambda)x = \sum_k \lambda_k \langle x, f_k \rangle f_k$ , here  $v_j := \lambda_k$

Now, concluding the above discussion, we have derived the following results i.e.

$$(\int_A^0 \lambda dE_\lambda)x = \sum_k \lambda_k \langle x, f_k \rangle f_k$$

$$\text{and } (\int_0^B \lambda dE_\lambda)x = \sum_k \lambda_k \langle x, e_k \rangle e_k$$

$$\text{Combining, } Tx = (\int_A^B \lambda dE_\lambda)x = (\int_A^0 \lambda dE_\lambda)x + (\int_0^B \lambda dE_\lambda)x$$

$$= \sum_k \lambda_k \langle x, e_k \rangle e_k + \sum_k \lambda_k \langle x, f_k \rangle f_k$$

Here  $e_k \perp f_k \forall k$ . and  $e_k \in Y_0^\perp$  and  $f_k \in Y_0$

$\therefore$  Any merging of the sequence  $\langle e_k \rangle$  and  $\langle f_k \rangle$  is a new orthonormal sequence. Hence, it follows  $Te_k = \lambda_k e_k \forall e_k$  and  $Te_k = \lambda_k f_k \forall f_k$  which is last part of theorem.

For the second part, we have to prove  $N(T) = \text{span}(\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty)^\perp$

$$\text{If } x \in N(T) \Rightarrow Tx = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle x, e_k \rangle = 0 \text{ and } \langle x, f_k \rangle = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow x \perp e_k \text{ and } x \perp f_k$$

$$\Rightarrow x \in \text{span}(\{e_k\} \cup \{f_k\})^\perp$$

$$\text{Hence } N(T) \subset \text{span}(\{e_k\} \cup \{f_k\})^\perp$$

$$\text{and similarly } \text{span}(\{e_k\} \cup \{f_k\})^\perp \subset N(T)$$

combining,  $N(T) = \text{span}(\{e_k\} \cup \{f_k\})^\perp$  which is required result.

Hence, all claims of theorem are fulfilled

#### 4. Conclusion

In this paper, we basically discussed the spectral representation of compact self adjoint operators. The significance and usefulness of this result lies in the fact that we can represent  $T(v), v \in H$  in a simple and unique form. So, we are writing  $H$  as,  $H = Y + Y^\perp$ , where we assume  $Y = \text{span}(\{e_n\}_{n=1}^N)$

We then proved kernel of  $T$  as orthogonal of the set which we proved as combination of the orthonormal elements.

This process is done when  $N$  is infinite. This made study of infinite dimensional spaces both richer as well as crucial. In the proof, we expressed  $T$  in terms of projections and then using some very important results, we presented  $T$  in terms of Riemann Steiltzes Integral. That Integral was written in terms of some orthonormal sequence for required result. Motivated for the application of this theorem, we here tried to write  $v \in H$  in the form  $v = (\sum \alpha_n e_n) + Z$ ,

Where  $\alpha_n \in k, Z \in N(T)$  and for each such vector  $v$ , we have

$$T(v) = \sum \lambda_n \alpha_n e_n$$

which is required representation and this presentation is unique.

#### References

1. Andreas Strombergsson, Spectral Theorem for Compact Self Adjoint Operators(preprint).
2. Kreyszig, Introductory Functional Analysis With Applications, Wiley Classics Library Edition, 1989.
3. Nair, M.T., Functional Analysis: A First Course, Prentice Hall of India Private Limited, New Delhi, 2008.