

Effect of diversification and intensification in the major cropping systems in Malwa plateau zone of M.P. under different land configuration for improvement in yield, physiology and nutrient uptake

¹Deepika Chourey, ²Dr. S.K. Choudhary & ³Shri N.K.Sinha

¹Research Scholar, Department of Life Science, Pacific University, Udaipur (India)

²Senior Scientist and Professor, Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, Indore (India)

³Technical Assistant, IFSR Project, Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, Indore (India)

ARTICLE DETAILS

Article History

Published Online: 10 November 2018

Keywords

Soybean, Wheat, Growth attributes, cropping system, Gross returns, Growth parameter

Abbreviations

kg/ha: Kilogram per hectare, T: treatment

Corresponding Author

Email: deepika_chourey[at]yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted At All India Coordinated Research Project on Integrated Farming System, College of Agriculture, Indore during the *kharif* and *Rabi* season 2016-17 and 2017-18 with the objective to find out the effects of diversification and intensification on growth and yield of different crops and cropping system and their effect on economic viability as well as find out the best treatment combination for higher yield and profitability. Experiment was laid out in randomized block design with three replication and total nine treatment combinations was done. . On the basis of result obtained from the experiment it is concluded that the sole crop Soybean i.e. T₂ (Soybean) namely gave significantly higher values of all growth parameter plant height, dry weight, in *kharif* and T₁ (Wheat) in *rabi* and gross returns was found highest in T₃ (Soybean + Maize (4:2)- Wheat).

1. Introduction

Soybean is one of the pre-eminent crop in providing cheap and inexpensive protein (40%) and oil (20%) which determines the economic worth of the crop on the globe .Majority of soybean area (about 52 %) in India comes under Madhya Pradesh, of this 95-98% area is located in Malwa region of the state (SOPA 2017).In India soybean is grown in 10.5 million ha with total production of 11.5 million tonnes. In Madhya Pradesh it is grown in 6.38 million ha with total production of 5.37 million tons, and productivity 784 kg ha⁻¹. (SOPA 2017).

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) is the main cereal crop and mainly grown in *Rabi* season in India. Indian wheat is largely a medium protein, soft/medium hard and white bread wheat. Higher area coverage is reported from Madhya Pradesh in recent years. India ranks in third in the world in respect of production. The production of wheat is 97.11 million tons from 30.6 million ha (Anonymous, 2017).

2. Materials and Methods

The present investigation was carried out during *kharif* and *Rabi* season 2016-17 and 2017-18 under All India Coordinated Research Project All India Coordinated Research Project on Integrated Farming System, RVSKVV, College of Agriculture; Indore(M.P.). Indore is situated in Malwa Plateau region in the western part of the state of Madhya Pradesh at an altitude of 555.5 meters above mean sea level (MSL). It is located at latitude 22.43° N and longitude of 75.66° E. It has subtropical climate having temperature range of 21° C to 45° C and 6° C to 31° C in summer and winter seasons, respectively. The rainfall in the region is mostly inadequate and erratic. Late commencement, early withdrawal of monsoon and occurrence of two to three dry spells during the rainy season are the common features. The annual average rainfall is 964 mm. The

topography of the field was uniform with proper drainage. The soil of the experimental field was under medium black clay soil (Vertisols) (13.25% sand, 30.75% silt and 56.00% clay), neutral to alkaline in reaction (pH 7.5). The soil was low in organic carbon (0.40%), available nitrogen (186.7 kg/ha), medium in available phosphorus (6.78 kg/ha) and available potassium (562 kg/ha), and electrical conductivity 0.23m mhos cm⁻¹. The experiment was conducted randomized block design with three replication and 9 treatments. The treatments includes 10 crops i.e Soybean (JS 20-34) Maize(KMH-25K45)Sorghum (CSH-18) Amaranthus in row ratio 4:2 in *kharif* and Wheat (RVSW 41-06), Gram(JAKI-9218), Mustard (Pusa bold) Cauliflower (Pusa snowball-1) Pea (KS-10 Kaveri) French bean (Deep shikha). The seed rate of soybean, Maize, Sorghum, Amaranthus, Wheat, Gram, Mustard, Cauliflower Pea, French bean was 90,25,12,2,120,100,6,120,60 kg/ha; respectively. All the *kharif* and *rabi* crops were sown in the first week of July and first week of November respectively. The nutrients were applied @ 20 kg N, 60 kg P₂O₅ and 20 kg K₂O/ha as basal through urea, SSP and muriate of potash. All recommended practices were followed during crop-growing season.

The data recorded on different observations were tabulated and analysed statistically by using the techniques of analysis of variance (Fisher, 1958).

3. Results and Discussion

The sole crop soybean of T₂ (Soybean) in *kharif* and wheat of T₁ (Wheat) in *rabi* produced significantly higher values of plant height, dry weight, over various cropping systems. Similar findings were also recorded by Tetio –Kaglo (1988) ,Gulzar *et al* (2001) and Khatri *et al.* (2014). The sole crop of soybean produced significantly higher plant height, dry weight, (Table – 1, 2, 3, 4) as also was founded by Bhadoria,*et*

al (1992). Difference in plant height and yield were obtained under sole crops owing to better micro-climatic due to less plant competition and in wheat due to higher nitrogen fixation by soybean due to intercropping system. The earlier findings of Yadava *et al.* (2005), Kumar *et al.* (2010), Baishya *et al.* (2014), Khargkharat *et al.* (2014), Bhatnagar and Pal (2014) and Solomon *et al.* (2014) also corroborate the present results.

All the intercropping were found to be most profitable as compared to their sole crops. The highest gross returns (Rs. 197467) (Table – 5) was found in T3 (Soybean + maize (4:2)-

wheat) over rest of the cropping system in *kharif* and *rabi* season. These results are in line with the finding of Mikic *et al.* (2015).

4. Conclusion

On the basis of foregoing results, it can be concluded that the soybean based intercropping followed by wheat cropping system were found to be more productive for achieving the higher productivity and profitability from unit land area under cropping systems.

References

1. Ali, S.; Zamir, M.S.; Farid, M.; Farooq, M.A.; Rizwan, M.; Ahmad, R. and Hannan, F. (2015). Growth and yield response of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) to tillage and row spacing in maize-wheat cropping system in semi-arid region. *Eurasian J Soil Sci.* 5(1): 53 – 61.
2. Anonymous (2017). Published in Agricultural Statistics at a Glance, Ministry of Agriculture, GOI (New Delhi), p: 19.
3. Baishya, L.K., Ansari, M.A., Walling, I., Sarma, P.K. and Prakash, N. (2014). Productivity, profitability and energy budgeting of maize (*Zea mays*)/greengram (*Vigna radiata*) intercropping system under rainfed conditions of Eastern Himalayan Region. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*; **84**(9): 1073-1077.
4. Bhadoria, R.B.S., Chauhan, G.S., Kushwaha, H.S. and Singh, V.N. (1992).
5. Intercropping of clusterbean (*Cyamopsis tetragonoloba*) with pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum*). *Indian Journal of Agronomy*; **37**(3):436-439.
6. Bhatnagar, A. and Pal, M.S. (2014). Evaluation of intercropping systems in spring maize with sunflower and urd bean in North Western plain of India. *SAARC Journal of Agriculture*; **12**(1): 26-32.
7. Fisher, R.A. (1958). Statistical Methods for Research Workers. *Oliver and Boyd, London*.
8. Gulzar, Ahmad.; Zar, Quresh .; Khan, S.D.; and Aqib, Iqbal (2001). Study on the intercropping of soybean with maize. *Sarhad J. Agric.* **17**(2):235-238.
9. Khargkharate, V.K., Kadam, G.L., Pandagale, A.D., Awasarmal, V.B. and Rathod, S.S. (2014). Studies on *Kharif* legume intercropping with *Bt* cotton under rainfed conditions. *Journal of Cotton Research and Development*; **28**(2): 243-246.
10. Khatri, N.; Dahal, K.R.; Amgain L.P. and Karkiz T.B. (2014). Productivity and economic assessment of maize and soybean intercropping under various tillage and residue levels in
11. Chitwan Nepal. *World J. Agric. Res.* **2** (6A), 6-12.
12. Kumar, H.C.S., Mudalagiriappa Nanjappa, H.V. and Ramachandrappa, B.K. (2010). Productive performance of castor (*Ricinus communis* L.) based intercropping systems under rainfed conditions of Central Dry Zone in Karnataka. *Mysore Journal of Agricultural Sciences*; **44**(3): 481-484.
13. Mikic, A., Cupina, B., Rubiales, D., Mihailovic, V., Sarunaite, L., Fustec, J., Antanasovic, S., Krstic, Bedoussac, L., Zoric, L., orevic, V., Peric, V. and Srebric, M. (2015). Models, developments, and perspectives of mutual legume intercropping. *Advances in Agronomy*; **130**: 337-419.
14. Shrivani, D.R. and Ahlawat, I.P.S. (1999). Effect of cropping system and fertilizers on pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan*) and wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) in pigeon pea wheat sequence. *Indian J. Agron.* **41**(4): 558-561.
15. Singh, S.C.; Dwivedi, V.K.; Dadhwal, K.S. and Sharma, N.K. (2002). Effect of Nal (Arundo donax L.) mulch and lindane insecticide on soil moisture use, growth and yield of wheat. *Indian Journal of Soil Conservation.* **30**: 263-267.
16. Solomon, Kebebew, Ketema, Belete and Tamado, Tana (2014). Productivity evaluation of maize-soybean intercropping system under rainfed condition at Bench-Maji Zone, Ethiopia. *European Researcher*; **79**(7-2): 1301-1309.
17. SOPA (2017). *Soybean Processors Association of India, Indore.* SOPA/2.11/ DRK.
18. Tetio –Kagho, E (2015). Influence of plant density and intercropping on maize and soybean growth, light interception, yield and efficiency indices. *Dissertation Abstracts Int. J. Biol. Sci. Enginn.* **49**(6).
19. Yadava, N.D., Rathore, V.S. and Beniwal, R.K. (2005). Production potential of legume based intercropping system under hyper arid condition of Rajasthan. *Journal of Arid Legumes*; **2**(2): 230-232.

Table 1 Effect of diversification, intensification and land configurations on mean plant height (cm) of *kharif* crops

S.N	Treatment	20 DAS		40 DAS		60 DAS		At Harvest	
		MC	IC	MC	IC	MC	IC	MC	IC
1	T ₁ (Soybean)	14.75		27.48		34.58		40.20	
2	T ₂ (Soybean)	14.97		28.06		35.45		41.18	
3	T ₃ (Soybean + Maize (4:2))	14.40	15.52	26.65	28.41	34.12		39.64	149.73
4	T ₄ (Soybean + Maize (3:2) + Sunhemp (Green manure)	13.71	14.87	25.91	40.73	33.62	111.99	39.29	152.95
5	T ₅ (Soybean + Sorghum (3:2) + Sunhemp (Green manure)	13.77	14.10	24.42	36.80	32.79	80.13	36.84	164.50
6	T ₆ (Soybean + Amaranthus (3:2))	13.67	9.83	23.94		32.37		36.47	
7	T ₇ (Soybean + Maize (4:2))	13.71	14.87	24.51	38.81	32.88	109.35	38.16	146.03
8	T ₈ (Soybean + Maize (Cob) (4:2))	13.92	14.83	25.06	39.65	33.43	109.62	38.97	149.19
9	T ₉ (Soybean + Maize (1:1))	13.23	14.75	24.32	38.69	32.69	106.39	37.83	143.77

Table 2 Effect of diversification, intensification and land configurations on mean plant height (cm) of *rabi* crops

S.N	Treatment	20 DAS		40 DAS		60 DAS		At Harvest	
		MC	IC	MC	IC	MC	IC	MC	IC
1	T ₁ (Wheat)	4.78		20.23		71.35		80.89	
2	T ₂ (Gram)	9.09		22.34		31.02		33.42	
3	T ₃ (Wheat)	5.02		20.38		71.35		81.88	
4	T ₄ (Gram + Wheat) (3:2)	7.97	4.15	20.48	19.01	29.16	68.85	31.79	77.96
5	T ₅ (Gram + Mustard) (3:2)	8.06	6.16	20.43	18.17	29.11	95.75	31.69	130.50
6	T ₆ (Cauliflower + Wheat) (3:2)	8.46	4.19	12.34	19.71	12.24	68.78	12.42	76.22
7	T ₇ (Pea (Vegetable))	10.59		34.03		42.71		99.65	
8	T ₈ (Wheat + Gram)(1:1)	4.54	7.90	19.90	23.23	28.58	69.79	75.85	79.15
9	T ₉ (Wheat + Frenchbean) (Vegetable)(1:1)	4.51	9.95	21.23	18.70	29.91	39.23	78.62	57.79

Table 3 Effect of diversification, intensification and land configurations on mean dry weight (g) per plant of *kharif* crops

S.N	Treatment	20 DAS		40 DAS		60 DAS		At Harvest	
		MC	IC	MC	IC	MC	IC	MC	IC
1	T ₁ (Soybean)	0.65		5.50		11.70		13.77	
2	T ₂ (Soybean)	0.63		5.36		11.54		13.58	
3	T ₃ (Soybean + Maize) (4:2)	0.62	2.55	5.24	17.72	11.34		13.48	100.33
4	T ₄ (Soybean + Maize) (3:2) + Sunhemp (Green manure)	0.59	2.48	5.11	16.69	11.14	68.90	13.41	98.15
5	T ₅ (Soybean + Sorghum (3:2) + Sunhemp (Green manure)	0.46	1.30	4.62	10.42	9.71	56.96	12.79	151.35
6	T ₆ (Soybean + Amaranthus) (3:2)	0.49	0.85	4.66		10.06		12.94	
7	T ₇ (Soybean + Maize) (4:2)	0.54	2.24	4.88	14.67	10.67	63.41	13.22	91.99
8	T ₈ (Soybean + Maize) (Cob) (4:2)	0.56	2.32	4.98	15.28	10.85	67.36	13.38	93.68
9	T ₉ (Soybean + Maize) (1:1)	0.52	2.21	4.81	14.45	10.45	59.91	13.10	89.52

Table 4 Effect of diversification, intensification and land configurations on mean dry weight (g) per plant of *rabi* crops

S.N	Treatment	20 DAS		40 DAS		60 DAS		At Harvest	
		MC	IC	MC	IC	MC	IC	MC	IC
1	T ₁ (Wheat)	0.34		1.70		4.61		19.61	
2	T ₂ (Gram)	0.68		2.90		6.72		30.19	
3	T ₃ (Wheat)	0.35		1.76		4.70		19.73	
4	T ₄ (Gram + Wheat (3:2))	0.63	0.29	2.69	1.69	6.31	4.51	29.54	19.43
5	T ₅ (Gram + Mustard (3:2))	0.60	0.08	2.56	1.83	6.13	7.40	29.29	22.74
6	T ₆ (Cauliflower + Wheat (3:2))	2.15	0.28	7.78		26.34		55.55	
7	T ₇ (Pea (Vegetable))	0.31	0.00	2.02	0.00	5.72	0.00	35.37	0.00
8	T ₈ (Wheat + Gram(1:1))	0.32	0.65	1.84	2.80	4.88	6.50	19.99	29.97
9	T ₉ (Wheat + Frenchbean (Vegetable)(1:1))	0.31	0.42	1.80	1.44	4.83	5.15	19.84	19.02

Table 5 Effect of diversification , intensification and land configurations on gross return (kg ha⁻¹), on cropping systems

Treatments	Gross monetary returns (Rs ha ⁻¹)
T ₁ (Soybean) -(Wheat)	160581
T ₂ (Soybean)-(Gram)	112643
T ₃ (Soybean + Maize (4:2) - (Wheat)	197467
T ₄ (Soybean + Maize (3:2) + Sunhemp (Green manure) -(Gram + Wheat (3:2))	156357
T ₅ (Soybean + Sorghum (3:2) + Sunhemp (Green manure) -(Gram+Mustard(3:2))	104610
T ₆ (Soybean + Amaranthus (3:2)- (Cauliflower + Wheat (3:2))	139316
T ₇ (Soybean + Maize (4:2)-(Pea (Vegetable))	128027
T ₈ (Soybean + Maize (Cob) (4:2)-(Wheat + Gram(1:1))	171501
T ₉ (Soybean + Maize (1:1)-(Wheat + Frenchbean (Vegetable)(1:1))	161756
S.E.m_±	687.22
C.D.(at 5%)	2060.37