ISSN: 2455-3085 (Online)
RESEARCH REVIEW International Journal of Multidisciplinary
www.rrjournals.com [UGC Listed Journal]

Face Identification based on Local Binary Patterns: A Survey

[1]Sumit Saini, [2]Abhishek Goel, [3]Manish Kumar, [4]Krishna Panday, [5]Rahul gupta, [6]Rajesh Singh

[1,2]Pursuing B.Tech, Univesity Institute of Engineering and Technology, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra
[3,4,5,6]Assistant Professor, Univesity Institute of Engineering and Technology, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra

ARTICLE DETAILS

Article History
Published Online: 07 August 2018

Keywords
Cooperative learning, Social learning, Cognitive learning, Peer-group learning, Professional development

ABSTRACT

The goal of this research project was to come up with a combined face recognition algorithm which out-performs existing algorithms on accuracy. The identification of individuals using face recognition techniques is a challenging task. This is due to the variations resulting from facial expressions, illuminations, makeup, rotations, and gestures. Facial images also contain a great deal of the redundant information, which negatively affects the performance of the recognition system. This paper proposes a novel approach for recognizing facial images from facial features using feature descriptors, namely local binary patterns (LBP) and local directional patterns (LDP). This research work consists of three parts, namely face representation, feature extraction and classification. The useful and unique features of the facial images have been extracted in the feature extraction phase. In the classification, the face image has been compared with the images from the database. The face area has been divided into small regions from which local binary and directional patterns (LBP/LDP) histograms have been extracted and concatenated into a single feature vector (histogram). Experiments performed on the Cohn-Kanade facial expression database have shown a good recognition rate 99% indicating superiority of the proposed method compared to other methods. The proposed methods includes a combination of local binary pattern(LBP) and local directional patterns(LDP+LBP+Voting Classifier) as the feature extractor and voting classifier classification algorithm which is an aggregate classifier composed of k-Nearest Neighbor, decision trees and support vector machines. The results reveal improved accuracy results as compared to other local binary pattern variants in both scenarios where small datasets or huge datasets are used.

1. Introduction

Face recognition has a wide assortment of uses, for example, in character verification; get to control and observation [1], [2]. Faces administer our enthusiastic and social practices. Face and feeling recognition shapes some portion of picture examination and human PC interfacing, and has been promoted because of utilizations in law implementation, validation and individual distinguishing proof. A human face isn't utilized for recognition, yet in addition to convey one's feelings, planned activities and non - visual interactions. They also disclose to us the personality of the individual; give data on sexual orientation, engaging quality and age, among numerous others factors. Communications via gestures utilize outward appearances to encode some portion of the language structure. Facial recognition additionally brought forth outward appearance which perceives one's feelings in view of the outward appearances [3]. The non-verbal correspondence signals which are driven by articulations represent more than the talked words themselves [3].Indications for disorder, push, distress, misleading and satisfaction are determined through the smaller scale articulations. A portion of the non-verbal correspondence signals are intentional and non-deliberate and the last pass on one's identity or culture at times.

The robotization of the whole procedure of facial conduct investigation is advantageous for fields as assorted as drug, law, correspondence, training and processing [3]. The long - term objective of investigating unconstrained facial conduct in moderately uncontrolled social connections and low light conditions has turned out to be conceivable through real advancements in PC vision through the improvement of robotized individual autonomous techniques which additionally work in various settings [3]. As of late we have seen progresses in robotized face investigation and recognition yet the key test that still stays in face recognition is separating features from face pictures with real exactness being influenced by shifting facial introductions, distinctive appearances and lighting conditions [1], [2]. Highlight extraction includes dimensional decrease, include extraction and highlight determination. The exploration utilized well-known local facial recognition and demeanor investigation calculations and enhanced them as far as exactness and execution effectiveness [1], [2]. Two key facial recognition calculations shape the premise of this examination specifically local binary patterns and local directional pattern.

Surface examination assumes a critical part in PC vision and pattern recognition applications. Amid the most recent couple of decades, the exploration network has proposed an expansive number of procedures for depicting, recovering and ordering surface pictures. Local binary patterns (LBP) is a cutting edge method described by its effortlessness and proficiency. Because of its prosperity, a few LBP-variations were proposed in late writing. In this paper we demonstrate that the precision and execution of LBP-based techniques can be further enhanced by acquainting an alteration with the element extraction process through making another variation of the LBP by including an edge locator calculation to be specific Local Directional Patterns and a consolidated classifier.

The exploration article starts with a writing audit of work done beforehand in PC vision facial recognition region. It's at that point taken after by itemizing of the proposed work in facial recognition by the examination. Investigation points of interest are clarified trailed by comes about examination and additionally conclusion and depiction of future work[21].

2. Literature and Related Work

As of now two methodologies are utilized to separate facial features of pictures: geometric facial features and appearance based features strategy. The geometric element based technique registers the areas and shape data of various facial segments illustration like nose, mouth, eye, remove amongst nose and the eye locale. These facial parts are then used to shape the element vector which speaks to the geometry of the face [12]. The dimensionality and the repetition of the facial features directly affect the face recognition exactness. For appearance based calculations, the removed shape picture parameters are utilized as contribution to the arrangement for example utilizing Mahalanobis or Euclidian separate [12]. Appearance based calculations speak to a face as a few crude power pictures where one picture is as a multi-dimensional vector. Factual techniques are typically used to get an element space from the picture appropriation. Not every one of the features in the element vector space are helpful. For instance, non-segregating features in the element vector space debase the recognition precision as well as increment the computational multifaceted nature. The worldwide element descriptors are additionally called all-encompassing strategies and the local techniques show separating faces into littler features like nose or the mouth [20],[1], [2].

A. Holistic Algorithms

All-encompassing and local features are critical for face recognition [8], [13]. Worldwide features are extricated from entire face pictures and distinguish faces utilizing worldwide portrayals where depictions depend on the whole picture as opposed to on local facial features just [9]. Major comprehensive calculations incorporate Eigen faces, fisher faces and central segment analysis(PCA) and discrete cosine transform(DCT) [8]. The fundamental preferred standpoint of the comprehensive methodologies is that they don't annihilate any of the data in the pictures by concentrating on just constrained areas or purposes of intrigue [12].

B. Local Features

Local features are high recurrence and subject to position and introduction of the face pictures [12]. Local features are extricated by Gabor wavelets, local directional pattern also local binary patterns. Gabor features are spatially gathered into various element vectors named Local Gabor Feature Vector (LGFV) [12]. Local Binary Patterns (LBP) are begun from surface examination for face representation [14]. In this strategy,

LBP administrator is first connected and after that the subsequent LBP picture is partitioned into little locales from which histogram features are extricated [14]. Dividing face picture is additionally utilized as a part of the segment based techniques, in which the face pictures are isolated into a few squares by a specific run [12]. The picture squares are taken as contributions of highlight extraction. Local features, for example, eyes, nose and mouth are above all else separated and their areas and local insights (geometric and additionally appearance) are encouraged into a machine learning classifier. Parts - construct approaches sum up better in light of beforehand inconspicuous information and help to work around issues, for example, impediment or when the shapes are less inflexible (for example an appearance on a face) [12]. LBP and LDP have a high discriminative power for surface grouping due to them in-difference to monotonic dim level changes [14]. Articulation pictures are grouped into model articulation by means of help vector machine (SVM) with various parts, k closest neighbor and arbitrary timberland classifiers.

3. Local Binary Patterns

The local binary pattern (LBP) administrator is utilized to portray local instead of worldwide features. The administrator was initially intended to do surface portrayal [13], [16], and afterward improved to do face recognition since it gave better exactness. Dark scale transformation is done first before the administrator doles out a mark to every pixel of the picture by thresholding the 3 - by-3 neighborhood of every pixel in accordance with the focal pixel [13],[20], [16].

The local binary pattern (LBP) administrator is characterized as a dark scale invariant surface measure, got from a general meaning of surface in a local neighborhood [13], [14]. Originally, the LBP surface descriptor [15], was figured in a pixel level premise utilizing a 3 x 3 piece, thresholding the surroundings of every pixel with the focal pixel esteem and taking the outcome as binary. Local Binary Pattern (LBP) is a 3 x 3 grid in which eight neighboring pixel force is contrasted and the power of the focal pixel, coming about negative qualities are encoded with 0 esteems, and the positive qualities are encoded with 1 [12]. Local Binary Pattern (LBP) [13], [14] and its variations are utilized as a part of ongoing circumstances as a component descriptor for outward appearance portrayal. The most imperative properties of LBP features are their resilience against brightening changes and their computational straightforwardness [14].

A. LBP Histograms

Local features are high recurrence and reliant on position and introduction of the face pictures [12]. Local features LBP include vectors are spoken to as histograms. To produce a histogram LBPH or Local Binary Pattern Histogram, the focal pixel estimation of a 3 x 3 neighborhood is considered as an edge esteem [14]. At that point it marks the aftereffect of applying the edge on the encompassing pixels as a binary number. The binary numbers are changed over to a local incentive by changing over it to a decimal number in view of the weights. The histogram is processed freely inside each of the (x) areas bringing about (x) histograms. Initially, the picture is changed over to dim scale, at that point the LBP administrator appoints a mark to each pixel of a picture by thresholding the 3-by-3 neighborhood of every pixel with the inside pixel esteem.

B. LBP variations

Different LBP variations were proposed as LBP expansions and alterations were done to enhance facial recognition precision [13], [16]. A portion of the variations utilized a combination of solid calculations like SIFT with its advantage area descriptors and LBP calculations in a blend [13], [16]. The mix of the LBPs with Gabor features were proposed and additionally the pivoted local binary pattern calculations [13], [16].

The normal local binary pattern (OLBP) Histogram utilizes eight neighbors and has a range of one. That implies that each pixel is contrasted and every one of the eight neighbor pixels that touch it. Multiprocessing split LBP additionally separates crafted by normal LBP over various procedures and passes just the working extent to each procedure.

C. Symmetric and Rotational LBP Variants

Symmetric Local Binary Pattern (CS - LBP) is a changed LBP calculation that carries a long component vector with at least 256 measurements. Its more vigorous on level pictures than the first LBP calculation [13] [16] and is additionally improved by different calculations like SIFT descriptors [1], [2]. The histograms are comprised of the key angle points of interest of the picture and furthermore the dark level fluctuations are in a symmetrically restricting mode [1], [2]. Rotated Local Binary Patterns (RLBP) LBP just consider the indications of the distinctions to register the last descriptor [13], [16]. The data identified with the size of the distinctions is totally disregarded. The extent gives prove that has been used to build the discriminative intensity of the administrator [1], [2]. The multiprocessing LBP variation works by partitioning the info picture into x level cuts which at that point generates y forms. Each procedure gets as info the whole picture and the limits of the cut that it should deal with [1], [2]. The procedure applies the customary LBP calculation on just the allotted cut restoring the LBP descriptors. The principle procedure gathers the LBP descriptors from each procedure and unions them to make the last yield.

The multiprocessing split LBP variation works the same as the multiprocessing LBP variation with the exemption that it doesn't pass the whole picture as contribution for the procedures, yet rather the correct cut that each procedure must work on [13], [16]. The thought is to diminish picture passing overhead [1], [2]. The Opponent Color Local Binary Pattern calculation was done as a joint shading content administrator to contrast dim scale pictures with shading based content pictures where each shading pair is utilized to gather rival shading patterns [1], [2], [13], [16]. Straightforward LBP is feeble on catching the predominant data in substantial structures. To defeat this issue, an Extended LBP takes utilization of various [13], [16] sizes of neighborhood pixels encompassed in a roundabout space (N, Z) where N is the one of the area pixel and Z parallels the separation between the inside and neighborhood pixel. In any case, this technique still experiences non - monotonic lightning varieties [1], [2]. LBP strategy is extremely touchy to irregular clamor, and to conquer these issues Tan and Triggs built up a speculation of LBP called the Local Ternary Pattern(LTP). LTP is a 3 coded values, in which dark levels are quantized to zero, one or +1 [1], [2]. Change Coded LBP technique edges dim estimations of the area pixels against the middle LBP mark, in this manner giving a thought regarding contrast in force esteems between the inside and the neighboring pixels [1], [2].

4. Local Directional Patterns

Local directional pattern calculations center around edges in specific ways and in view of that the more unmistakable districts are grabbed to create the Local Directional Pattern [13], [16]. The top k-directional bits are given the estimation of 1 and the rest of the bits take the estimation of zero. The picture is then subdivided into little areas where histograms are drawn into a unitary LDPv descriptor.

A local directional pattern (LDP) highlight is gotten by registering the edge reaction esteems in every one of the eight headings at every pixel position and producing a code from relative quality extent [13], [16]. Each piece of code is dictated by considering a local neighborhood thus ends up powerful in uproarious circumstance. LDP encodes the directional data of the face pictures by including the face picture with the compass veil [13], [16].

The compass veil is utilized to extricate the edge reaction esteems in eight ways in the area. We encode such data utilizing significant bearing data (directional numbers) and signs which enables us to create the LDN code, comparing to that a LDN face picture is produced. We isolate this face picture into different squares or areas and concentrate the dissemination of the local patterns or local features from them. These local highlighted are then linked from different squares to shape include vector and later utilized as a face descriptor to recognize the face pictures. Different tests were completed effectively in which the descriptors' execution was estimated under enlightenment, clamor, and time slip by varieties [13], [16]. Local directional pattern encodes the directional data of the faces surfaces delivering a smaller discriminative code than current present techniques. It utilizes compass veils that concentrate directional data, and process the structure of each small scale pattern and encode such data utilizing the unmistakable course lists. This empowers one to isolate between comparative patterns with various force advances [13], [16]. The face is framed into key smaller districts from which LDN features are recovered. The descriptor performs reliably under clamor, light, articulation, and time slip by varieties. Encoding is connected utilizing the more conspicuous edges. It additionally incorporates edge discovery calculation called Kirsch calculation [13], [16]. The (Kirsch) compass veils are convoluted utilizing the first picture to separate the edge reaction pictures. The Kirsch administrator or Kirsch compass piece is a non-straight edge locator that finds the most extreme edge quality in a couple of foreordained headings [13]. The reaction esteems are not similarly imperative every which way [16]. Since edge reactions are more steady than force esteems, LDP pattern gives a similar pattern esteem even within the sight of commotion and non-monotonic enlightenment changes [16].

5. Methods and Techniques

A. Proposed System

The principle goals of this examination incorporated a top to bottom investigation of facial recognition calculations. The examination dissected the effect of such outward appearances investigation on human character. The examination created and executed a product structure for facial element identification which joined face and highlight recognition strategies and recognized human qualities utilizing local directional patterns and local binary patterns. Python was utilized for execution since it has a facial recognition library called OpenCV. The facial recognition process incorporated the accompanying advances to be specific, facial discovery and standardization, highlight extraction and grouping from which the facial recognition comes about depended on the exactness. Different apparatuses included python matlab, numpy libraries also AngularJS and java for the UI device for the testing and examinations on a JBOSS java motor. The precise front-end could call the python utilizing REST JSON benefits through http convention. The pictures were spared a level documents binary protests in a MySQL databases and got to through a java backend.

The precision and execution of the descriptor was tried by two distinct classifiers: closest neighbor (NN) and bolster vector machines (SVM) which were brush in a Voting Classifier with a 60 to 40 percent proportion. The face pictures originated from the CK+ and Google Set dataset pictures stores. The recognition procedure concentrated on key points of interest that incorporated the nose, the eyes, mouth areas and ears and cheeks.

B. Face Alignment and Normalization

Pre-handling the information was done before extraction of the features. This killed the clamor from the information, and re-estimating of the information was done as such that all pictures had same measurements. The pictures were at first changed over into dim level pictures. Kirsch concealing was utilized to remove edge responses and was turned 45 degrees separated to acquire veils in eight distinct ways. Amid standardization, the picture experienced institutionalization utilizing size, act like well as brightening as key parameters in connection to the picture.

C. Feature Extraction

Key features were extricated from the pictures as highlight vectors. The component vectors shaped a proficient portrayal of the face and were utilized to gauge similarities between pictures utilizing histograms as the measure. To remove the vital features from the face picture, the face features were named as face descriptors. Local directional number pattern (LDN) and local binary pattern (LBP) approaches were utilized for separating features from pre handled pictures. The proposed local directional number pattern (LDN) spoke to a six-piece binary code which was doled out to every single pixel of an information picture rep-detesting the surface structures and power advances. Local binary patterns (LBP) were utilized to decide the local features in the face. Highlight vectors were extricated in a framework initially of size 3 x 3 and the qualities were then looked at by the estimation of the inside pixel. A binary pattern code was delivered in decimal configuration.

6. Investigation and Results

The examination performed around fifty investigations to assess the precision of the proposed calculations utilizing the Google informational collection and the CK+ facial informational collection. The pictures were edited and standardized to guarantee uniformity in light of the correct places of features like eyes and mouth. In the analyses, each picture was apportioned into a lattice of 10 by 10 and 14 by 14. A joined local binary pattern (LBP) and local directional pattern (LDN) include extractor together was utilized. The two calculations' histograms were then sustained into a few classifiers to be specific neural systems, k-Nearest Neighbor, irregular backwoods and bolster vector machines and the voting classifier. The facial picture of the one face was contrasted and the component extraction picture which was put away in the database and a match was perceived between two facial pictures utilizing a classifier.

In the preparation stage, the pictures were separated in various clusters of 100, 1000 and afterward 10 000. These facial pictures were include removed utilizing the LBP and LDP highlight extractors and put away in include vectors. The mean estimations of the component vectors shaped histograms which were then encouraged into the classifiers. The mean vector and the change vector were utilized to prohibit the features that have wayward fluctuations. In the order stage, test I

7. Dataset

Two datasets were selected for testing, namely CK+ dataset. The Cohn-Kanade (CK) AU-coded expression dataset encompassed almost 100 university students between 18 and 30 years of age. The facial Google dataset was also used. For the CK+ dataset, sixty-five percent were female, 15% were African-American and 3% were Asian or Latino. The algorithms were implemented in python and then tested on the above two face databases. Image sequences were then digitized into 640 * 480 pixel arrays of gray scale frames to generate the feature vectors.

8. Results Analysis

The next table shows for Google Data-set as well as the CK+ Dataset facial database for the local facial descriptors in the two tables following below. The next table shows results for Extended CK+ Data-set facial database for the local facial descriptors. The following algorithms

Algorithm	kNN+	AdaBoost	RF	Voting Classi-fier
LBP8,2	93.09%	97.12%	97.16%	97%
LBP16,2	94.26%	98.03%	97.28%	95.99%
CS-LBP8,2	93.51%	97.98%	97.33%	97.86%
CS-LBP16,2	94.05%	96.92%	96.08%	98.31%
ELBP16,2	91.3%	91.02%	96.29%	97.09%
ELBP16,2	88.21%	87.12%	95.75%	96.91%
LT P8,2	89.71%	96.31 %	96.77%	96.74%
LT P16,2	88.3%	96.44 %	97.42%	97.24%
RLBP8,2	86.1%	97.01 %	96.8%	97.48%
RLBP16,2	84.3%	97.21 %	97.09%	97.64%
LDP+ELBP8,2	94.18%	97.81%	96.92%	99.13%
LDP+ELBP16,2	94.78%	97.99%	97.02%	99.03%

Figure 1. Classifier for CK+ Dataset				
Algorithm	**kNN+**	**AdaBoost**	**RF**	**Voting Classi-fier**
LBP8,2	92%	97%	97.6%	97%
LBP16,2	93%	98%	97%	96%
CS-LBP8,2	93%	96%	96%	98.56%
CS-LBP16,2	92.5%	97.2%	96.08%	97.1%
ELBP8,2	89%	87.2%	96%	96.9%
ELBP16,2	85%	85%	96.5%	96.1%
LTP8,2	85.7%	95.1 %	97%	95.54%
LTP16,2	86.27%	95 %	97%	96.33%
RLBP8,2	85.1%	95.4 %	96.8%	96.61%
RLBP16,2	85.3%	95.21 %	96%	97.66%
LDP+ELBP8,2	93.1%	98.2%	97.88%	99.23%
LDP+ ELBP16,2	94.3%	97.45%	98.39%	99.27%

Figure 2. Classifier for Google Dataset

were utilized specifically fundamental LBP calculation with 16,2 and 8,2 factors individually. Alternate variations tried incorporate the symmetric CS-LBP, the Enhanced LBP, local ternary LTP, the turned RLBP and the joined calculation with LDP and ELBP calculation. Different classifiers were utilized to be specific k closest neighbor, Adaboost, Random Forest (RF) and a Voting Classifier.

For every one of the groupings a full cross approval preparing/trial of 60% to 40% proportion was actualized in all the picture classifiers. LDP+ELBP with a Voting Classifier accomplished a high exactness of 99.23 percent and 99.13 on the Google-Set and CK+ databases individually which was a high precision rather than the other Local Binary Pattern Variants. The tests comes about exactness rates were assert periods of running against little which is around 100 pictures, medium informational indexes of 1 000 pictures and 10 000 pictures which is ordered expansive informational indexes. The outcomes demonstrate the vast or little informational indexes were giving high precision for Local Binary Patterns and Local Directional Patterns with Voting classifier situation regardless of size contrasted with different calculations. The Local directional pattern was utilized to smoothen pictures with Kirsch calculation and expel the commotion from the edges.

The Google dataset while it had high precision additionally demonstrated high exactness comes about on the consolidated precision consequences of Local Binary Pattern and Local directional pattern with the voting classifier. The exactness ith the Voting Classifier likewise was 99.23 percent which is the most noteworthy with all the component extractors and classifiers too. The outcomes are clarified in Figure 2. The voting classifier lend for help vector machines(SVM), arbitrary forest(RF), C4.5 choice tree(DT) was finished with a 2:5:2 proportion, 3:3:4 proportion, 4:2:1 proportion, 1:2:3 proportion and 1:1:1 proportion. Once the tests were run the precision was then arrived at the midpoint of on the over 5 classifiers. The modular precision anyway was where Random Forest had high proportion which is 2:5:2 and this gave an exactness for 99.45

The Opponent Color Local Binary Pattern calculation was not ready to be utilized for examination in this exploration to inaccessibility and time requirements to get the shading pictures of the CK+ database and Google dataset.

9. Conclusion.

This paper has been proposed using local directional and local binary patterns for feature extraction in expression and facial recognition scenarios. Based on the results from the several experiments, it shows that the Local Binary Pattern and Local Directional Pattern with a Voting Classification Algorithm of 30% k nearest neighbor ameliorate the facial recognition accuracy. The classifier with better results was the Voting Classifier and it showed consistent results for low number of images from around 100 and also for the medium range of images which was around 1000 as well as for huge number of images which were ranging around 10,000 facial images as well. In spite of the fact that higher recognition rate was achieved by the proposed methods, still there were some issues which should be furthered addressed such as perceiving the optimal threshold for each database automatically, or applying the proposed algorithm with other features, in the event of improving the recognition rate. Therefore, the hybrid LDP+ELBP Feature Extractor with a Voting Classifier operators presented in this paper has shown improved accuracy for facial recognition over and above uniform LBP on its own. The edge algorithm, LBP helped to smoothen the edges and made it possible to have high accuracy irrespective for lower number of images or higher. The combined classifier curtained that it brought boosting advantages through the Random Forest algorithm and also advantages of support vector machines.

10. Future Work

Future enhancement may include use of deep learning in feature extraction and classification. Other proposed future work activities include using CUDA and other parallel programming interfaces in facial recognition. The research also proposes the further work on a combined feature extraction involving the new deep learning algorithms and local binary patterns as well as the local directional patterns. It also proposes to allow the color images to be considered.

References

1. M. S. Aung, S. Kaltwang, B. Romera-Paredes, B. Martinez, A. Singh, M. Cella, M. Valstar, H. Meng, A. Kemp, M. Shafizadeh, et al.: The auto- matic detection of chronic pain-related expression: requirements, challenges and a multimodal dataset, Transactions on Affective Com-puting, 2015.
2. P. Pavithra and A. B. Ganesh: Detection of human facial behavioral ex-pression using image processing,
3. K. Nurzynska and B. Smolka, Smiling and neutral facial display recognition with the local binary patterns operator: Journal of Medical Imaging and Health Informatics, vol. 5, no. 6, pp. 13741382, 2015-11-01T00:00:00.

4. Andrew J Calder and A.Mike Burton and Paul Miller and Andrew W Young and Shigeru Akamats: A principal component analysis of facial expressions, Vision Research, ”41”,number ”9”,pages:”1179 - 1208”, ”2001”
5. M. S. H. Aung and S. Kaltwang and B. Romera-Paredes IEEE Transactions on Affective Computing, The Automatic Detection of Chronic Pain-Related Expression: Requirements, Challenges and the Multimodal EmoPain Dataset,2016
6. P. Lemaire, B. Ben Amor, M. Ardabilian, L. Chen, and M. Daoudi, Fully automatic 3d facial expression recognition using a region-based approach, in Proceedings of the 2011 Joint ACM Workshop on Human Gesture and Behavior Understanding, J-HGBU 11, (New York, NY, USA), pp. 5358, ACM, 2011.
7. Padgett and G. W. Cottrell, Representing face images for emotion classification, Advances in neural information processing systems, pp. 894900, 1997.
8. P. Viola and M. J. Jones: Robust real-time face detection, Int. J. Comput. Vision, vol. 57, pp. 137154, May 2004.
9. M. S. Bartlett: Face image analysis by unsupervised learning, vol. 612.Springer Science and Business Media, 2012.
10. P. M. Blom, S. Bakkes: C. T . Tan, S. Whiteson, D. Roijers, R. Valenti, and T. Gevers: Towards personalised gaming via facial expression recognition,
11. in Proceedings of Tenth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Interactive Digital Entertainment, AAAI Press (Palo, 2014), 2014.
12. S. Biswas, K. Bowyer, and P. Flynn: A study of face recognition of identical twins by humans, in Information Forensics and Security (WIFS), 2011 IEEE International Workshop on, pp. 16, Nov 2011.
13. T. Gernoth, A. Goossen, and R.-R. Grigat: Face recognition under pose variations using shape-adapted texture features, in Image Processing (ICIP), 2010 17th IEEE International Conference on, pp. 45254528, Sept 2010.
14. C. Longmore, C. Liu, and A. Young: The importance of internal features in learning new faces, The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, vol. 68, no. 2, pp. 249260, 2015.
15. X. Zhao and S. Zhang: Facial expression recognition based on local binary patterns and kernel discriminant isomap, Sensors, vol. 11, no. 10, pp. 9573 9588, 2011.
16. H. Dikkers, M. Spaans, D. Datcu, M. Novak, and L. Rothkrantz: Facial recognition system for driver vigilance monitoring, in Systems, Man and Cybernetics, 2004 IEEE International Conference on, vol. 4, pp. 37873792 vol.4, Oct 2004.
17. [1 6] A. Ramrez Rivera, R. Castillo, and O. Chae: Local directional number pattern for face analysis: Face and expression recognition, Image Processing, IEEE Transactions on, vol. 22, pp. 17401752, May 2013.
18. Nagpal, Shruti and Singh, Maneet and Singh, Richa and Vatsa, Mayank. (2015). Regularized Deep Learning for Face Recogni-tion With Weight Variations. IEEE Access. 3. 1-1. 10.1109/AC-CESS.2015.2510865.
19. Anastasia Ioannidou, Elisavet Chatzilari, Spiros Nikolopoulos, and Ioannis Kompatsiaris. 2017. Deep Learning Advances in Computer Vision with 3D Data: A Survey. ACM Comput. Surv. 50, 2, Article 20 (April 2017), 38 pages. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1145/3042064
20. Manish Kumar, Rahul Gupta, Sumit Saini, Abhishek Goel “NOVEL WEIGHTED LBP APPROACH FOR FACE RECOGNITION” in “INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES AND INNOVATIVE RESEARCH” Volume 5, Issue 4 April 2018, pp. 214-218.
21. Manish Kumar, Rahul Gupta, Sumit Saini, Abhishek Goel “IMPLEMENTATION OF LBP DESCRIPTOR” in “ISST JOURNAL OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING” Volume 8, Issue 2 Dec 2017, pp. 52-55.