

\mathcal{G} -Connectedness in \mathcal{G} -Topological Simple Groups

¹C. Selvi; ²R. Selvi & ³K. Shakila

¹Research Scholar, Sri Parasakthi College for Women affiliated to Manonmaniam Sudaranar University (India)

²Assistant Professor, Sri Parasakthi College for Women affiliated to Manonmaniam Sudaranar University (India)

³Research Scholar, Sri Parasakthi College for Women affiliated to Manonmaniam Sudaranar University (India)

ARTICLE DETAILS

Article History

Published Online: 05 July 2018

Keywords

\mathcal{G} -connectedness, \mathcal{G} -topological simple group, \mathcal{G} -open symmetric neighbourhood.

Corresponding Author

Email: meselvi89[at]rediffmail.com

ABSTRACT

\mathcal{G} -connectedness for \mathcal{G} -topological group was already introduced in \mathcal{G} -topological space. In this paper we investigate \mathcal{G} -connectedness for \mathcal{G} -topological simple group. Also some basic results and theorems are studied.

1. Introduction

The concept of generalized topological space introduced by A.czaszar in 2002[6]. In[4] we defined the \mathcal{G} -topological simple group structure and we proved some basic results. In[3] Murad hussain et.al. introduced the concept \mathcal{G} -connectedness for \mathcal{G} -topological group. Also they were studied \mathcal{G} -connectedness in quotients of \mathcal{G} -topological group.

In this paper we have to investigate the concept of \mathcal{G} -connectedness for \mathcal{G} -topological simple group. Also we were studied the some basic results and theorem.

2. Preliminaries

Definition: 2.1[3] Let X be a \mathcal{G} -topological space and let $U, V \subset X$. Then we say that the pair U, V is \mathcal{G} -separated if $Cl_{\mathcal{G}}(U) \cap V = Cl_{\mathcal{G}}(V) \cap U = \phi$.

Definition: 2.2[3] Let X be a \mathcal{G} -topological space. A set $S \subset X$ is \mathcal{G} -connected if there are two non-empty \mathcal{G} -separated sets U and V such that $U \cup V = S$.

Definition: 2.3[3] Let X be a \mathcal{G} -topological space. Then the space X itself is \mathcal{G} -connected if it is a \mathcal{G} -connected subset of itself.

Lemma: 2.4[3] Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a \mathcal{G} -continuous mapping between \mathcal{G} -topological spaces. If X is \mathcal{G} -connected, so is $f(X)$.

Definition: 2.5[3] Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a \mathcal{G} -open and injective mapping between \mathcal{G} -topological spaces and let $S \subset X$ if $f(S)$ is \mathcal{G} -connected, so is S .

Definition: 2.6[3] Let X be a \mathcal{G} -topological space, $A \subset X$. For $x \in A$, the set

$$A_x = \bigcup_{x \in S \subset A} S,$$

Where S is \mathcal{G} -connected in A , is called the \mathcal{G} -component of A belonging to x .

Definition: 2.7[2] Let G be a \mathcal{G} -topological group. Then a subgroup K is called discrete group if $K \cap V$ is atmost one singleton set, for any \mathcal{G} -open set V in G .

Definition: 2.8[14] A group G is called a Simple group if it has no non trivial normal subgroup.

Definition: 2.9[4] A \mathcal{G} -topological simple group G is a simple group which is also a \mathcal{G} -topological space if the following conditions are satisfied.

(i). The multiplication mapping $m: G \times G \rightarrow G$ defined by $m(x, y) = x * y, x, y \in G$ is \mathcal{G} -continuous.

(ii). The inverse mapping $i: G \rightarrow G$ defined by $i(x) = x^{-1}, x \in G$ is \mathcal{G} -continuous.

Note: 2.10 [4] Let G be a \mathcal{G} -topological simple group and H be a normal subgroup of G . Here H is either proper trivial normal subgroup of G or improper trivial normal subgroup of G . Let ϕ be a mapping from $G \rightarrow \frac{G}{H}$ by $\phi(x) = xH, \forall x \in G$. Now we can define a \mathcal{G} -topology on $\frac{G}{H}$, U is \mathcal{G} -open in $\frac{G}{H} \Leftrightarrow \phi^{-1}(U)$ is \mathcal{G} -open in G .

3. \mathcal{G} -CONNECTEDNESS IN \mathcal{G} -TOPOLOGICAL SIMPLE GROUPS

Theorem: 3.1 For any two \mathcal{G} -connected subsets E and F of a \mathcal{G} -topological simple group G , their product EF in G is a \mathcal{G} -connected subspace of G .

Proof: Since the multiplication is \mathcal{G} -continuous, the subspace EF of G is a \mathcal{G} -continuous image of the Cartesian product $E \times F$ of the spaces E and F . Since $E \times F$ is \mathcal{G} -connected, the space EF is \mathcal{G} -connected.

Lemma: 3.2[4] Let G be \mathcal{G} -topological simple group and U be \mathcal{G} -open subset of G , F is closed in G and A be any subset of G . Then

(i). aU, Ua and AU, UA are \mathcal{G} -open in G .

(ii). aF and Fa are \mathcal{G} -closed in G .

Lemma: 3.3[4] Every \mathcal{G} -open subgroup H of \mathcal{G} -topological simple group G is \mathcal{G} -closed in G .

Theorem: 3.4 Let U be an arbitrary \mathcal{G} -open neighbourhood of the neutral element e of a \mathcal{G} -connected simple topological group G . Then $G = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} U^n$.

Proof: Choose a \mathcal{G} -open symmetric neighbourhood V of e in G such that $V \subset U$. By induction on n and by lemma 3.2, for every positive integer n , V^n is \mathcal{G} -open. Let $H = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} V^n$. Hence $H = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} V^n$ is \mathcal{G} -open. Let. Now let $x \in V^p, y \in V^q$ are the elements from H . Then ,

$$x * y \in V^{p+q} \subset H$$

$$\Rightarrow x * y \in H.$$

Take an element $x \in H$ and $x \in V^k$. Then $x^{-1} \in (V^{-1})^k = V^k \in H$. Therefore H is a subgroup of G . By lemma 3.3, H is also a \mathcal{G} -closed in G . Since G is \mathcal{G} -connected, and H is non empty and both \mathcal{G} -closed and \mathcal{G} -open, $G = H$. As $V \subset U$, it follows that $G = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} U^n$.

Theorem: 3.5 Let G be a \mathcal{G} -connected \mathcal{G} -topological simple group and e its identity element. If U is an \mathcal{G} -open neighbourhood of e , then G is generated by U .

Proof: Let U be a \mathcal{G} -open neighbourhood of e . For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by U^n the set of elements of the form $u_1 u_2 \dots u_n$, where $u_i \in U$. Let $W = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U^n$. Since each U^n is \mathcal{G} -open, we have that W is a \mathcal{G} -open, W is also a \mathcal{G} -closed by lemma 3.3. Let g be an element of generalized closure of W . That is $g \in Cl_{\mathcal{G}}(W)$. Since gU^{-1} is a \mathcal{G} -open neighbourhood of g , it must intersects W . Thus, let $h \in W \cap gU^{-1}$. Since $h \in gU^{-1}$, then $h = gu^{-1}$ for some elements $u \in U$. Since $h \in W$, then $h \in U^n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. So $h = u_1 u_2 \dots u_n$ with each $u_i \in U$.

We have $g = hu$ for some $u \in U$.

$$= u_1 u_2 \dots u_n u \text{ for some } u \in U.$$

This implies that $g \in U^{n+1} \subseteq W$. Hence W is \mathcal{G} -closed. Since G is \mathcal{G} -connected and W is \mathcal{G} -open and \mathcal{G} -closed, we must have $W = G$. This means that G is generated by U .

Theorem: 3.6 Let K be a discrete invariant subgroup of a \mathcal{G} -connected \mathcal{G} -topological simple abelian group G . If for any \mathcal{G} -open neighbourhood U of x in G there exists a \mathcal{G} -open symmetric neighbourhood V of e in G such that $\forall xV \subset U$, then every element of K commutes with every element of G . i.e. K is contained in the center of the simple abelian group G .

Proof: Since G is a \mathcal{G} -topological simple abelian group, G and $\{e\}$ are the only invariant subgroup of G . Therefore the proof is trivial.

Lemma: 3.7[3] The \mathcal{G} -component of a \mathcal{G} -closed set A in \mathcal{G} -topological space X is again \mathcal{G} -closed.

Lemma: 3.8[4] Let $\frac{G}{H}$ be a \mathcal{G} -topological simple group with quotient \mathcal{G} -topology and $\phi : G \rightarrow \frac{G}{H}$ by $\phi(x) = xH, \forall x \in G$. Then the following statement is hold.

(i). ϕ is onto.

(ii). ϕ is \mathcal{G} -continuous.

(iii). ϕ is \mathcal{G} -open.

(iv). ϕ is homomorphism.

Theorem: 3.9 Let G be a \mathcal{G} -topological simple group and H is a \mathcal{G} -connected subgroup of G . Then

(i). If $\frac{G}{H}$ is \mathcal{G} -connected, then G is \mathcal{G} -connected.

(ii). If H is a \mathcal{G} -closed invariant subgroup of G and $\frac{G}{H}$ is \mathcal{G} -connected, then G is \mathcal{G} -connected.

(iii). The \mathcal{G} -connected component containing e is a \mathcal{G} -closed invariant subgroup of G , where e is the identity element of G .

(iv). If H is a \mathcal{G} -dense subgroup of a \mathcal{G} -connected \mathcal{G} -topological simple group, then every \mathcal{G} -neighbourhood U of the identity element in H algebraically generates the group H .

Proof: (i). Suppose G is not \mathcal{G} -connected. Then there exists two disjoint non empty \mathcal{G} -open set U, V such that $U \cup V = G$. Denoting by π the map $x \rightarrow xH$, we see that $\frac{G}{H} = \pi(U) \cup \pi(V)$. By above lemma π is a \mathcal{G} -open mapping and $\frac{G}{H}$ is the union of two nonempty \mathcal{G} -open sets $\pi(U)$ and $\pi(V)$. Now the assumption of \mathcal{G} -connectedness of $\frac{G}{H}$ implies that $\pi(U)$ and $\pi(V)$ have some common point, zH . But disjointness assumption on U and V means that, for this to happen there must exists $x \in U$ and $y \in V$ such that $x^{-1}y \in H$. So the coset xH intersects both U and V in G . As $U \cup V = G$ provides a disconnection xH has to be disconnected. But xH is homeomorphic to H . So this contradicts the hypothesis that H is \mathcal{G} -connected. So G is \mathcal{G} -connected.

(ii). Suppose that H and $\frac{G}{H}$ are \mathcal{G} -connected and $f: G \rightarrow \{0,1\}$ be an arbitrary \mathcal{G} -continuous map. The restriction of f to H must be constant and since each coset gH is \mathcal{G} -connected, f must be constant on gH as well taking value $f(g)$. Thus we have a well defined map $\tilde{f}: \frac{G}{H} \rightarrow \{0,1\}$ such that $\tilde{f} \circ \pi = f$. By the fundamental property of quotient spaces, it follows that \tilde{f} is \mathcal{G} -continuous and so must be constant. Since $\frac{G}{H}$ is \mathcal{G} -connected. Hence, f is also constant and we conclude that G is \mathcal{G} -connected.

(iii). Let F be the \mathcal{G} -component of the identity e . By above lemma, F is \mathcal{G} -closed. Let $a \in F$. Since the multiplication and inversion mappings in G are \mathcal{G} -continuous, by lemma 2.4. The set aF^{-1} is also \mathcal{G} -connected, and since $e \in aF^{-1}$ we have $aF^{-1} \subset F$. Hence, for every $b \in F$ we have $ab^{-1} \in F$.

i.e. F is a subgroup of G . If g is any element of G , then $L_{g^{-1}} \circ R_g = g^{-1}Fg$ is a \mathcal{G} -connected subset containing e . Since F is a \mathcal{G} -component, $g^{-1}Fg \subset F$ for every $g \in G$. i.e, F is an invariant subgroup of G .

(iv). Proof follows from theorem 3.5

References

- [1]. A.V.Arhangel'skii, M.Tkachenko, Topological Groups and Related Structures, At-lantis press/world Scientific, Amsterdampairs, 2008.
- [2]. Muard Hussain, Moiz Ud Din Khan, Ahmet zemci ozxelik, Cenap Ozel, Extension closed properties on generalized topological groups, Arab J Math (2014) 3:341–347, DOI 10.1007/s40065-014-0102-9.
- [3]. Muard Hussain, Moiz Ud Din Khan, Cenap Ozel, On generalized topological groups, Filomat 27:4(2013),567-575.
- [4]. C. Selvi, R. Selvi, On generalized topological simple groups, ijirset, Vol. 6, Issue 7, July 2017.
- [5]. A.Csaszar, generalized open sets, Acta Math. Hungar., 75(1997), 65-87.
- [6].A.Csaszar, generaliz topology, generalized continuity, Acta Math. Hungar. 96(2002)351-357.
- [7]. A.Csaszar, γ -connected sets, Acta Math..Hunger.101(2003) 273-279.
- [8].A.Csaszar, A separarion axioms for generalized topologies, Acta Math.Hungar.104(2004) 63-69.
- [9]. A.Csaszar, Product of generalized topologies, Acta Math.Hungar.123(2009)127-132.
- [10]. M. Hussain, M. Khan, C. Ozel, More on generalized topological groups, Creative Mathematics and Informatics. 2013 22(1):47-51.
- [11]. W.K.Min, Weak continuity on generalized topological spaces, Acta Math.Hungar. 124((2009)73-81.
- [12]. L.E. De Arruda Saravia, Generalized quotient topologies, Acta Math. Hungar., 132(1-2) (2011).
- [13]. R.Shen, Remarks on products of generalized topologies, Acta Math. Hungar., 124(2009),363-369.
- [14]. Joseph A. Gallian, Contemporary Abstract Algebra, Narosa(fourth edition).