

Incidence of Coliphage in Potable Water Supplies

Dr. Sanjay Kumar

Technical Officer (SRL) Department of Microbiology, S.K. Medical College, Muzaffarpur

ARTICLE DETAILS

Article History

Published Online: 28 January 2018

Keywords

Drinking water, Coliphage,
Bacteriological

ABSTRACT

Samples of drinking water from different sources in Darbhanga, Bihar and bottled drinking water were tested for total coliform, fecal coliform, and coliphage populations. Of the 147 samples tested. 4 samples were positive for both total coliforms and coliphage, 65 samples were negative for total coliforms, fecal coliforms, and coliphage, and 78 samples were positive for coliphage and negative for total coliforms and fecal coliforms. The incidence of coliphage in these potable water supplies reflects the probability of human pathogenic virus survival in these waters also.

INTRODUCTION

The safety of drinking water is an ongoing concern in all countries. Traditionally the safety of potable water supplies has been controlled by disinfection, usually by chlorination and by coliform population estimates. However, in a recent study it was shown that coliform-free potable water may not necessarily be free of microbial pathogens. The data from this study indicated that, while many of the treated potable waters sampled may have been free of coliforms. They did contain various concentrations of coliphage, an indication of inadequate water treatment and a warning that human enteric viruses may also survive treatment.

Studies by the Atlantic Research Corporation scientists on the use of coliphage as an indicator of fecal pollution has greatly advanced the knowledge of the usefulness of this water quality indicator. There is also sufficient evidence to suggest that the coliphage test has many advantages over traditional bacteriological and virological tests, in that the procedure is economical, is simple to perform, and provides results within 6 h.

In this note, we present the results of an investigation into the safety of a variety of Darbhanga, Bihar drinking water samples collected from distribution lines, storage tanks, wells, and bottled waters. The indicator systems used to test for the safety of these waters were total coliform, fecal coliform, and coliphage population estimates. Triplicate drinking water samples were collected from various sources within Darbhanga, Bihar, usually monthly over a 10-month period. The samples were collected from three water treatment plants, four deep wells and six storage tanks from various locations which provide drinking water to high-rise buildings. Three brands of bottled water were also tested: Baraka, Helwan, and Mineral. Water samples collected from the water treatment plants and storage tanks were dechlorinated with sodium thiosulfate (10.1 gliter of sample) before examination.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

In the bacteriological examination of these waters, two coliform most-probable number (MPN) procedures and two fecal coliform MPN procedures were used on all water samples. In one of the coliform procedures, a 10-tube MPN procedure with lauryl sulfate tryptose broth (35°C for 48h) and confirmation in brilliant green lactose bile broth (35°C for 48 h) was used, and in the other 10-tube MPN procedure, MacConkey broth (35 C for 48 h) results were confirmed by subculturing positive tubes to Levine EMB agar (Difco Laboratories), Fecal coliform populations were estimated by a 10-tube MPN procedure with Al broth (44.5°C for 24 h) and a 10-tube MPN series with lauryl sulfate tryptose broth (35°C for 48 h) followed by brilliant green lactose bile broth (35°C for 48 h), with positive tubes confirmed in EC broth (Difco) (44.5°C for 24 h)

Two procedures were used to estimate coliphage concentrations. One procedure is that described in section 919C of Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Waste water, which requires the addition of 2.3.5-triphenylte trazolium chloride and Escherichia coli C (ATCC 13706) as host. The other procedure used was the MPN technique recommended by Kott, with E. coli C as host.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The mean values of triplicate tests are presented. Of the total 147 samples tested. 78 samples were positive for coliphage and negative for total coliforms and fecal coliforms: 65 samples were negative for total coliforms, fecal coliforms, and coliphage; and 4 samples were positive for both total coliforms and coliphage. Two of the four samples positive for both coli forms and coliphage were found in the Mineral bottled water, and both fecal and total coliforms were

recovered. In the other two samples, obtained from wells 20 and 31, no fecal coliforms were recovered.

Several researchers have demonstrated that coliphages are more resistant to inactivation by chlorination than are coliforms. In one study, it was shown that *E. coli* cells are nonviable after a 5-min contact with 6 mg of chlorine per liter while coliphages survive an exposure to 25 mg of chlorine per liter for 80 min. Thus, while chlorine effectively kills coliform bacteria, coliphages survive in large numbers.

In an extensive review of the early literature. Grabow found that the results of many studies indicated that most common pathogenic viruses are more resistant to chlorination than are *E. coli*. In a later study, Kott et al. reported that coliphages are similarly resistant to chlorination as polioviruses. Thus, the presence of coliphage in these drinking water samples, with and without coliform presence, strongly suggests that viruses can also survive the normal treatment and disinfection processes accorded these potable water samples. Another implication of the data from these studies is that coliform-free potable waters are not necessarily pathogen-free potable waters.

The findings reported here of coliform-free but coliphage containing potable waters are not single rare events. Similar results have also been reported in studies of Singapore and Peru potable water supplies.

Another interesting finding in this study is the great superiority of the American Public Health Association coliphage procedure over the Kott MPN procedure, even though both techniques were performed with the same *E. coli* C host. Moreover, it was found that there was closer agreement among the triplicate American Public Health Association coliphage results than among the Kott procedure coliphage results. From these data and other data obtained by using the American Public Health Association coliphage technique, it appears that this method is at present the best available technique for coliphage estimation. The technique has the added benefit of being a relatively simple, inexpensive procedure which can produce results within 6 hours.

In summary, we believe, on the basis of these and earlier reported data, that the coliphage test should be included as part of any potable water testing scheme to protect the unwary consumer.

REFERENCE:

1. American Public Health Association. 1985. Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater.
2. Grabow 1968. Review paper: the virology of wastewater treatment.
3. Havelaar 1986 F-species RNA bacteriophages as model viruses in water treatment processes.
4. Kott, Y 1974. Estimation of low numbers of *E. Coli* bacteriophage by use of most probable number method
5. Longley 1980 Comparison of chlorine and chlorine dioxide as disinfectants.